U. S. Department of Commerce • Sinclair Weeks, Secretary
 Bureau of the Census • Robert W. Burgess, Director

Completeness of enumeration of infants

related to: Residence

Race, Birth Month

Age and Education of Mother

Occupation of Father

Prepared under the supervision of

HOWARD G. BRUNSMAN

Chief, Population and Housing Division

# Infant Enumeration Study 1950

Procedural Studies of the 1950 Censuses
No. 1

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D. C. • 1953



### BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

ROBERT W. BURGESS, Director (From Feb. 5, 1953) ROY V. PEEL, Director (To Feb. 4, 1953)

A. Ross Eckler, Deputy Director
HOWARD C. GRIEVES, Assistant Director
Conrad Taeuber, Assistant Director
Morris H. Hansen, Assistant Director for Statistical Standards
Lowell T. Galt, Assistant Director for Operations
Walter L. Kehres, Assistant Director for Administration
Calvert L. Dedrick, Coordinator, International Statistics
Frank R. Wilson, Information Assistant to the Director

### Population and Housing Division-

HOWARD G. BRUNSMAN, Chief
HENRY S. SHRYOCK, Jr., Assistant Chief for Population
ROBERT B. VOIGHT, Assistant Chief for Operations
WAYNE F. DAUGHERTY, Assistant Chief for Housing
EDWIN D. GOLDFIELD, Program Coordinator

Demographic Statistics—Henry D. Sheldon, Chief
Social Statistics—Paul C. Glick, Chief
Coordinator for Manpower Statistics—Gertrude Bancroft
Economic Statistics—Robert B. Pearl, Chief
Occupation and Industry Statistics—David L. Kaplan, Chief
International Population Statistics—W. Parker Mauldin, Chief
Territories and Possessions—Joel Williams, Chief
Statistical Sampling—Joseph Steinberg, Chief
Statistical Procedures—Morton A. Meyer, Chief
Processing Operations—Milton D. Lieberman, Chief

Administrative Service Division—Everett H. Burke, Chief Agriculture Division—Ray Hurley, Chief Budget Officer—Charles H. Alexander Business Division—Harvey Kailin, Acting Chief Decennial Tabulation Office—John J. Lennon, Chief Field Division—Jack B. Robertson, Chief Foreign Trade Division—J. Edward Ely, Chief Geography Division—Clarence E. Batschelet, Chief Governments Division—Allen D. Manvel, Chief Industry Division—Maxwell R. Conklin, Chief Machine Tabulation Division—C. F. Van Aken, Chief Personnel Division—Helen D. Almon, Chief Transportation Division—Donald E. Church, Chief

### SUGGESTED IDENTIFICATION

U. S. Bureau of the Census. Infant Enumeration Study: 1950.U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1953.

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C., or any of the Field Offices of the Department of Commerce - - - - - - - - Price 40 cents

### **PREFACE**

This report presents statistics on the extent to which infants born in the first three months of 1950 were enumerated in the Seventeenth Decennial Census of the population of the United States, conducted as of April 1,1950, and on reasons for the missing of infants, by characteristics of the infant and its parents. This study was undertaken with the cooperation of the National Office of Vital Statistics, Public Health Service, Federal Security Agency, and of the State registrars of vital statistics.

Provision for the Seventeenth Decennial Census was made in the act providing for the Fifteenth and subsequent decennial censuses, approved June 18, 1929, as amended. In addition to the basic publications and special reports of the 1950 Censuses of Population, Housing, and Agriculture, there will be a number of procedural studies which make available special information on how these censuses were taken, and on the quality of the results. These studies, of which this report is one, are being issued separately as a numbered series, "Procedural Studies of the 1950 Censuses."

The data in this report are based on tabulations of data from birth records, census records, and returns from a special mail inquiry. Most of the materials are from a complete count, but some are based on samples.

The materials presented here were prepared under the supervision of Howard G. Brunsman, Chief, Population and Housing Division, and Dr. Henry S. Shryock, Jr., Assistant Chief for Population Statistics, with the assistance of Edwin D. Goldfield, Program Coordinator. They were prepared by Wilson H. Grabill, Chief, Fertility Statistics Unit, and Calvin L. Beale, under the general direction of Dr. Paul C. Glick, Chief, Social Statistics Section. The compilation of the statistics was under the direction of Robert B. Voight, Assistant Chief for Operations, assisted by Lawrence A. Marzetti and Morton A. Meyer. Sampling procedures were under the direction of Joseph Steinberg, Chief, Statistical Sampling Section, assisted by Joseph Waksberg. The technical editorial work and planning were under the supervision of Mildred M. Russell, assisted by Dorothy M. Belzer.

The collection of Infant Cards was under the supervision of Lowell T. Galt, then Chief, Field Division. The collection of birth records and the matching of Infant Cards and birth records were performed by the National Office of Vital Statistics of the Public Health Service, Federal Security Agency, Dr. Halbert L. Dunn, Chief, under the supervision of Sam Shapiro, Chief, Natality Analysis Branch, and Joseph Schachter. The mechanical matching operation and tabulations of matched records were under the supervision of Howard West, Chief, Statistical Processing Branch, and Sidney Binder, Assistant Chief. The identification of enumeration districts for the searching of 1950 Census population schedules was under the supervision of Clarence E. Batschelet, Chief, Geography Division, Bureau of the Census; and tabulations of unmatched birth records were made by the Bureau of the Census under the supervision of C. F. Van Aken, Chief, Machine Tabulation Division.

April 1953.

# U.S. Census of Population, 1950

Vol.

- I Number of Inhabitants (comprising Series P-A bulletins)
- II Characteristics of the Population (comprising Series P-A, P-B, and P-C bulletins)
- III Census Tract Statistics (comprising Series P-D bulletins)
- IV Special Reports (comprising Series P-E bulletins):
  Employment Characteristics, Marital Status, Institutional Population, Nativity and Parentage, Nonwhite Population by Race, Persons of Spanish Surname, Puerto Ricans in Continental United States, State of Birth, Characteristics by Size of Place, Education, etc.

# U.S. Census of Agriculture, 1950

Vol.

- I Counties and State Economic Areas (in 34 parts)
- II Agriculture Statistics by Subjects for States, for Geographic Divisions, and for the United
- III Irrigation of Agricultural Lands
- IV Drainage of Agricultural Lands
- V Special Reports:
  - Part 1 Horticulture Specialties
    - 2 Multiple Unit Operations
    - 3 Ranking Agricultural Counties
    - 4 Graphic Summary of Land Utilization
    - 5 Graphic Summary of Farm Tenure
    - 6 Graphic Summary of the 1950 Census of Agriculture
    - 7 Graphic Summary of Irrigation
    - 8 Farm Mortgage Debt
    - 9 Graphic Summary of Farms by Economic Class and Type
    - 10 Farms and Farm Characteristics by Economic Subregions

# U.S. Census of Housing, 1950

Vol.


- I General Characteristics (comprising Series H-A bulletins)
- II Nonfarm Housing Characteristics (comprising Series H-B bulletins)
- III Farm Housing Characteristics
- IV Residential Financing
- V Block Statistics (comprising Series H-E bulletins)
  Housing statistics for census tracts are included in Population Series P-D bulletins

### CONTENTS

### INTRODUCTION

Semmary of findings.  1		Page		Page
Summary of findings.    1	General	- ·	Definitions and explanationsContinued	5-
Race and color.    Race and color.   1   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2	Summary of findings			9
Availability of unpublished data	Related materials			
Balatian to Dirth Registration Test				
Enlasticn to Histh Registration Test.  Accomparison of missing infants.  Encourage the United States showing regions, divisions, and States.  MAP  TEXT TABLES  TREAT TABLES  TO HISTORY THE Registration Test—Mission and States.  TO Hondon's page, for the United States 1950.  B.—1950 Enth Registration Test—Mission and unmainted records for illegitimate births and interstate nonnesident legitimate and illegitimate births, by color, for the United States, by Gattes.  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION  Table  1.—Regions by States, urban and rural.  1.—See: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Resions port and rural.  3.—See: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  3.—See: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  4.—Mylor occupation group of father: Reasons for undersemberation of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Amylor occupation group of father: Reasons for undersemberation of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Amylor occupation group of father: Reasons for undersemberation of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Amylor occupation group of father: Reasons for undersemberation of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Amylor occupation group of father: Reasons for undersemberation of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Amylor occupation group of father: Reasons for undersemberation of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Become f				
Reasons for missing infrarts				
Reasons for missing infants. 7   9   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1				
Reliability of sample data. 1  Inche and rural residence 9  Month of birth 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
When the order of the United States showing regions, divisions, and States.  TEXT TABLES  TEXT T			Reliability of sample data	15
MAP    Page   Map   Map		9	Sample design	15
TEXT TABLES  TEXT	Month of birth	9	Sampling variability	. 15
TEXT TABLES  TEXT TABLES  TEXT TABLES  TEXT TABLES  TEXT TABLES  TABLES  TEXT TABLES  TEXT TABLES  TABLES  TEXT TABLES  TABLES				
TEXT TABLES  Table A.—Comparison of census count of children under 15 years old with the number expected from statistics of births, deaths, and not migration, by age, for the United States: 1950.  B.—1950 Birth Registration Test—Matched and unmatched records for illegitimate births and interstate nonresident legitimate and illegitimate births, by color, for the United States, by States.  C.—Net size of sample of unmatched birth records, for regions and States, urban and rural (with color of infant for selected areas.).  D.—Standard error of estimated mumber.  COMPLETENESS OF EMUMERATION  Page 1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, the color in selected areas.  Scales Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  S.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  S.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  S.—Nears of school completed by mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  S.—Nears of school completed by mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  MINCELLANDOUS  13.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration status: Infants born in first 3 months of 1950, to color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  MINCELLANDOUS  14.—Percor of estimated percentages in the limited States, urban and rural.  MINCELLANDOUS  15.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration status: Infants born in first 3 months of 1950, to color, for the United States, by regions, urban and or ural.  MINCELLANDOUS  15.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration status: Infants born		MAI		Dago
Fable A.—Comparison of census count of children under 15 years old with the number expected from statistics of births, deaths, and net migration, by age, for the United States: 1950.  B.—1950 Birth Registration Test—Matched and unmatched records for illegitimate births and interstate nonresident legitimate and illegitimate births, by color, for the United States, by States.  C.—Net size of sample of unmatched birth records, for regions and States, urban and rural (with color of infant for selected areas).  D.—Standard error of estimated number.  E.—Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13  E.—Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13  DETAILED TABLES  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION  Table  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION  Page  1.—Regions by States, urban and rural.  2.—Anese: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Anese: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Anese: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Anese: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Anese: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Anese: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in fir	Man of the United States showing regions, divisions, and Stat	es		VI
AComparison of census count of children under 15 years old with the number expected from statistics of births, deaths, and net gration, by age, for the United States: 1950.  B1950 Birth Registration fest-Matched and unmatched records for illegitimate births and interstate nonresident legitimate and illegitimate births, by color, for the United States, by States.  CNet size of sample of unmatched birth records, for regions and States, urban and rural (with color of infant for selected areas).  DStandard error of estimated mumber.  EStandard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13.  DETAILED TABLES  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION  Table  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, in selected areas.  DETAILED TABLES  Table  REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS  Table  REASONS FOR UNDE	hap or the office property regressing artificing, and butter	CD		
A.—Comparison of census count of children under 15 years old with the number expected from statistics of hirths, deaths, and net migration, by age, for the United States 1950.  B.—1950 Birth Registration Test—Matched and unmatched records for illegitimate births and interstate nonresident legitimate and illegitimate births, by color, for the United States, by States.  C.—Net size of sample of unmatched birth records, for regions and States, urban and rural (with color of infant for selected areas).  D.—Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9—13  DEFIAILED TABLES  REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS  REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION		TEXT T	ABLES	
migration, by age, for the United States: 1950.	Table			Page
B.—1950 Birth Registration Test—Matched and unmatched records for illegitimate births and interstate nonresident legitimate and fillegitimate births, by color, for the United States, by states.  1.—Net size of sample of unmatched birth records, for regions and States, urban and rural (with color of infant for selected areas.).  2.—Standard error of estimated number.  2.—Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13.  2.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, by color in selected areas.  2.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Dempleteness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Mejor occupation group of father: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Mejor occupation group of father: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Mejor occupation group of father: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Mejor occupation group of father: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  2.—Mejor occupation group of father: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  2.—Mejor occupation group of father: Reasons for underenumeration of infants b				
and illegitimate births, by color, for the United States, by States. Net state of sample of urmatched birth records, for regions and States, urban and rural (with color of infant for selected areas). Standard error of estimated number.  E.—Standard error of estimated number.  E.—Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13 Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13 Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13 Standard error of estimated number.				
C.—Net size of sample of unmatched birth records, for regions and States, urban and rural (with color of infant for selected areas).  DETAILED TABLES  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION  Table  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION  Page  1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Reace: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Onder of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Amount of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Onder of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Amount of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Amount of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Amount of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Amount of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Amount of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Amount of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  2.—Mejor occupation group of father: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United	B1990 Birth Registration TestMatched and unmatched rec	ords for	c illegitimate births and interstate nonresident legitimate	12
areas).  Serious of estimated number.  E.—Standard error of estimated percentages in tables 9-13.  DETAILED TABLES  Table  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION  Table  Completeness of Fage  1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  3.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  4.—Month of birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of achool completed by mother: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of achool completed by mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of achool completed by mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Major occupation group of father: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Was of achol completed by mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions are achologous definitely or probably missed, for the United States, by regions are achologous definitely or probably missed, for the United States, by regions are achologous definitely or probably missed, for the United States, by regions are achologous definitely or probably missed, for the United States, by regions are achologous definitely or probably missed, for the United States, by				
DETAILED TABLES  COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION Table  Completeness of Enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by				
Table  1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  2.—Race: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  3.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  3.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 mo				
Rable 1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, surban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  2.—Race: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  3.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  4.—Month of birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for under-enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for under-enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for the United States, urban and rural:  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for under-enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, infants born in first 3 months of				
Rable 1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, surban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  2.—Race: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  3.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  4.—Month of birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for under-enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural:  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for under-enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for the United States, urban and rural:  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for under-enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, infants born in first 3 months of				
Table  1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color in selected areas.  2.—Race: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  3.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  4.—Month of birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for the United States, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for the United States, urban and rural.  8.—Alajor occupation group of father: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother:  8.—Alajor occupation group of father:  8.—Alajor occupation group of father:  9.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration of 1950, by color, for the United States, by	Di	ETAILED	TABLES	
1.—Regions by States, urban and rural: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color in selected areas	COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION		REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS	
enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color in selected areas		Page		Page
by color in selected areas				
2.—Race: Completeness of emumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural				
first 3 months of 1950, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural		23		
30.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  4.—Month of birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  40  MISCELIANEOUS  13.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration status: Infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  44.—Errors in enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions.				
3.—Sex: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural		30	or probably missed, for the United States, urban and	:
first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  4.—Month of birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants definitely or probably missed, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for underenumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for infants definitely or probably missed, for the United States, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, urban and rural.  6.—Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 mon				
by regions, urban and rural			11 Years of school completed by mother: Reasons for under-	
4.—Month of birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural		32		
born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural				
5.—Order of live birth: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural		0.5		
infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural		35		
the United States, by regions, urban and rural				
6Age of mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural		377	for infants definitely or probably missed, for the	1
born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  7.—Years of school completed by mother: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  8.—Major occupation group of father: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  44  13.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration status: Infants born in first 3 months of, 1950, for whom replies were received from mail inquiry, for the United States, urban and rural.  55  56  57  58  59  59  50  50  51  51  52  53  54  55  55  56  57  58  58  59  50  50  50  50  50  50  50  50  50		-	United States, urban and rural	57
United States, by regions, urban and rural		1		
enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  8.—Major occupation group of father: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  13.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration status: Infants born in first 3 months of, 1950, for whom replies were received from mail inquiry, for the United States, urban and rural.  14.—Errors in enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions.  APPENDIX  Page		40	A-TGOTT T- AATGOTG	
by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural.  8.—Rejationship to head of household by enumeration status:  Infants born in first 3 months of, 1950, for whom replies were received from mail inquiry, for the United States, urban and rural.  13.—Relationship to head of household by enumeration and Infants born in first 3 months of, 1950, for whom replies were received from mail inquiry, for the United States, urban and rural.  14.—Errors in enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions.  APPENDIX  Page  Forms used in the Infant Enumeration Study.			MISCELLANEOUS	
S.—Major occupation group of father: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural			13 -Paletianchin to hard of household by enumeration status.	
8Major occupation group of father: Completeness of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural				
meration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, by color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural		44		
color, for the United States, by regions, urban and rural	meration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950 by	Į.		
rural		ľ		
APPENDIX Pag Forms used in the Infant Enumeration Study		47		
Pag Forms used in the Infant Enumeration Study		•		
Pag Forms used in the Infant Enumeration Study		APPE	NDIX	
Forms used in the Infant Enumeration Study				Page
Instructions to enumerators for filling Infant Cards	Forms used in the Infant Enumeration Study			61
	Instructions to enumerators for filling Infant Cards			64

Figure 1.—REGIONS AND GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS OF THE UNITED STATES



# Infant Enumeration Study: 1950

### GENERAL

This report presents data primarily on the completeness of enumeration in the 1950 Census of infants born in the first three months of 1950, and on reasons for missing infants. To add perspective, some information is given on the completeness of the census count for children of various ages, although a full discussion of the census count is beyond the scope of the present report. Completeness of enumeration, as the term is used here, pertains to the extent to which persons have been listed in the census, and disregards other forms of error. The census count of children in an age group, on the other hand, is affected not only by the missing of children in the census but also by misstatements of age and by the counting of the same infant at two different addresses. Errors in reporting of age may sometimes account for much of the net error in the census count.

The data on enumeration are based on a check of birth records against census records and on an inquiry mailed to parents of infants for a sample of these birth records for which no matching census record could at first be found. The address of the infant at the time of the census was obtained through the mail inquiry, and this provided the basis for making a more thorough check of census records than could be made on the basis of the address given on birth records. Possible reasons for the missing of infants in the census were also obtained through the mail inquiry. Excluded from the data on completeness of enumeration (except in table B) are infants who died before April 1, 1950, illegitimate infants insofar as identified from birth records, and infants born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother.

The limited information given on the accuracy of the census count for children of various ages is based on comparisons of the census count with the number expected from statistics of births, deaths, and net migration. (See section on "Related materials.")

Statistics are presented on the completeness of enumeration of infants for States, urban and rural, by color, and for regions by race and month of birth of infant, age and education of mother, and the major occupation group of the father. Since part of the data are based on a sample, the results are subject to sampling variability as explained in the section on "Reliability of sample data." This section also contains a description of the sample, which varied from area to area.

The Infant Enumeration Study was undertaken to provide information that might lead to better enumeration of young children infuture censuses and to provide

a basis for estimating the extent of error in 1950. Although it was necessary to restrict the study to infants born in the first three months of 1950, some of the patterns of differential completeness of enumeration by urban-rural residence, etc., may be applicable to older infants.

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

About 96.4 percent of infants born in the first three months of 1950 were enumerated in the 1950 Census, according to the check of birth records against census records. This figure includes 1.3 percent for whom birth records indicated that the infants were born in the period but who were enumerated as born before 1950. These figures are exclusive of infants whose birth records indicated that they were illegitimate or were born in a State other than the State of usual residence of the mother. It is estimated that, if there had been no such exclusions, the percentage enumerated would have been about 95.9 percent. (See section on "Coverage.")

These figures answer the question, "How accurate is the census <u>enumeration</u> of infants?" which is not the same as "How complete is the census <u>count</u> of infants?" The accuracy of the census count of infants born in the first three months of 1950, including illegitimate infants and infants born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother, is estimated to be 95.1 percent. (See table A.)

Among the regions, the North Central Region had about the highest percentage of infants enumerated (97.8) and the South the lowest (94.7). Among the States, Ohio and Connecticut led with 98.3 percent and New Mexico was last with 91.0 percent. Thirty-four of the States had percentages of 95.0 or better. The completeness of enumeration was about the same for the urban and rural-nonfarm population (96.8 percent and 96.7 percent, respectively) but was lower for the rural-farm population (94.7 percent). White infants were more completely enumerated than non-white, the figures being 97.1 percent and 91.4 percent, respectively. There was no evidence of any real difference in the enumeration of boys and girls.

By month of birth, there was a very slight tendency for less complete enumeration of the oldest infants studied--those born in January--than of those born in February and March. By order of birth, the pattern was one of less complete enumeration at the extreme orders, with first births and tenth-or-higher-order births having been less completely enumerated than the intermediate birth orders. These comparisons may reflect unsettled living arrangements among

some recent parents of a first child and among some very large families.

By age of mother, infants of mothers under 25 years old tended to be less completely enumerated than infants of older mothers. By education of mother, infants of mothers who had completed less than 7 years of grade school were more likely to be missed than were infants of mothers with more education. Infants with fathers who were in the armed forces were relatively more often missed than were infants with civilian fathers.

In about 82 percent of the 16,045 cases in which infants were classified as definitely or probably missed in the census, the parents were also missed. This 82 percent was distributed as follows: (a) About 20 percent of the families of missed infants were absent from home or moved during the enumeration period; (b) about 55 percent of the missed infants were not counted because the enumerators overlooked some obscure dwellings, failed to enumerate all dwelling units in a structure, or listed some occupied dwelling units as "vacant"; (c) still another 8 percent resulted from failure of relatives or nonrelatives to report the parents and the infant who were staying with them, probably because the enumerator did not ask specifically about people who were living temporarily in the dwelling unit.

In the 18 percent of cases where the parents were enumerated but the infant was missed, the reasons given for the oversight included: (a) A neighbor gave incomplete information; (b) the family did not think infants were to be reported; and (c) infant died between April 1 and the time of the census enumeration. In only about 5 percent of the cases did the family forget to report the infant or think infants were not to be reported.

The proportion of cases in which the entire family was also missed if the child was missed was high in each of the several categories into which the relatively small number (16,045) of missed infants was subdivided. The infants were classified by urban and rural residence, by region of residence, by color, by age of mother, by educational attainment of mother, or by major occupation group of father. In rural areas and among whites, the tendency for the entire family to be missed if the infant was missed was especially pronounced. For rural areas, the greater difficulty of finding some dwelling units may have tended to increase somewhat the relative proportion of cases in which the family was missed. Probably nonwhite households failed more often than white households to report infants.

### RELATED MATERIALS

There is interest in the completeness of enumeration and in the accuracy of the census count for persons of every age. Some of the materials available are severely limited in scope (such as the restriction of the Infant Enumeration Study to infants born in the first three months of 1950); furthermore. some are subject to sizable sampling variability (as in the case of the Post-Enumeration Survey); all are subject to possible biases; and some measure net error in the census count without indicating how it arises (as illustrated by comparisons of the census count with the number expected from births, deaths, and net migration). The various types of limited data, of which the Infant Study is one, can be considered together for an approximate evaluation of the problem. An exhaustive analysis of this kind is beyond the scope of the present report. For the benefit of those readers who may wish to explore the field, several sources of related materials are described below:

Reports of earlier censuses .-- From time to time the Bureau of the Census has prepared reports which contained materials on the completeness of the count of young children. These were based on such evidence as the following: (a) The population enumerated as under 5 years old in one census was less than the number enumerated as 10 to 14 years old in a census taken 10 years later, with allowance for deaths and migration in the interval; (b) the population enumerated as under one year old and as one year old was sometimes less than the number enumerated at ages 2, 3, and 4 years, despite little change or an increase in the annual number of births; (c) there seemed to be a tendency to report infants almost a year old as one year old since few were reported as 10 and 11 months of age in some censuses; and (d) the child population enumerated was less than the number expected from births, deaths, and net migration. An example of materials in reports of earlier Federal censuses on the subject appears in Special Reports of the Census Office, Twelfth Census: 1900, Supplemental Analysis, pp. 139-143.

From 1870 to 1900 some of the census officials thought net overstatements of age might be the major factor in the apparent undercount of infants, whereas later writers were inclined to ascribe the undercount mainly to underenumeration. Estimates of the completeness of the count of children under 5 years old by States in 1940, based on comparisons of census data with vital statistics, appear in U. S. Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population: 1940, Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910--Standardized Fertility Rates and Reproduction Rates, Appendix A, pp. 32 and 33. This source also gives estimates for 1910, computed by a different method.

Independent estimates of the completeness of the census count. -- Table A presents estimates of the completeness of the census count for children of various ages. This table compares the count with the number expected from statistics of births, deaths, and net migration.

In the data for the expected population in table A, allowance has been made for underregistration of births and of deaths for children in the first year of life. This adjustment and the statistics on births, deaths, and net migration are subject to some error;

¹ The figure, 82 percent, may seem surprisingly large. It is possible that its size may be due in part to errors arising from procedural problems inherent in the searching operation, such as discrepancies with respect to the spelling of names, etc., between birth records and census records, but it seems unlikely that these problems could have introduced verymany failures to match. In urban areas, where more precise addresses were given, it was possible to narrow the search of census records and hence to establish with greater confidence whether or not the parents of the infant were missed. Despite this fact, the proportion of cases in which neither the infant nor the parents was found was also high in urban areas (79 percent).

so also is the estimate of the census count for infants born in the first three months of 1950, which was based on Infant Cards and on an allowance for the small proportion of infants enumerated in the census but with no Infant Card filled out. 2 The expected population is computed as of April 1, whereas the ages of children enumerated in the census are as of the time of enumeration; about half of them were enumerated between April 1 and April 13, the others later. The implicit assumption is that these errors are negligible; therefore, the difference between the census count and the expected population is assumed to represent the net effect of errors in the census count, such as missing children, enumerating some more than once (at different addresses), and misreporting of age.

The data in table A include illegitimate children and children born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother, unlike most other tables in this report.

The census count of infants under one year old is only 89 percent of the expected number, whereas for infants born in the first three months it is about 95 percent. Taken at face value, these estimates indicate that a considerably smaller proportion of infants born in the first three months of 1950 than of those born in the last nine months of 1949 were not counted at the correct age or were missed in the census. Information from other sources, however, suggests that the main reason for the difference may be the misreporting of age.

A question sometimes asked is whether the special fees paid for filling Infant Cards in the Censuses of 1950 and 1940 might not have caused some enumerators to make an extra effort to enumerate very young infants and to understate age of infants who were a little older than required for the Infant Card. In 1940 when Infant Cards were filled out for infants under 4 months old, the count of infants at the adjacent age of 4 months was particularly deficient as compared with expectations from statistics of births, deaths, and net migration. On the other hand, the completeness of the census count at ages under 4 months was no better than that at ages between 5 and 9 months. (Of course, it might have been worse without an Infant Card.) Also, it appears that the count of children under 1 year old may have been less complete in 1940, when there were Infant Cards, than in 1930, when there were no Infant Cards. Hence, it is doubtful whether the Infant Cards improved the completeness of the census count either of infants in general or of very young infants in particular.

For more information on errors in the reporting of infants born in the first three months of 1950, see section on "Errors in reporting," and table 14.

Post-Enumeration Survey .-- A "post-enumeration survey" made by the Bureau of the Census in connection with the 1950 Census obtained information on the completeness of enumeration of persons of every age, including young children, and also on mis-

reporting of age. Some of the results have been published in U. S. Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population: 1950, Vol. II, Characteristics of the Population, Chapter C, U. S. Summary, and a more detailed analysis will be published in a forthcoming special report. This survey was based on a coverage check in about 3,500 widely distributed small areas, such as city blocks or parts of rural townships, to see if all dwelling units in these areas had been enumerated in the 1950 Census, and on an intensive interview of about 22,000 households in these areas to check on the accuracy of the information originally collected. The interviewers in the Post-Enumeration Survey, however, experienced much the same difficulties as the original census enumerators in locating certain persons, such as those with no fixed place of residence, and in determining whether they should be counted in

The Post-Enumeration Survey did not include figures on infants born in the first three months of 1950 as a separate group; hence, no direct comparison can be made with data from the Infant Enumeration Study. However, data for children under 5 years old were obtained from the Post-Enumeration Survey. The correct count of children under 5 years old in 1950 according to the Post-Enumeration Survey was about 16,551,000 as compared with the 1950 Census count of 16,164,000. The difference is 387,000, or 2.3 percent, and represents the net effect of the following types of errors: the exclusion of 298,000 children who were either enumerated in the wrong enumeration district or were missed in the 1950 Census; the inclusion of 170,000 children who were not residents of the enumeration district or were counted more than once; the exclusion of 472,000 children who were under 5 years old according to the survey but were reported in the census as 5 years old or over; and the inclusion of 213,000 children reported as under 5 years old in the census, but who were 5 years old or over according to the survey. Since the Post-Enumeration Survey is based on a sample, these figures are subject to sampling variability. The chances are 19 in 20 that the net difference of 387,000 cited above would have been somewhere between 193,000 and 580,000 if the Post-Enumeration Survey had been made on a complete basis rather than a sample basis. Any uncontrolled biases, such as the possible incomplete coverage check, could have an additional effect. Despite the large sampling variability and the possibly uncontrolled biases, the Post-Enumeration Survey indicates that misreporting of age plays an important role in causing errors in the census count of young children.

The net underenumeration of the population of all ages in the 1950 Census was 1.4 percent according

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Enumerators were instructed to fill an Infant Card for each infant enumerated as born in the first 3 months of 1950. See appendix.

<sup>3</sup> A similar conclusion may be drawn from the Canadian Census of 1941, in which census checks of several thousand census records against birth records indicated that only about 1 percent of infants under 1 year old were not enumerated in the census, whereas there was a net loss of 6.5 percent from misreporting of age, on the assumption that the date of birth on the birth record was correct. Canada, Dominion Bureau of Statistics, Eighth Census of Canada, 1941, the Changing Size of the Family in Canada, Census Monograph No. 1, by Enid Charles, pp. 263-265, Edmond Cloutier, Ottawa, 1948.

to the Post-Enumeration Survey, as compared with 0.8 percent for children under 5 years old. Evidence from other surveys confirms the fact that the problem of underenumeration is not limited to young children. In the past many demographers thought that the problem was most severe among them, but it now seems best to reserve judgment on this point. The Post-Enumeration Survey does not give a definitive answer in this case.

### AVAILABILITY OF UNPUBLISHED DATA

Because of the small number of nonwhite persons in the urban or rural parts of some States, color is not shown throughout in table 1. The data were tabulated uniformly by color, however. The material shown for the North and West in tables 3 to 8 is available

separately for the Northeast, North Central, and West Regions, by urban and rural residence, by color. For tables 2 to 8, categories of enumeration status based on birth records not matched by the National Office of Vital Statistics are available for cases with a mail inquiry response and those with no mail inquiry response; and, for those with a mail inquiry response, data are available for parents who did claim, and for those who did not claim, that an enumerator called on the family or that a neighbor gave information for the family. The material in tables 10 to 13 was tabulated by color. The tabulated, but unpublished, statistics can be made available upon request, for the cost of transcription, consolidation, and any computation required. Requests for such unpublished statistics should be addressed to the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington 25, D. C.

### **DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS**

### COVERAGE

As stated above, the study of completeness of enumeration (the primary objective of the Infant Enumeration Study) was limited to infants with birth records indicating that they were born in the first three months of 1950. It excluded infants who died before April 1, 1950, illegitimate infants insofar as identifiable from birth records, and infants born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother. Some other exclusions may also be noted, although they were relatively minor. The birth records used were, in general, limited to those in the National Office of Vital Statistics at the time the census sample of unmatched birth records was drawn. The relatively few records still in State offices were excluded except for some records which were matched after the selection of the census sample; as indicated in the section on enumeration status below, the number of such cases was usually too small to affect the estimated percentage of infants enumerated. Also excluded were birth records for children who were living abroad at the time of the census, who were residents of a foreign embassy and not subject to enumeration, or who, despite the date on the birth record, were not actually born in the first three months of 1950.

Illegitimate infants and infants born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother constituted a sufficiently large proportion of the records in some areas to affect the estimated percentage of infants enumerated. Relatively more of the excluded than of the included infants were missed in the census enumeration. For the convenience of those readers who may wish to allow for this effect, a special table (table B) prepared by the National Office of Vital Statistics is presented below.

In using table B, the reader should bear in mind that a substantial proportion of the unmatched birth records undoubtedly represent infants who were actually enumerated on the population schedule but the birth record was not matched because no Infant Card was filled or because a change in name or in other information between birth and enumeration prevented identification. Possibly at least half of the unmatched birth records for illegitimate infants and infants born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother are for infants actually enumerated in the census. This assertion is based on the Bureau's experience with the follow-up of originally unmatched birth records for legitimate infants born in a State which was the usual residence of the mother and on the

assumption that many illegitimate children were enumerated under a different name from that given on the birth records. If illegitimate infants and infants born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother were included, and if half of the unmatched records for these infants were assumed to represent infants actually enumerated, then the estimated percentage of infants enumerated in the 1950 Census would drop from 96.4 percent to 95.9 percent.

Infants without birth records are not represented in the Infant Enumeration Study, except in table A. Their exclusion affects the accuracy of the estimated percentage of infants enumerated in the census only if a different proportion of these infants without birth records were enumerated than of infants with birth records and if they formed a sufficiently large proportion of all infants. (There is reason to believe that infants without birth records were more frequently missed in the census than were infants with birth records.) Some idea of the minor nature of the problem can be obtained from a study made for the counterpart problem in the Birth Registration Test of 1940. This study indicated that infants without census records have very minor effect on birth-registration com-pleteness figures above 90 percent. This conclusion should apply at least as well to the Infant Enumeration Study, since there were relatively fewer infants in 1950 without birth records than infants in 1940 without census records.

### RELATION TO BIRTH REGISTRATION TEST

The Infant Enumeration Study was made in conjunction with the Birth Registration Test of the National Office of Vital Statistics. Preliminary results of the Birth Registration Test have been published in several

<sup>5</sup> Sam Shapiro, "Estimating Birth Registration Completeness," Journal of the American Statistical Association, Vol. 45, No. 250, June 1950, pp. 261-264.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Even if so large a proportion of the illegitimate infants with unmatched birth records were enumerated, the proportion of illegitimate infants missed in the census would still be larger than the proportion of legitimate infants missed, because relatively more of the birth records of illegitimate children were unmatched.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A Birth Registration Test was also made in 1940, but plans for an Infant Enumeration Study in 1940 were not carried out because of the pressure of other work following the outbreak of World War II.

reports. More detailed findings and methodological statements will appear in future publications of the National Office of Vital Statistics, Public Health Service, Federal Security Agency. Both studies cited were for infants born in the first three months of 1950. Special Infant Cards (Form P-3) filled by enumerators in the 1950 Census were matched against birth records from the States; matched records represented infants who were both enumerated in the Federal census and registered on a State birth certificate. The National Office of Vital Statistics did most of the matching work, using personnel sworn in as special agents of the Bureau of the Census. The two agencies shared the costs of this operation.

The National Office of Vital Statistics used all unmatched Infant Cards to check on underregistration of births whereas the Bureau of the Census used a sample of unmatched birth records to check on underenumeration of infants. The National Office of Vital Statistics needed information on birth registration for small areas and therefore could not use a sample.

Another difference arose from the exclusion from the census study of infants whose birth records indicated that they were illegitimate or that they were born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother. These cases were kept in the Birth Registration Test. The Infant Cards used by the National Office of Vital Statistics did not indicate whether the infant was illegitimate or not, whereas the birth records which were used by the Bureau of the Census usually did. The agencies agreed not to write to parents of illegitimate children. Births occurring in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother were excluded from the census study because a stratified sample of unmatched birth records in a particular State had to be selected soon after the birth records for that State were processed, so that as many parents as possible could be reached in the mail inquiry before they changed their place of residence or forgot any visit by an enumerator. Since illegitimate births and births in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother were excluded from the census sample of unmatched birth records, they had to be excluded from matched records also to avoid an overstatement of the completeness of infant enumeration.

### **ENUMERATION STATUS**

Probably most users of tables 1 to 8 will be chiefly interested in the "Adjusted estimate of percent enumerated" shown for each population group. This estimate, as explained at the end of this section, combines appropriate proportions of five categories of enumeration status and represents the overall percentage of infants enumerated in the 1950 Census. The five separate categories are indicative of the quality of the original data, however, and will be explained first.

The five categories of enumeration status used are:

Definitely enumerated
Probably enumerated
Probably missed
Definitely missed
Enumeration status uncertain

Every infant was either enumerated or missed in the census, but the true status of some infants was not known, because the population schedules could not be conclusively searched. The infant's address during the 1950 Census enumeration was needed to locate the population schedules on which he might be enumerated. Sometimes the address was unknown and, of course, the schedules for 150 million people could not be examined. Sometimes the address was so vague that a search could not be limited to a few enumeration districts, or to the population schedules for a few thousand people. In other cases the parents' reply to the mail inquiry gave information which indicated so definitely that the child was or was not enumerated that the outcome of a search of the population schedules was almost aforegone conclusion. The search was therefore eliminated and the limited means available were utilized to investigating other, less certain cases.

The five categories of enumeration status describe the degree of success in searching the 1950 Census records and of the information received from the mail inquiry.

Definitely enumerated.--The category "Definitely enumerated" comprises cases where a census record was found to match a birth record. To distinguish between data from a complete count and data from a sample, it is subdivided into (a) birth records matched with the 1950 Census Infant Cards and (b) infants found in searches of the population schedules. The matches with Infant Cards are the only part of the five categories that has data from a complete count.

Group a includes about 8,000 matches which are valid for the Birth Registration Test but which, in theory, should not have been included in the Infant Enumeration Study. These involved birth records not sent in from the States in time for early matching efforts but found later when a determined search was made in State offices to locate birth records to match remaining Infant Cards. Since only matched birth records were added in this late stage, their inclusion tends to overstate the completeness of enumeration of infants. Practically, the bias from this source was usually negligible, and it was much easier to tabulate all existing punch cards for group a than to locate and remove the bias-introducing late matches. Most States had relatively few late matches and their inclusion does not affect the adjusted estimate of the percent enumerated. The State most affected is Arkansas, and, for this State,

<sup>7</sup> Sam Shapiro and Joseph Schachter, "Birth Registration Completeness, 1950," Public Health Reports, Vol. 67, No. 6, June 1952, pp. 513-524. Sam Shapiro and Joseph Schachter, "Methodology and Summary Results of the 1950 Birth Registration Test in the United States," Estadistica, Vol. 10, No. 37, December 1952, pp. 688-699.

<sup>8</sup> Since some degree of judgment entered into the classification of marginal cases, it is appropriate to acknowledge that the terms "definitely enumerated" and "definitely missed" are somewhat arbitrary. Marginal cases were relatively infrequent, however. Members of the professional staff who worked with the searching operation believe that only 1 to 5 percent of all classifications were marginal.

the exclusion of the bias-introducing late matches would reduce the adjusted estimate of percent enumerated from 94.2 to 93.7.

Group <u>b</u> included matches against entries on the regular population schedules of a sample of birth records remaining after group <u>a</u> had been matched. It represented infants definitely enumerated in the census for whom no Infant Card was filled.

Definite rules for the establishment of a match were used in both groups a and b. In general, agreement was required on such things as the names of the infant and its parents, ages, race, and other criteria, including, for group b, the address. When most items agreed, occasional minor variations were permitted, such as the spelling of a name as LODD for LADD or SMITH for SMYTHE or by the use of diminutives like Betty for Elizabeth.

Probably enumerated. The category 'Probably enumerated' comprises cases where the respondent to the mail inquiry claimed that the infant had been reported to the enumerator. Because of budgetary limitations, no search of the population schedules was made to confirm the claim, except in the State of Delaware. The Delaware search provided some basis for an estimate of the proportion of infants actually enumerated; this estimate was needed to compute the adjusted percent of infants enumerated.

Supporting an assumption that a substantial percentage of such infants actually were enumerated is the fact that all reports that the child had been enumerated were unsolicited; that is, the letter did not ask whether the child had been enumerated. The form letter sent out indicated that no census record for the infant had been found and asked for possible reasons for the missing of the infant in the census. Some of the respondents not only said that the child was reported but gave detail which could come only from a vivid recollection. For example, some mentioned that the enumerator listed the child on two different forms (the basic population schedule and the Infant Card), or that the enumerator said he was out of special forms for infants. A direct question, such as "Was your child reported to the enumerator?", might have brought in more reports of enumeration.

On the other hand, incorrect reports of the infant's having been enumerated may have occurred from faulty memory, from confusion of the Federal census with a local school census or some other survey, from a defensive reaction by people who might have thought they were being blamed for a mistake, and from other reasons. It is felt, however, that the negative aspects were relatively unimportant. The importance of defensive reactions may be discounted since not over 50 of the approximately 23,000 letters returned contained any specific indication of fear or resentment. On balance, it appears that a high proportion--perhaps nine-tenths--of the infants classified as "Probably enumerated" were actually enumerated.

Probably missed .-- The category "Probably missed" arose partly from a curtailment of searching operations to meet limitations of time and cost and partly from want of sufficient detail for the address at the time of the birth. It comprises (a) infants for whom respondents to the mail inquiry indicated that the child had died between April 1 and May 31 and (b) infants for whom the population schedules were conclusively searched for the address of the parents as of May 1 (from the mail inquiry) but not for a different address at the time the infant was born (from the birth record), without finding the infant or its parents. An estimate of the proportion of infants in this category who were actually missed can be made on the basis of the proportion missed in similar cases in other categories where a conclusive search of the population schedules was made. Several hundred of these conclusive searches, widely distributed among the States, were made for the "Definitely enumerated" and "Definitely missed" categories. Among cases where the child died between April 1 and May 31, 93 percent were not enumerated in the census. Among cases where the address of the parents on May 1 was different from that at the time the child was born, 96 percent were not enumerated in the census. The infant was enumerated in the remaining 7 percent and 4 percent of the cases, respectively. Since the scanty information available suggested that between 90 and 95 percent of the cases in question were actually missed, it seemed reasonable to assume that at least nine-tenths of infants in the category "Probably missed" were actually missed.

Definitely missed.--The category "Definitely missed" comprises infants not found after a conclusive search of the population schedules. This involved a search for the address on May I and also for the address of usual residence of the mother at the time of birth of her child, if it was different. Of course, one address sufficed when the parents were found at the first address searched and the response to the mail inquiry indicated that the child was with the parents throughout April and May. The search for an address was considered to be conclusive when it met one of the following criteria:

Street and specific house number found in the population schedules.

Proper block or street found but not the house number.

Block or street not enumerated.

Sufficient number of enumeration districts searched to cover all reasonable territory around an indefinite rural address like "North side of Highway 57, 5 miles west of Hopeville," allowing for some error in the distance and for a place well off the road.

Other evidence, such as the finding on the population schedule of persons named in the mail inquiry for the identification of the location (such as John Smith farm, 1 mile east of Hopeville, Va.).

Enumeration status uncertain. The category "Enumeration status uncertain" is a residual classification for infants not established as definitely enumerated, probably enumerated, probably missed, or definitely missed. Infants in this category have in common the fact that the address at the time of the census was either unknown or was reported in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In Delaware the claim was substantiated in 51 of 57 cases having an adequate address. The proportion of correct claims may have varied from this in other States.

insufficient detail to permit a conclusive search of the population schedules, and there was no claim that the infant had been enumerated or had died. On a national basis, 72.5 percent of the category "Enumeration status uncertain" consists of infants for whom there was no response to the mail inquiry, usually because the post office was unable to locate the family at the address given on the birth record. (The letters were usually returned with notations such as "moved and left no address" or "unknown.")

Special tabulations were made to approximate the proportion of infants actually enumerated for the group classified as "Enumeration status uncertain." In cases with a mail inquiry response, account was taken of whether or not the family claimed that an enumerator had called or a neighbor had given information. Similar information was available for other categories where the address detail was good and the population schedules were searched. It was then assumed that infants with enumeration status uncertain were enumerated at a rate nine-tenths of that for similar cases in other categories.

In cases with no mail inquiry response, a minimum percentage enumerated was obtained from such searches as had been made of population schedules at the birth address. (A third of all nonresponse cases were so searched, and such infants as were found to be enumerated were reclassified as definitely enumerated. When an infant was not found, it might nonetheless have been enumerated elsewhere or have died or left the country. The minimum percentage was applied to the two-thirds of nonresponse cases not searched.) Also, a maximum percentage enumerated was obtained as the percentage definitely or probably enumerated among all response cases. It was assumed that the true percentage for nonresponse cases fell two-thirds of the way from the minimum percentage to the maximum percentage.

The work was performed separately for the four regions, urban and rural, by color. It resulted in estimates of the proportion actually enumerated among all infants classified as "enumeration status uncertain" which ranged from .270 for nonwhites in rural-nonfarm areas of the South to .419 for whites in rural-farm areas of the North Central States. 10 No corresponding proportions were available by States. It was assumed that the proportion for a region could be applied to the corresponding residence-color part of each State and that State totals could be obtained by adding the weighted products for the component residence-color parts of the State.

Adjusted estimate of percent enumerated.—Tables 1 to 8 also show the "Adjusted estimate of percent enumerated," which answers the question "How complete is the census enumeration of infants?" This estimate was computed by taking as enumerated all cases definitely enumerated, nine-tenths of cases probably enumerated, one-tenth of cases probably missed, and a proportion of cases with enumeration status uncertain derived as explained in the preceding paragraph. The resulting estimate of total infants enumerated was then expressed as a percentage of the total birth records.

The error which may result from the arbitrary proportions applied to the indefinite categories can readily be determined by modifying these proportions for high and low estimates and is then found to be

relatively small. A very high estimate might treat as enumerated all infants definitely enumerated, all infants probably enumerated, two-tenths of infants probably missed, and half of infants with enumeration status uncertain. These assumptions would result in a high estimate of 97.0 percent enumerated for infants in the Nation as a whole, as compared with the official estimate of 96.4 percent. A very low estimate might treat as enumerated all infants definitely enumerated, eight-tenths of infants probably enumerated, none of the infants probably missed. and two-tenths of infants with enumeration status uncertain. This would result in a low estimate of 96.0 percent enumerated for infants on a national basis. These assumptions are thought to be rather extreme. For small areas, such as parts of States, the range of error may be greater or smaller, depending on the distribution of the various categories and on the number of cases involved.

### REASONS FOR MISSING INFANTS

In the mail inquiry, parents were asked to give possible reasons why their child was missed in the census. Some reasons were listed for their guidance, and they were asked to check all that might apply and to give any additional possibilities that might occur to them. The questionnaire was accompanied by a letter indicating that no census record had been found for the infant and asking for cooperation in determining why infants are sometimes missed in censuses.

10 The estimated proportion actually enumerated among infants with enumeration status uncertain is as follows, where a value of 1.000 would represent enumeration of all infants:

United States Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm	White .352 .371 .321 .342	Nonwhite .294 .305 .275 .287
Northeast Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm.	.403 .416 .385 .351	.337 .336 .348 .313
North Central Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm	.389 .396 .345 .419	.322 .320 .312 .369
South Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm.	.335 .362 .299 .325	.283 .286 .270 .286
West Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm.	.294 .297 .275 .312	.307 .330 .248 .275

The following values were used when regions were combined for some tables:

North and West	.357 .366
Nonwhite	.322
Urban	.363
Rural nonfarm	.334
Rural farm.	363

Naturally, the parents were not always aware of the real reason for missing the infant, and the possible reasons given were sometimes a matter of speculation. The tabulations were limited to infants established as definitely or probably missed. These were subdivided according to whether the parents were found to be enumerated on a search of the population schedules. However, for infants classified as probably missed (for whom the population schedules were not conclusively searched), the following assumptions were made on the enumeration status of the parents: where the infant died in the enumeration period, the parents were classified as probably enumerated; where the infant and its parents were not enumerated at the address claimed at the time of the census and no search was made for the (different) address at the time of birth, the parents were assumed to have been missed. These assumptions were made on the basis of the findings on other cases where conclusive searches were made; they should have been correct for most cases.

Some parents gave more than one reason for the missing of infants or gave an inapplicable reason; hence, a system of priorities was established for the selection of a reason to be ascribed. In this process, some inapplicable reasons were automatically eliminated and only one of two or more possible reasons was taken.

Reasons for missing infants whose parents were definitely or probably enumerated were selected in the following sequence:

- 1. Death of infant in April or May 1950.
- 2. Infant temporarily away from parents in enumeration period. (Infants permanently away, as in the case of adoption, were usually not traceable and hence were usually classified as enumeration status uncertain.)
- 3. Information supplied by a neighbor, or parents had no knowledge of any call by the enumerator. (Someone, presumably a neighbor, did report the parents since the parents were found on a search of the population schedules. This selection automatically eliminates reasons such as "away from home," "living in an obscure place," etc., that were offered by parents who knew of no enumeration. It also means that subsequent selections of reasons below are all for records where there was a report by the parents that the household was visited by an enumerator.)
- 4. Enumerator said infant was too young to be counted. (This was really a valid reason only if the infant was born after April 1, 1950.)
- 5. Family did not think infants were to be counted.
- 6. Family forgot infant. (This is the classic reason hypothesized in past censuses for missing infants.)
- 7. Other acceptable reasons. (These include cases of children born after the enumerator called, as happened in a few enumeration districts used for training enumerators before April 1.)

8. No acceptable reason offered. (Usually either the statement 'don't know' or no reason was offered; rarely did this include irrelevant reasons.)

Reasons for missing infants whose parents were definitely or probably missed (at least as indicated by a search of the schedules for the address as of May 1) were selected in the following sequence:

- l. Living with relatives, with a claim that an enumerator called or a neighbor gave information. [When there was a claim that an enumerator called or a neighbor gave information, usually no reasons were offered for missing the parents. Relationship of the infant to the head of the household was examined in such cases. Where the relationship was a category other than "child" (son or daughter of head of household), the presumption was that if an enumerator did call, the relatives failed to report the infant and its parents. Incidentally, relatives were often listed on the population schedule at the address given, but neither the parents nor the infant were listed.]
- 2. Living with unrelated persons, with a claim that an enumerator called or a neighbor gave information. (The names of the unrelated persons were usually not known. The specific address was generally found on a search of population schedules, and the people living there were apparently unrelated to the parents. But they may not have been the people who failed to report the parents or the infant.)
- 3. Away from home. (Some respondents may have checked this box rather than report a move during the enumeration period. Most were persons still living at the old address given on birth records, hence most had not moved.)
- 4. Moved in enumeration period. (The mention of a move was volunteered by the informant. This was not listed as a possible reason in letters sent out.)
- 5. Living in an obscure place. (Respondents were asked if they had been in a place which might have been overlooked by the enumerator, such as a rural home hidden by woods or in a hollow; quarters over a garage, behind a store, or in an office building; a trailer; an attic; or a basement. This reason was frequently given by parents who knew of no enumeration but actually were reported by a neighbor. It is therefore difficult to evaluate.)
- 6. Living in a structure containing two or more dwelling units. (Sometimes respondents lived "upstairs" in what looked like a l-family house, or the enumerator may have missed an obscure apartment or room in a larger building.)
- 7. No reason given. (Usually respondents stated "don't know," "not called on," etc., or made no statement at all. Perhaps most represent cases where the enumerator failed to find someone at home and did not return, or otherwise skipped an obvious place. Searches indicated some rare cases where one side of a block was missed and more frequent cases of entries of "vacant" for the address. An entry of "vacant" would sometimes enable an enumerator who was not conscientious to avoid a callback. Most searches simply indicated that the address was somehow skipped.)

### ERRORS IN REPORTING

Errors in the census count of infants arise not only from missing them but also from misreporting age and from counting them twice (reporting them as members of two different households). In table 14, an effort is made to assess the relative importance of each type of error, for legitimate infants born in the first three months of 1950, in a State which was the usual residence of the mother. (See also the section on "Related materials," which provides some data on the accuracy of the census count for all infants born in the first three months of 1950 and for children of various ages.)

The information on errors came from various sources. Some duplicate enumerations were discovered during processing operations when two or more Infant Cards for the same infant were found. 11 Most of the errors in reporting age were found in two ways. If the census enumerator reported an infant as born in January, February, or March 1950 when it was not, the National Office of Vital Statistics usually found the error when it could not match the Infant Card; sometimes the National Office of Vital Statistics got the correct date from the parents, and sometimes it found a birth record for the child. If the enumerator reported an infant as not born in January, February, or March 1950 when it was, the Bureau of the Census usually found the error when it took information from the unmatched birth records and searched the population schedules. Since Infant Cards were not always filled by enumerators and since conclusive searches of population schedules were not always made, allowance had to be made for additional errors which would thus have been found. 12

### URBAN AND RURAL RESIDENCE

The classification of residence as urban or rural is based on the definition used in the 1940 Census rather than on the definition developed for use

11 Infant Cards for visitors in the household were not counted as duplicate enumerations for table 14. (In the census, schedules for visitors were checked to see if the visitors were also reported at home. If so, the duplication was eliminated.)

12 Infants classified as "Probably enumerated,"
"Probably missed," and as "Enumeration status uncertain" were assumed to have been enumerated in accordance with the proportions cited in the section
above on "Enumeration status." Enumerated infants in
these categories were subdivided into those properly
enumerated as born in the first three months of 1950
and those improperly enumerated as born at another
time. This grouping was developed from proportions
among infants whose names were located in a search of
the population schedules. This process gave all the
needed estimates for items 1, 2, and 3 of table 14.

For items 4 and 5, counts of rejected Infant Cards had to be augmented by estimates of additional rejections which would have occurred if an Infant Card had been filled for every infant improperly enumerated as born at a time other than January, February, or March 1950. To get this estimate, the ratio of infants properly enumerated but with no Infant Card filled to infants properly enumerated with Infant Cards filled was applied to infants improperly enumerated with Infant Cards filled.

in the 1950 Census. By the 1940 definition, the urban population comprises, in general, all cities and other incorporated places having 2,500 inhabitants or more. Places of this type constituted about 96 percent of the urban population in 1940. A second type is limited to the States of New Hampshire, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, in which States it is not the practice to incorporate as municipalities places of less than 10,000. This type is made up of towns (townships) in which there is a village or other thickly settled area having more than 2,500 inhabitants and comprising either by itself or when combined with other villages in the same town more than 50 percent of the total population of the town. A third type of urban place is made up of townships and other political subdivisions (not incorporated as municipalities nor containing any areas so incorporated) with a total population of 10,000 or more and a population density of 1,000 or more per square mile. The remainder of the population is classified as rural and is subdivided into the rural-farm population, which comprises all rural residents living on farms, and the rural-nonfarm population, which comprises the remaining population.

Preliminary population counts obtained in the 1950 Census or estimates for 1950 were used in the classification by the 1940 rules. The classification was made for the address at the time of the census, if known; otherwise it was made for the usual address of the mother at the time the infant was born. Where farm or nonfarm residence was not reported, as in the case of no reply to the mail inquiry, it was estimated from the address, occupation of the father, and distributions from other birth records with known residence for each State.

The classification of residence as urban or rural by the 1940 Census definition differs from the one developed for use in the 1950 Census. The latter includes as urban not only incorporated places of 2,500 or more but also unincorporated places of this size and also the thickly built-up territory (or "urban fringe") around cities of 50,000 or more; it also dispenses with places urban by special rule. The urban population of the United States in 1950 was 96,467,686 by the 1950 rules of classification and 88,927,464 by the 1940 rules. It was more feasible to use the 1940 Census rules of classification for the Infant Enumeration Study than to try to determine whether addresses given in letters from parents or from birth records were located within the arbitrary boundaries of unincorporated places or within the urban fringe of a large city.

### MONTH OF BIRTH

The classification in table 4 of infants by month of birth is based on the birth date as reported in birth records.

### ORDER OF LIVE BIRTH -

The classification in table 5 of infants by order (first, second, etc.) of live birth is based on entries in birth records in response to questions on how many other live births the mother previously had; one was added to give the order of the current birth.

### RACE AND COLOR

The classification of infants by race and color is based on birth records. The concept of race is derived from that which is commonly accepted by the general public. It does not, therefore, reflect clear-cut definitions of biological stock, and several categories obviously refer to nationalities.

The term "color" refers to the division of population into two groups, white and nonwhite. The group designated as "nonwhite" consists of Negroes, Indians, Japanese, Chinese, and other nonwhite races. Persons of Mexican birth or ancestry who were not definitely Indian or of other nonwhite race were classified as white.

In addition to full-blooded Negroes, the classification "Negro" includes persons of mixed white and Negro parentage and persons of mixed Indian and Negro parentage unless the Indian blood very definitely predominates or unless the individual is accepted in the community as an Indian.

The category "Other races" includes Japanese, Chinese, and other nonwhite races. (Indians are shown separately in the present report.)

### AGE OF MOTHER

The classification of infants by age of mother is based on birth records and represents the mother's age at the time the infant was born. There were no instructions on how to report age; some probably reported age at last birthday and some at nearest birthday.

### YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY MOTHER

The data on years of school completed were derived from the combination of answers to two questions on the Infant Card: (a) "What is the highest grade of school that she has attended?" and (b) "Did she finish this grade?"; and from one question in letters sent to parents in the sample of birth records for which no matching Infant Card was found: "What was the highest grade of school that the mother completed?" In the present report, these data are shown for mothers of infants born in the first three months of 1950.

The following definitions were supplied only to enumerators, not to parents. The questions on educational attainment applied only to progress in "regular" schools. Such schools are public, private, or parochial schools, colleges, universities, or professional schools, either day or night, full-time or part-time--that is, those schools where enrollment may lead to an elementary or high school diploma, or to a college, university, or professional school degree. Schooling obtained through a correspondence course was counted only if the course was given by a regular school such as a university and the person received credit thereby in the regular school system.

The question on highest grade of school attended called for the highest grade attended, regardless of "skipped" or "repeated" grades, rather than the number of full school years which the person had spent in school.

The question on completion of highest grade was to be answered "Yes" if the person had completed the full grade. If a person was still attending school in that grade, had completed only a half grade, or had dropped out of or failed to pass the last grade attended, the required answer was "No." In this report, persons who failed to report on completion of the grade were assumed to have finished.

### MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER

The classification is based on information from the 1950 Census when birth records were matched with Infant Cards and on birth records alone when these were unmatched. The two sources of information yield data which may be only roughly comparable because of differences between concepts used in the two types of records and differences between enumerators, on the one hand, and physicians and attendants, on the other hand, in entering occupation of the father. The decision to code occupation from 1950 Census records where possible and from birth records in remaining cases arose partly from budgetary limitations and partly from the need for data on occupation that would be suitable for both the Birth Registration Test and the Infant Enumeration Study.

In the 1950 Census of Population, information on occupation was obtained for persons in the experienced civilian labor force. For an employed person, the question "What kind of work was he doing?" referred to the job he had held in the "census week," or the week preceding the enumerator's visit. If the person was employed at two or more jobs, the job at which he worked the greatest number of hours during this census week was reported. For an experienced unemployed person, the question referred to the last job he had held.

For birth records, information on the usual occupation of the father was obtained by the person filling out the form (usually a doctor or a hospital attendant with no specialized training or instructions about how to report occupations). For many fathers the current job apparently was reported. Many fathers who were experienced unemployed persons reported the fact of their unemployment instead of their last occupation. Although students and members of the armed forces were so reported in some cases, in others they may have been reported according to former or anticipated civilian occupations or according to the type of work performed in military jobs. The factor of seasonality of certain types of work also enters into differences between occupation as reported in birth records and as reported in census records, inasmuch as the birth records were filed during the winter months and the census was taken in the spring. The birth records and census records. however, are thought to be sufficiently consistent to form the basis for rough generalizations about the relationship between completeness of enumeration and occupational group.

The Bureau of the Census coded occupation on both Infant Cards and birth records, by using the occupational classification system developed for the 1950 Census of Population. This system consists of 469 items, 270 of which are specific occupation categories; the remainder are subgroupings (mainly on the basis of industry) of 13 of the occupation categories. The 469 detailed items are classified

into 12 major occupation groups. In the present report, however, the major occupation goups "Farmers and farm managers" and "Farm laborers and foremen" have been combined into "Farm workers," and the major occupation groups "Service workers, except private household" and "Private household workers" have been combined into "Service workers, including private household."

The composition of the 1950 major groups (except the 'not reported' group) is indicated in the illustrative list shown below:

Professional, technical, and kindred workers. -- Includes Accountants; Actors; Airplane pilots and navigators; Architects; Artists; Athletes; Auditors; Authors; Chemists; Chiropractors; Clergymen; College presidents, professors, and instructors; Conservationists; Dancers; Dentists; Designers; Dietitians; Draftsmen; Editors; Embalmers; Entertainers; Farm management advisors; Foresters; Funeral directors; Healers; Home management advisors; Judges: Lawyers: Librarians: Musicians: Natural scientists; Nutritionists; Optometrists; Osteopaths; Personnel workers; Pharmacists; Photographers; Physicians; Professional nurses; Radio operators; Recreation workers; Religious workers; Reporters; Social scientists; Social workers; Sports instructors and officials; Student professional nurses; Surgeons; Surveyors; Teachers; Technical engineers; Therapists; Veterinarians.

Farmers and farm managers. -- Includes tenant farmers and share croppers.

Managers, officials, and proprietors, except farm.--Includes Buyers; Building superintendents; Credit men; Lodge officials; Postmasters; Public administration officials; Purchasing agents; Railroad conductors; Ship officers, pilots, pursers, and engineers; Shippers of farm products; Union officials.

Clerical and kindred workers.--Includes Bank tellers; Bill and account collectors; Bookkeepers; Cashiers; Dentist's office attendants; Express agents; Express messengers; Library assistants and attendants; Mail carriers; Messengers; Office boys; Office machine operators; Physician's office attendants; Railway mail clerks; Receiving clerks; Secretaries; Shipping clerks; Station agents; Stenographers; Telegraph messengers; Telegraph operators; Telephone operators; Ticket agents; Typists.

Sales workers.--Includes Advertising agents and salesmen; Auctioneers; Demonstrators; Hucksters; Insurance agents and brokers; Newsboys; Peddlers; Real estate agents and brokers; Stock and bond salesmen.

Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers.-Includes Annealers; Bakers; Blacksmiths; Boilermakers; Bookbinders; Brickmasons; Cabinetmakers;
Carpenters; Cement finishers; Compositors; Concrete
finishers; Coppersmiths; Cranemen; Derrickmen; Die
makers; Die setters; Electricians; Electrotypers;
Engravers; Excavating machinery operators; Forgemen; Glaziers; Goldsmiths; Grading machinery operators; Heat treaters; Hoistmen; Lens grinders and
polishers; Lithographers; Locomotive engineers; Locomotive firemen; Log and lumber scalers and
graders; Loom fixers; Machinists; Mechanics; Metal

molders; Metal rollers; Metal roll hands; Millers; Millwrights; Motion picture projectionists; Opticians; Organ tuners; Painters (construction and maintenance); Paperhangers; Photoengravers; Piano tuners; Pipe fitters; Plasterers; Plate printers; Plumbers; Power linemen and servicemen; Printing pressmen; Road machinery operators; Roofers; Sheet metal workers; Shoemakers, except in factories; Silversmiths; Slaters; Stationary engineers; Stereotypers; Stone carvers; Stone cutters; Stonemasons; Structural metal workers; Tailors; Telegraph and telephone linemen and servicemen; Tile setters; Tinsmiths; Tool makers; Typesetters; Upholsterers; Watchmakers; Window dressers.

Operative and kindred workers .-- Includes Apprentices; Asbestos workers; Auto service attendants; Blasters; Boatmen; Bus conductors and drivers; Canalmen; Chauffeurs; Deck hands; Deliverymen; Dressmakers; Dry cleaning operatives; Dyers; Fruit, nut, and vegetable graders and packers; Furnacemen; Insulation workers; Laundry operatives; Meat cutters; Metal filers, grinders, and polishers; Metal heaters; Milliners; Mine operatives and laborers; Motormen; Painters (except construction and maintenance); Parking lot attendants; Photographic process workers; Powdermen; Power station operators; Railroad brakemen and switchmen; Routemen; Sailors; Sawyers; Seamstresses; Smeltermen; Stationary firemen; Street railway conductors; Surveying chainmen, rodmen, and axmen; Taxicab drivers; Textile spinners; Textile weavers; Tractor drivers; Truck drivers; Welders.

Private household workers. -- Includes house-keepers and laundresses in private households.

Service workers, except private household.-Includes Attendants and ushers in amusement places;
Bailiffs; Barbers; Bartenders; Beauticians; Boarding
house keepers; Bootblacks; Bridge tenders; Charwomen; Cooks, except in private households; Detectives;
Doorkeepers; Elevator operators; Firemen (fire protection); Fountain workers; Guards; Hospital attendants; Janitors; Lodginghouse keepers; Manicurists;
Marshals; Midwives; Policemen; Porters; Practical
nurses; Sextons; Sheriffs; Stewards; Waiters; Watchmen.

Farm laborers and foremen.--Includes both paid and unpaid family farm laborers, and self-employed farm service laborers.

Laborers, except farm and mine.--Includes Car washers; Fishermen; Garage laborers; Groundskeepers; Longshoremen; Oystermen; Raftsmen; Stevedores; Teamsters; Woodchoppers.

### RELATIONSHIP

Parents were asked in the mail inquiry "What was the relationship of your child to the head of the household in which it was living on May 1, 1950?" 13

<sup>13</sup> The questionnaire did not define the meaning of the term "household." In census reports, however, a household is defined as all of the persons who occupy a house, apartment, or other group of rooms or a room that constitutes a dwelling unit. Quasi households such as the groups of persons in institutions, hotels, large rooming houses, and military barracks are not counted as households. It is thought that most of the few infants living in quasi households were reported in the mail response as "not related to household head."

Table A.--COMPARISON OF CENSUS COUNT OF CHILDREN UNDER 15 YEARS OLD WITH THE NUMBER EXPECTED FROM STATISTICS OF BIRTHS, DEATHS, AND NET MIGRATION, BY AGE, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950

(In thousands)

Age	Census count	Expected number on April 1, 1950 <sup>1</sup>	Difference <sup>2</sup> (2) - (1)	Difference as percent of expected number
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Under 5 years.  Under 1 year.  Born first 3 months of 1950.  1 and 2 years.  3 and 4 years.  5 to 9 years.  10 to 14 years.	3,147 <sup>3</sup> 818 6,776 6,240 13,200	16,970 3,537 860 7,117 6,316 13,697 11,318	806 390 42 341 76 497 199	4.7 11.0 4.9 4.8 1.2 3.6 1.8

1 Derived from reported number of births since 1935, adjusted for underregistration, reported deaths adjusted for underregistration in the first year of life, and estimates of net migration.

for underregistration in the first year of life, and estimates of net migration.

Represents the net effect of the following errors: (a) Persons missed entirely in the census, (b) persons who should not have been enumerated in the census, (c) persons erroneously reported in another age group, and (d) persons erroneously reported in the age group, who should have been reported in another age group.

(d) persons erroneously reported in the age group who should have been reported in another age group.

3 Data for infants born in first 3 months of 1950 from Infant Card count, supplemented by estimate of the small number of infants enumerated on population schedules but with no Infant Card filled out.

Table B.--1950 BIRTH-REGISTRATION TEST-MATCHED AND UNMATCHED RECORDS FOR ILLEGITIMATE BIRTHS AND INTERSTATE LEGITIMATE AND ILLEGITIMATE BIRTHS, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY STATES

(Preliminary data. Birth records for children who died before April 1, 1950, are excluded. Illegitimacy determined through legitimacy question on birth record, or in absence of such entry or item, by lack of information on father)

		Tot	tal		Mato	hed		Unmatched					
State of residence	Resident illegitimate births <sup>1</sup>		gitimate and		illegi	Resident illegitimate births <sup>1</sup>		Nonresident legitimate and illegitimate births <sup>2</sup>		Resident illegitimate births <sup>1</sup>		Nonresident legitimate and illegitimate births <sup>2</sup>	
	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	
United States	11,964	19,832	18,362	1,212	8,340	14,072	16,339	932	3,624	5,760	2,023	280	
AlabamaArizonaArkansasCalifornia	154	1,728	321	43	115	1,299	279	37	39	429	42	6	
	23	13	46	13	14	7	41	9	9	6	5	4	
	107	467	279	22	68	319	240	18	39	148	39	4	
	315	34	292	12	245	25	252	8	70	9	40	4	
Colorado  Connecticut  Delaware  District of Columbia.	44	3	124	3	31	2	103	2	13	1	21	1	
	118	41	334	5	88	27	289	3	30	14	45	2	
	32	96	119	13	24	67	105	11	8	29	14	2	
	86	437	269	20	53	280	233	13	33	157	36	7	
FloridaGeorgiaIdahoIllinois	197	1,025	239	24	139	749	204	20	58	276	35	4	
	162	1,602	371	28	98	1,166	328	26	64	436	43	2	
	7	1	187	6	6	1	169	4	1		18	2	
	687	999	1,059	199	532	732	964	151	155	267	95	48	
Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky	354	217	533	13	228	155	498	9	126	62	35	4	
	208	16	293	3	182	13	273	2	26	3	20	1	
	107	75	652	16	75	50	594	15	32	25	58	1	
	334	232	525	7	221	171	443	6	113	61	82	1	

<sup>1</sup> Resident births are those where the birth occurred within a State which was the usual residence of the mother.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nonresident births are those where the birth occurred in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother.

Source: Federal Security Agency, Public Health Service, National Office of Vital Statistics, Washington 25, D. C.

Table B.--1950 BIRTH-REGISTRATION TEST--MATCHED AND UNMATCHED RECORDS FOR ILLEGITIMATE BIRTHS AND INTERSTATE LEGITIMATE AND ILLEGITIMATE BIRTHS, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY STATES--Con.

(Preliminary data. Birth records for children who died before April 1, 1950, are excluded. Illegitimacy determined through legitimacy question on birth record, or in absence of such entry or item, by lack of information on father)

		Tot	al			Mate	ched			Unma	tched	
State of residence	illegi	dent timate	ite and		illeg:	Resident illegitimate births <sup>1</sup>		ident nate timate	Resident illegitimate births <sup>1</sup>		Nonresident legitimate and illegitimate births <sup>2</sup>	
	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white	White	Non- white
Louisiana  Maine  Maryland  Massachusetts	138 165 38 404	1,284 3 42 66	175 123 1,430 308	31 189 1	94 126 31 240	939 3 27 43	155 92 1,301 256	23 135 1	44 39 7 164	345 ••• 15 23	20 31 129 52	8 54
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri	642 351 55 310	478 64 1,584 435	359 479 163 506	10 1 16 81	401 264 42 240	352 48 1,160 305	321 439 139 433	10 1 10 64	241 87 13 70	126 16 424 130	38 40 24 73	6 17
Montana  Nebraska  Nevada  New Hampshire	39 93 4 48	37 24 7	74 229 30 206	1 6 1	32 70 4 35	25 15 3	62 185 23 180	1 4 1	7 23 13	12 9 4	12 44 7 26	2
New Jersey  New Mexico  New York  North Carolina	225 144 1,058 403	279 28 913 1,651	992 200 454 268	22 27 21 56	146 89 663 273	150 22 573 1,163	894 154 384 228	17 19 14 47	79 55 395 130	129 6 340 488	98 46 70 40	5 8 7 9
North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon	46 774 178 131	20 565 207 24	228 743 357 260	1 31 8 1	36 553 125 119	18 404 164 21	213 651 325 235	1 25 7	10 221 53 12	2 161 43 .3	15 92 32 25	6 1
Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	1,042 77 172 39	851 17 1,275 36	819 145 187 173	22 2 21 14	795 48 93 20	571 12 848 24	734 125 163 159	13 1 13 11	247 29 79 19	280 5 427 12	85 20 24 14	9 1 8 3
Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	346 661 55 57	778 942 6 1	478 432 41 145	23 37 2	247 435 44 38	579 677 5	424 376 37 134	16 32 1	99 226 11 19	199 265 1 1	54 56 4 11	7 5 1
Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	317 187 486 324 20	977 46 149 51 6	1,474 223 491 429 98	130 5 21 3 1	203 144 334 220 17	665 37 110 40 6	1,367 211 · 440 397 87	104 5 18 3 1	114 43 152 104 3	312 9 39 11	107 12 51 32 11	26

<sup>1</sup> Resident births are those where the birth occurred within a State which was the usual residence of the mother.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nonresident births are those where the birth occurred in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother.

Source: Federal Security Agency, Public Health Service, National Office of Vital Statistics, Washington 25, D. C.

### SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS

The following summary indicates the major steps taken in processing the data for the Infant Enumeration Study.

Collection of basic records.--Enumerators in the 1950 Census filled out a special card, called an Infant Card, for each infant enumerated as born in the first three months of 1950. These special records were sent to the Bureau of the Census where they were promptly separated from the regular population schedules and sent to the National Office of Vital Statistics.

Birth records used in the study were those received by the National Office of Vital Statistics from the State registrars for the production of national vital statistics. These were in the form of microfilm images or hand transcripts of birth certificates for infants registered as born in the first three months of 1950.

About 796,000 usable Infant Cards and about 860,000 birth records were involved. (About 41,000 Infant Cards received were canceled by the Bureau of the Census, generally because they were for infants not born in the period. Also, some birth records were received too late for use.)

Processing at the National Office of Vital Statistics.-- The Bureau of the Census furnished trained coders to code occupation. The National Office of Vital Statistics coded all remaining data from both birth records and Infant Cards and prepared one punch card for each birth record and another for each Infant Card.

The two types of punch cards were then matched against each other, following definite rules for the establishment of a match. Most of the matching was done mechanically. Some matches were made in State offices of vital statistics as a result of extensive searches by the State registrars. About 763,000 matches were made, of which 726,000 involved cards for legitimate births occurring in the same State as the mother's usual residence.

Of the unmatched cards based on birth records, about 18,000 were eliminated because the infants died before April 1, 1950, and about 12,000 were eliminated because the infant was registered as illegitimate (or no information was given for the father) or because the infant was born in a State which was not the usual residence of the mother. 14

Approximately 67,000 unmatched birth records remained. To reduce the cost of the study, a sample of about 31,000 was drawn from these records. (See section on 'Reliability of sample data' for an explanation of the nature of the sample.) Lists of infants contained in the sample were then prepared, and information from the birth records was transcribed and sent to the Bureau of the Census.

Processing at the Bureau of the Census. -- Letters were sent to most parents of infants listed in the sample of unmatched birth records. (Some unmatched birth records had inadequate addresses or were for Canadian or Mexican addresses. Letters were not sent to these.) A follow-up letter was sent by registered mail when there was no reply to the first letter and when it was not returned by the post office as undeliverable. Letters were also sent in some cases to physicians and to the parents in care of a business; other efforts were also made to trace the parents. Over-all, responses were secured from parents in 73.5 percent of 30,665 original mailing cases. Most of the remaining 26.5 percent consisted of cases where the post office could not locate the parents and there were no further indications of the current address of the parents.

Inspection of the responses disclosed cases where the infant was not living in the United States at the time of the census, cases where the birth date on the record was claimed to be incorrect because the infant was not born during the first three months of 1950, and cases where the infant died before April 1, 1950. About 1,000 cases were eliminated for such reasons.

Remaining cases were used, where feasible, as a basis for the searching of population schedules to determine which infants were missed.

The materials on remaining unmatched infants were then coded and special punch cards for them were prepared.

Tabulation. -- The National Office of Vital Statistics prepared tabulations of data for cases that had been matched in that office. The Bureau of the Census prepared tabulations of data for unmatched cases that had been investigated in the mail inquiry.

<sup>14</sup> Cards with no information for the infant's father were regarded as representing illegitimate infants.

### RELIABILITY OF SAMPLE DATA

### SAMPLE DESIGN

The statistics shown in this report are based, in part, on a sample of infants for whom birth records exist. Birth records for which matching Infant Cards could not be found were the only ones subject to sampling. Before sampling, the unmatched birth records were examined and those for infants who were illegitimate or born in a State which was not the mother's usual residence were eliminated, as were birth records that had been matched against records of deaths before April 1, 1950. The remaining unmatched birth records were then stratified within each State; the strata consisted of white and nonwhite infants in (1) each city of 250,000 inhabitants or more (2) the balance of the State urban and (3) rural (without regard to farm residence). In those strata in which the number of unmatched birth records were small, all unmatched birth records were taken. In the remaining strata, a sample of the unmatched birth records was taken. Table C shows the size of the sample in each region, State, and part thereof, in detail to match table 1.

In interpreting table C, it should be borne in mind that the stratification and selection of the sample were performed on the basis of the addresses as reported on the birth records although tables 1-14 of this report were prepared on the basis of the residence at the time of the 1950 Census insofar as this could be determined, and otherwise on the basis of data from birth records. In addition, the sampling was performed before records were eliminated for children for whom the mail inquiry indicated that the child was living abroad at the time of the census, had died before April 1, 1950, or was not born in the first three months of 1950 despite data given on the birth The figures in table C, therefore, do not agree with data for similar groups in table 1. The stratification was effective in producing data of the desired degree of reliability in each State and part of State, however.

Estimates of the number of infants in each category have, in all cases, been obtained by multiplying the data for each infant by the reciprocal of the applicable sampling fraction, and summing the results for all infants in the specific category. Estimates of percentages have been obtained by using values, inflated in this manner, for both numerator and denominator.

### SAMPLING VARIABILITY

The data on "Total birth records" and percentage "Definitely enumerated -- Matched with Infant Card" in table 1 and the number of infants shown in tables 1-8 as "Definitely enumerated -- Matched with Infant Card" are based on a complete count of Infant Cards and birth records in these categories. Furthermore, some of the other data in table 1 are also based on complete counts when these are shown for parts of States in which all unmatched birth records were followed up. All other statistics are based on a sample and are therefore subject to sampling variability.

Approximate values of the standard error of the statistics in this report are contained in tables D and E. Because of the diversity of sampling rates, exact

estimates of the standard errors would require a separate calculation for each figure based on the sample and a unique expression of its variability. A number of approximations have been made in order to permit the sampling variability to be expressed in the manner shown in tables D and E. These approximations are accurate enough for most uses of the data. It should be noted, however, that they tend to overstate the standard errors for rural and nonwhite estimates and to understate them slightly for estimates relating to urban whites. For more precise determination of the standard errors, methods of deriving better approximations are provided in some of the later paragraphs.

The standard error is a measure of sampling variability. The chances are about 2 out of 3 that the difference due to sampling variability between an estimate and the figure that would have been obtained from a complete count of the population is less than the standard error. The amount by which the standard error must be multiplied to obtain other odds deemed more appropriate can be found in most statistical textbooks. For example, the chances are about 19 out of 20 that the difference is less than twice the standard error, and 99 out of 100 that it is less than 2 1/2 times the standard error.

The standard errors in table D are applicable to the estimates of absolute numbers that are based on sample data. In many States, the data for rural and nonwhites are obtained from complete counts and thus not subject to sampling variability. These States should be identified by reference to table C if the standard errors are being applied to individual State estimates. The standard errors shown in table D depend upon both the size of the estimate and the total number of unmatched birth records in the United States, region, State, or the pertinent parts of these areas. The number of unmatched birth records is shown in table C.

A closer approximation to the standard error of an estimate of an absolute number can be obtained by calculating the value of

$$\sqrt{\frac{x}{N}\left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right)}\sum_{i}N_{i}\left(\frac{1}{f_{i}}-1\right)$$

where x is the size of the estimate under consideration, N the total number of unmatched cases in all strata to which the estimate pertains, and the summation is over all pertinent strata with  $N_i$  and  $f_i$  the number of

<sup>15</sup> The stratification by area and color in deriving the sample insures that total birth records for each area-color group are not subject to sampling vari-Sampling variability is present, however, ability. when the total birth records are shown for a subclass of an area-color group, such as farm residence in table 1, age of mother in table 6, etc. Even in these cases, the figures are subject to much smaller variability than for other estimates of the same size because the category "Definitely enumerated--Matched with birth records" is the major component of total birth records and this category is based on a complete count. The standard errors of total birth records for these subclasses are identical with those shown in table D for the total number unmatched in the pertinent categories.

unmatched cases and the sampling fraction, respectively, in each stratum (i). The values of  $N_i$  and  $f_i$  are those shown in table C as "Total--Unmatched birth records" and "Percent of unmatched birth records."

The percentages shown in tables 1 through 8 represent, in all cases, ratios of a subclass of birth records to total birth records. The percentage "Definitely enumerated--Matched with Infant Card" is virtually based on a complete count and hence subject to negligible sampling variability. For the remaining percentages, the numerator of the ratio (e.g., the number "Probably enumerated," the number "Probably missed," etc.) is generally based on a sample. The standard error of a percentage in tables 1-8 can be approximated by dividing the denominator of the ratio (i.e., the total number of birth records) into the standard error of the numerator.

The standard errors of the "Adjusted estimate of percent enumerated" are not shown, since no simple general expression for these is available. In any case, the sampling variability of these percentages should not be a cause for concern. Since the largest components of these percentages are based on complete counts, the relative standard errors are quite small and generally are probably negligible even by comparison with the usually small errors arising from the method of estimation of this percentage. For the United States as a whole, the standard error of the adjusted estimate of percent enumerated is 0.03 percent.

Table E contains the standard errors of the percentages shown in tables 9 through 13. As in the case of table D, the standard errors shown are approximations which appear to be accurate enough for most practical uses. More precise estimates can be obtained by calculating the value of

$$\sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{Ny}} \sum_{i} N_i \left(\frac{1}{f_i} - 1\right),$$

where P is the percentage for which the standard error is being estimated, N is the total number of unmatched cases in all strata involved, y is the base of the per-

centage, and  $N_i$  and  $f_i$  are the number of unmatched cases and sampling fractions in the pertinent strata (i). The summation, as in the previous formula, is over all strata to which the estimate pertains.

Illustration: Table 1 shows that there were an estimated 573 infants in California who were definitely missed in the census (1.0 percent of the 54,921 total infants in California). From linear interpolation between values in table D it is found that the standard error of an estimate of 573 is about 45. Consequently, the chances are about 2 out of 3 that the figure which would have been obtained from a complete followup of all infants not matched with birth records would have differed by less than 45 from the sample estimate. It also follows that there is only about 1 chance in 100 that a follow-up on a complete basis would have differed by as much as 115, that is, by 2 1/2 times the standard error. The standard error of the estimate of 1.0 percent is obtained by dividing 45 by 54,921, and is 0.1 percent. If a 1.0-percent estimate in tables 9 through 13 was under consideration, the standard error would be obtained from table E; that is, it would be about 0.4 percent if the 1.0 percent had been obtained by dividing an estimate of 25 by 2,500; it would be 0.2 percent if the base were 10,000, etc.

If a closer approximation is desired, the formula given earlier can be used: x is 573 and N is 4,281. The summation is over the four parts of the State--urban white, urban nonwhite, rural white, and rural nonwhite, and

$$\sum N_i \left(\frac{1}{f_i} - 1\right)$$

is equal to 11,540. The standard error obtained by use of the formula is therefore 37.

The standard errors shown in tables D and E are not directly applicable to differences between two estimates. The standard error of a difference between two sample estimates shown in this report is approximately the square root of the sum of the squares of each estimate considered separately.

Table C.--NET SIZE OF SAMPLE OF UNMATCHED BIRTH RECORDS, FOR REGIONS AND STATES, URBAN AND RURAL (WITH COLOR OF INFANT FOR SELECTED AREAS)

(Residence from Infant Cards when these were matched with birth records and from birth records when these were not matched. Color shown for same areas as in table 1)

		Unmatche	ed birth :	records <sup>2</sup>	·		Unmatche	ed birth records <sup>2</sup>		
	Total		In s	ample		Total		In sa	mple	
Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records	Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records	
UNITED STATES White Nonwhite	785,767 690,584 95,183	69,231 54,304 14,927	26,732 18,737 7,995	38.6 34.5 53.6	ALABAMAWhite	17,750 11,520 6,230	1,541 773 768	1,050 414 636	68.1 53.6 82.8	
Urban White Nonwhite	451,491 398,535 52,956	41,385 32,772 8,613	11,347 7,570 3,777	27.4 23.1 43.9	Urban White Nonwhite	6,607 4,325 2,282	514 253 261	283 154 129	55.1 60.9 49.4	
Rural White Nonwhite	334,276 292,049 42,227	27,846 21,532 6,314	15,385 11,167 4,218	55.3 51.9 66.8	RuralWhite	11,143 7,195 3,948	1,027 520 507	767 260 507	74.7 50.0 100.0	
NORTHEAST White Nonwhite	184,681 173,105 11,576	18,044 15,571 2,473	4,148 3,543 605	23.0 22.8 24.5	ARIZONA White Nonwhite	4,727 4,129 598	562 454 108	347 261 86	61.7 57.5 79.6	
UrbanWhite	135,621 124,886 10,735	14,420 12,050 2,370	2,137 1,614 523	14.8 13.4 22.1	UrbanRural	1,772 2,955	322 240	107 240	33.2 100.0	
Rural White Nonwhite	49,060 48,219 841	3,624 3,521 103	2,011 1,929 82	55.5 54.8 79.6	ARKANSAS	9,425 7,204 2,221	1,055 697 358	706 348 358	66.9 49.9 100.0	
NORTH CENTRAL White Nonwhite	232,052 219,080 12,972	15,339 13,305 2,034	6,182 5,090 1,092	40.3 38.3 53.7	Urban White Nonwhite	3,266 2,523 743	259 183 76	167 91 76	64.5 49.7 100.0	
Urban White Nonwhite	140,034 128,400 11,634	10,224 8,413 1,811	3,070 2,129 941	30.0 25.3 52.0	RuralWhiteNonwhite	6,159 4,681 1,478	796 514 282	539 257 282	67.7 50.0 100.0	
Rural White Nonwhite	92,018 90,680 1,338	5,115 4,892 223	3,112 2,961 151	60.8 60.5 67.7	CALIFORNIA	56,458 51,601 4,857	4,281 3,652 629	1,343 94 <i>5</i> 398	31.4 25.9 63.3	
SOUTH White	260,004 196,283	28,033 18,570	12,674 7,024	45.2 37.8	Urban White Nonwhite	36,986 33,271 3,715	2,902 2,393 509	863 525 338	29.7 21.9 66.4	
Nonwhite Urban White Nonwhite	63,721 112,292 86,298 25,994	9,463 11,726 7,951 3,775	5,650 4,313 2,408 1,905	36.8	RuralWhiteNonwhite	19,472 18,330 1,142	1,379 1,259 120	480 420 60	34.8 33.4 50.0	
Rural White Nonwhite	147,712 109,985 37,727	16,307 10,619 5,688	8,361 4,616 3,745	51.3 43.5 65.8	COLORADO Urban Rural	7,652 4,390 3,262	542 396 146	306 160 146	56.5 40.4 100.0	
WEST White Nonwhite	109,030 102,116 6,914	7,815 6,858 957	3,728 3,080 648		CONNECTICUTUrbanRural.	9,288 6,141 3,147	808 561 247	359 112 247	44.4 20.0 100.0	
Urban White Nonwhite	63,544 58,951 4,593	5,015 4,358 657	1,827 1,419 408	36.4 32.7	DELAWARE Urban Rural.	1,541 665 876	170 76 94	170 76 94	100.0 100.0 100.0	
Rural White Nonwhite	45,486 43,165	2,800 2,500 300	1,661	66.4	DIST. OF COLUMBIA White Nonwhite	3,634 2,133 1,501	480 230 250	159 76 83	33.1 33.0 33.2	

<sup>1</sup> Records at hand at time census sample of unmatched birth records was drawn. Additional records (late matches) were used in other tables.

used in other tables.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes records matched after selection of sample. Includes records later eliminated when responses from mail, inquiry indicated child was living abroad at time of census, had died before April 1, 1950, or was not born in the first three months of 1950 despite date given on birth record.

Table C.--NET SIZE OF SAMPLE OF UNMATCHED BIRTH RECORDS, FOR REGIONS AND STATES, URBAN AND RURAL (WITH COLOR OF INFANT FOR SELECTED AREAS) -- Con.

(Residence from Infant Cards when these were matched with birth records and from birth records when these were not matched. Color shown for same areas as in table 1)

en e		Unmatche	ed birth	records <sup>2</sup>			Unmatche	ed birth	records <sup>2</sup>
	Total		In sa	ample		Total		In sa	ample
Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records	Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records
FLORIDA White Nonwhite	13,573 10,310 3,263	1,500 990 510	949 612 337	63.3 61.8 66.1	KENTUCKY White Nonwhite	17,053 16,019 1,034	1,977 1,808 169	607 492 115	30.7 27.2 68.0
Urban White Nonwhite	7,021 5,169 1,852	858 546 312	307 168 139	35.8 30.8 44.6	Urban White Nonwhite	5,516 4,876 640	598 500 98	263 165 98	44.0 33.0 100.0
Rural White Nonwhite	6,552 5,141 1,411	642 444 198	642 444 198	100.0 100.0 100.0	Rural	11,537	1,379	344	24.9
GEORGIA	19, <i>5</i> 13	1,986 1,109	858 464	43.2 41.8	LOUISIANA White Nonwhite	15,713 9,880 5,833	1,710 840 870	854 419 435	49.9 49.9 50.0
Nonwhite Urban White	6,600 7,751 5,111	877 848 480	394 290 150	44.9 34.2 31.3	Urban White Nonwhite	7,889 5,164 2,725	859 439 420	429 219 210	49.9 49.9 50.0
Nonwhite	2,640 11,762	368 1,138	140 568	38.0 49.9	Rural White Nonwhite	7,824 4,716 3,108	851 401 450	425 200 225	49.9 49.9 50.0
White Nonwhite	7,802 3,960	629 509	314 254	49.9 49.9	MAINE Urban	4,700 2,092	394 217	285 108	72.3 49.8
IDAHOUrbanRural	3,693 1,649 2,044	176 95 81	127 46 81	72.2 48.4 100.0	Rural	2,608	177 1,226	177 752	100.0
ILLINOISWhite	41,323 37,900	3,317 2,700	653 473	19.7 17.5	White Nonwhite	8,409 2,475	738 488	439 313	59.±
NonwhiteUrbanWhite	3,423 30,537 27,237	617 2,665 2,061	180 328 154	29.2 12.3 7.5	Urban White Nonwhite	6,214 4,470 1,744	772 435 337	298 136 162	38.6 31.1 48.1
Nonwhite	3,300 10,786	604	174 325	28.8 49.8	RuralWhite Nonwhite	4,670 3,939 731	454 303 151	454 303 151	100.0
INDIANA White Nonwhite	20,949 19,953 996	1,465 1,309 156	566 489 77	38.6 37.4 49.4	MASSACHUSETTS Urban Rural	22,394 18,869 3,525	2,066 1,815 251	605 354 251	29.3 19.5 100.0
UrbanWhiteNonwhite	11,856 10,898 958	865 716 149	267 193 74	30.9 27.0 49.7	MICHICANWhite	35,848 33,063	2,311 1,928	1	29.0
Rural	9,093	600	299	49.8	Nonwhite Urban White	2,785 22,582 20,003	383 1,542 1,184	422	27.4
IOWA	13,977 6,444 7,533	559 303 256	331 75 256	59.2 24.8 100.0	Nonwhite	2,579 13,266	358 769		65.4
KANSAS Urban Rural	9,250 4,798 4,452	706 405 301	401 100 301	56.8 24.7 100.0	MINNESOTA Urban Rural	16,899 9,115 7,784	662 403 259	l .	68.2

<sup>1</sup> Records at hand at time census sample of unmatched birth records was drawn. Additional records (late matches) were

used in other tables.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes records matched after selection of sample. Includes records later eliminated when responses from mail, inquiry indicated child was living abroad at time of census, had died before April 1, 1950, or was not born in the first three months of 1950 despite date given on birth record.

Table C.--NET SIZE OF SAMPLE OF UNMATCHED BIRTH RECORDS, FOR REGIONS AND STATES, URBAN AND RURAL (WITH COLOR OF INFANT FOR SELECTED AREAS)--Con.

(Residence from Infant Cards when these were matched with birth records and from birth records when these were not matched. Color shown for same areas as in table 1)

		Unmatche	ed birth	records <sup>2</sup>			Unmatche	ed birth	records <sup>2</sup>
	Total	·	In sa	umple		Total		In sa	ample
Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records	Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records
MISSISSIPPI White Nonwhite	14,153 6,374 7,779	1,580 512 1,068	948 447 501	60.0 87.3 46.9	NORTH CAROLINA White Nonwhite	23,260 16,244 7,016	2,341 1,346 995	873 415 458	37.3 30.8 46.0
Urban White Nonwhite	3,629 2,043 1,586	328 130 198	131 65 66	39.9 50.0 33.3	Urban White Nonwhite	6,539 4,755 1,784	644 397 247	181 99 82	28.1 24.9 33.2
Rural White Nonwhite	10,524 4,331 6,193	1,252 382 870	817 382 435	65.3 100.0 50.0	Rural White Nonwhite	16,721 11,489 5,232	1,697 949 748	692 316 376	40.8 33.3 50.3
MISSOURI White Nonwhite	18,957 17,478 1,479	1,848 1,589 259	749 548 201	40.5 34.5 77.6	NORTH DAKOTA Urban Rural	3,485 1,013 2,472	179 50 129	179 50 129	100.0 100.0 100.0
Urban White Nonwhite	11,226 9,970 1,256	1,101 905 196	376 206 170	34.2 22.8 86.7	OHIO	41 <b>,</b> 567	2,881	976	33.9
Rural	7,731 3,609	747 216	373 150	49.9 69.4	White Nonwhite	38,679 2,888	2,471 410	714 262	28.9 63.9
UrbanRural	1,598 2,011	130 86	64 86	49.2 100.0	UrbanWhiteNonwhite	27,486 24,829 2,657	2,129 1,751 378	840 594 246	
NEBRASKA Urban Rural	7,076 3,429 3,647	464 259 205	290 85 205	62.5 32.8 100.0	Rural	14,081	752	136	18.1
NEVADA Urban Rural	719 400 319	57 40 17	57 40 17	100.0 100.0 100.0	OKLAHOMA White Nonwhite'	11,032 9,860 1,172	869 700 169	431 262 169	49.6 37.4 100.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE Urban Rural	2,497 1,471 1,026	192 112 80	136 56 80	70.8 50.0 100.0	Urban White Nonwhite	6,006 5,447 559	420 347 73	159 86 73	37.9 24.8 100.0
NEW JERSEY White Nonwhite	21,577 19,637 1,940	2,072 1,727 345	609 384 225	29.4 22.2 65.2	Rural	5,026	449	272	60.6
UrbanWhiteNonwhite	17,187 15,538 1,649	1,684 1,374 310	442 264 178	26.2 19.2 57.4	OREGON Urban Rural	8,025 3,679 4,346	396 205 191	333 142 191	84.1 69.3 100.0
Rural	4,390	388	167	43.0	PENNSYLVANIA	49,957	4,531	854	18.8
NEW MEXICO Urban Rural.	4,659 2,297 2,362	655 329 326	407 81 326	62.1 24.6 100.0	White Nonwhite	46,743 3,214	3,890 641	681 173	17.5 27.0
NEW YORKWhite	68,685 63,188 5,497	7,567 6,257 1,310	995 852 143	13.1 13.6 10.9	UrbanWhiteNonwhite	31,990 29,032 2,958	3,300 2,676 624	445 277 168	26.9
Urban	53,846 48,573	6,426 5,158	424 302	6.6 5.9	Rural	17,967	1,231	409	-
Nonwhite	5,273 14,839	1,268 1,141	122 571	9.6	RHODE ISLAND Urban Rural.	3,699 3,161 538	297 251 46	188 142 46	56.6

<sup>1</sup> Records at hand at time census sample of unmatched birth records was drawn. Additional records (late matches) were used in other tables

used in other tables.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes records matched after selection of sample. Includes records later eliminated when responses from mail, inquiry indicated child was living abroad at time of census, had died before April 1, 1950, or was not born in the first three months of 1950 despite date given on birth record.

<sup>278481</sup> O - 53 - 4

Table C.--NET SIZE OF SAMPLE OF UNMATCHED BIRTH RECORDS, FOR REGIONS AND STATES, URBAN AND RURAL (WITH COLOR OF INFANT FOR SELECTED AREAS)--Con.

(Residence from Infant Cards when these were matched with birth records and from birth records when these were not matched. Color shown for same areas as in table 1)

		Unmatche	d birth 1	records <sup>2</sup>			Unmatche	d birth r	records <sup>2</sup>
	Total		In sa	umple		Total		In sa	mple
Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records	Area and color	birth rec- ords <sup>1</sup>	Total	Number	Percent of total unmatched birth records
SOUTH CAROLINA White Nonwhite	12,400 6,985 5,415	1,647 674 973	823 337 486	50.0 50.0 49.9	UTAH Urban Rural	4,909 2,953 1,956	216 148 68	142 74 68	65.7 50.0 100.0
Urban	3,590 2,329 1,261	392 210 182	196 105 91	50.0 50.0 50.0	VERMONT Urban Rural.	1,884 864 1,020	117 54 63	117 54 63	100.0 100.0 100.0
RuralWhiteNonwhite	8,810 4,656 4,154	1,255 464 791	627 232 395	50.0 50.0 49.9	VIRGINIA White Nonwhite	16,661 12,726 3,935	1,664 1,039 625	662 345 317	39.8 33.2 50.7
SOUTH DAKOTA Urban Rural	3,948 1,501 2,447	187 69 118	187 69 118	100.0 100.0 100.0	Urban White Nonwhite	6,048 4,598 1,450	499 296 203	199 98 101	39.9 33.1 49.8
TENNESSEE White Nonwhite	17,925 14,872 3,053	1,757 1,374 383	961 627 334	54.7 45.6 87.2	RuralWhiteNonwhite	10,613 8,128 2,485	1,165 743 422	463 247 216	39.7 33.2 51.2
Urban White Nonwhite	6,776 4,994 1,782	638 445 193	305 161 144	47.8 36.2 74.6	WASHINGTON Urban Rural	13,040 6,945 6,095	634 394 240	436 196 240	68.8 49.7 100.0
Rural White Nonwhite	11,149 9,878 1,271	1,119 9 929 190	656 466 190	58.6 50.2 100.0	WEST VIRGINIA White Nonwhite	11,057 10,478 579	1,129 1,047 82	430 348 82	38.1 33.2 100.0
TEXAS White Nonwhite	44,430 39,027 5,403	5,401 4,566 835	1,441 852 589	26.7 18.7 70.5	UrbanRural	3,082 7,975	311 818	118 312	37.9 38.1
Urban White Nonwhite	28,059 24,864 3,195	3,230 2,714 516	752 482 270	23.3 17.8 52.3	WISCONSIN Urban Rural.	18,773 10,047 8,726	760 433 327	510 183 327	67.1 42.3 100.0
Rural White Nonwhite	16,371 14,163 2,208	2,171 1,852 319	689 370 319	31.7 20.0 100.0	WYOMING Urban Rural	1,539 875 664	54	80 54 26	100.0 100.0 100.0

<sup>1</sup> Records at hand at time census sample of unmatched birth records was drawn. Additional records (late matches) were

used in other tables.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes records matched after selection of sample. Includes records later eliminated when responses from mail, inquiry indicated child was living abroad at time of census, had died before April 1, 1950, or was not born in the first three months of 1950 despite date given on birth record.

### INTRODUCTION

### Table D .-- STANDARD ERROR OF ESTIMATED NUMBER


(Range of 2 chances out of 3. Not applicable to figures shown for "Definitely enumerated-Matched with infant card" which are not based on a sample, or to total birth records)

	Number of unmatched birth records <sup>1</sup>											
Estimated number	100	2 <i>5</i> 0	500	1,000	5,000	10,000	25,000	70,000				
10	5 10 10	5 10 15 15	5 10 15 20 20	5 10 15 20 25 30	5 10 15 20 30 40 55	5 10 15 20 30 45 60 85	5 10 15 20 30 45 60 95	5 10 15 20 30 45 65 100				
5,000. 10,000. 25,000. 50,000.	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	100	125 155 •••	135 185 255 240				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See table C for the number of unmatched birth records. For rural-farm or rural-nonfarm estimates, the total rural unmatched birth records should be used. For nonwhite statistics, the number of nonwhite total, urban, or rural unmatched birth records is to be used, whichever is applicable.

Table E.--STANDARD ERROR OF ESTIMATED PERCENTAGES IN TABLES 9-13 (Range of 2 chances out of 3)

Estimated	Size of base											
percentage	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	16,000					
1 or 99	1.3	0.9	0.6	0.4	. 0.3	0.2	0.2					
2 or 98	1.8	1.3	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2					
5 or 95	2.8	1.9	1.4	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3					
10 or 90	3.8	2.7	1.9	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.5					
25 or 75	5.5	3.9	2.7	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.7					
50	6.3	4.5	3.2	2.0	1.4	1.0	0.8					



2.0 5.3

### INFANT ENUMERATION STUDY

### Table 1.—REGIONS BY STATES, URBAN AND RURAL: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR IN SELECTED AREAS

Matched birth records based on complete count; other data based on sample of unmatched birth records. Color shown for regions and for parts of States having 500 or more nonwhite infants. Percent

not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 2501 Percent distribution Adjusted estimate of percent Definitely enumerated Definitely enumerated Proh Enumer Area and color ation status ably Defi-Prob-Total Prob-Defiation Total Found on Matched Matched Found on ablv nitely missed nitely birth ably missed status hirth enuably search of population enumen a ted search of with mermissed missed ıncerrecords population schedule infant infant un-certain ated tain card schedule card UNITED STATES 2.1 100.0 0.4 8,507 8,830 16,473 93.6 775,815 725,960 3,272 12,773 Total..... 96.4 97.1 91.4 681,237 94,578 644,136 81,824 6,550 1,957 7,843 987 2,649 623 9,374 3,399 10,685 5,788 100.0 94.6 1.0 1.2 0.4 1.4 1.6 2.1 1.0 0.7 3.6 6.1 Nonwhite..... 8,586 5,701 2,885 96.8 97.5 91.8 439,115 387,369 412,962 368.090 4,925 3,701 1,224 6,108 4,313 1,795 100.0 94.0 1.1 0.4 Urban.... 4.634 1,900 1.1 1,470 95.0 86.7 1.1 1.5 5.6 White..... 100.0 1.0 0.8 51,746 44,872 1,631 1,375 1.6 1.5 3.3 96.7 97.1 92.2 191,419 175.814 2.223 3,279 2,704 575 3,655 94.3 94.9 88.4 713 100.0 0.8 0.4 Rural nonfarm..... 202,920 1.1 2,075 638 2,670 985 White..... 15,605 256 100.0 0.8 5.6 17,644 3.2 2.1 7.6 133,780 108,592 25,188 121,579 100.232 1,951 1,474 477 3,386 2,357 1,029 4,232 2,314 1,918 1.5 1.4 1.9 1.5 1.5 1.2 0.5 2.5 100.0 Rural farm..... 94.7 1,973 659 90.9 White:..... 21,347 NORTHEAST Total..... 97.1 178,715 167,926 2,351 2,433 511 2.826 2,668 100.0 94.0 1.3 1.3 97.3 93.4 168,383 10,332 158,789 9,137 2,097 254 2,323 110 2,510 316 94.3 88.4 1.5 444 2,220 100.0 1.2 1.4 0.3 67 0.6 1.4 121,734 113,333 8,401 1,885 1,837 1,454 383 1.5 1.3 3.0 Urban..... 129,202 1.762 1,619 365 100.0 97.3 94.2 94.7 119,732 1,519 243 1,523 305 1,598 287 100.0 1.3 88.7 1.0 93.6 100.0 0.6 Rural nonfarm..... 96.9 39,439 36,979 432 102 675 607 100.0 93.8 1.6 White..... 97.0 36,341 423 633 97 651 553 100.0 93.9 0.3 1.7 7.3 638 54 100.0 86.1 1.5 170 167 224 100.0 0.4 Rural farm..... 95.4 10.074 9.213 44 42 266 91.5 White..... 9,115 155 261 213 100.0 91.6 1.6 1.7 2.6 2.1 NORTH CENTRAL 1.0 0.4 1.2 Total..... 97.7 230,224 219,822 1,985 2,437 2,737 100.0 95.5 0.9 0.9 0.9 100.0 0.4 White.... 98.1 217, 150 208,700 1,629 2,162 184 785 1,897 1,977 760 96.1 0.8 1.0 91.0 11,122 356 112 100.0 85.1 4.1 5.8 137,455 125,716 1,304 1,140 1,460 970 Urban..... 97.7 131,084 1,265 100.0 95.4 0.9 0.4 1.3 0.8 White..... 456 98.3 121,105 932 100.0 0.7 0.9 490 91.0 11,739 333 164 99 674 100.0 85.0 2.8 1.4 0.8 4.2 5.7 1.0 0.9 5.5 475 465 490 463 520 467 Rural nonfarm..... 98.0 51,388 162 155 100.0 96.2 1.0 0.9 White..... 98.1 50,433 48,601 282 100.0 0.6 0.9 88.2 92.6 955 16 10 27 53 100.0 1.7 1.0 0.7 2.8 422 415 567 557 487 464 Rural farm..... 39,295 100.0 95.0 1.2 1.0 White...... 41,001 97.8 38,994 174 397 100.0 95.1 1.0 1.1 1.0 79.2 33 100.0 2.6 1.6 6.1 8.7 SOUTH Total..... 94.7 259,352 236,497 3,435 3,108 6,058 8,894 100.0 91.2 1.3 1.2 0.5 2.3 3.4 1,360 2.4 95.9 195,211 181,033 2,233 2,500 975 3,745 2,313 92.7 1.9 Nonwhite..... 91.1 64,141 55,464 1,202 608 385 4,169 100.0 86.5 1.9 0.9 0.6 3.6 6.5 1,987 1,121 866 1,199 3,676 1.8 3.3 2.5 Urban..... 95.3 110,717 101,730 1,460 665 100.0 91.9 1.3 1.1 0.6 93.4 86.9 White..... 84,788 25,929 79,209 22,521 973 226 434 231 2,122 1.1 2.0 0.5 1.3 3.3 2.5 6.0 Nonwhite..... 91.5 0.9 531 100.0 324 274 50 1,716 1,234 482 0.4 0.4 0.3 1,996 1,188 Rural nonfarm..... 95.5 77,810 72,214 731 829 100.0 92.8 0.9 1.1 2.2 2,6 White..... 718 111 59,561 12,653 1.1 1.9 1.9 5.6 Nonwhite..... 92.1 14,318 214 808 100.0 88.4 1.5 3.3 3.0 4.0 Rural farm..... 92.9 70,825 62,553 1.244 1,080 371 2,355 3,222 100.0 88.3 1.8 1.5 0.5 4.5 3.0 White..... 1,415 46,931 23,894 787 457 809 271 20.290 RA. 1.1 7.6 104 100.0 WEST 107,524 1.452 2,174 100.0 0.7 0.9 Total..... 96.7 101,715 736 943 504 94.6 0.5 1.4 2.0 97.1 91.8 100,493 7,031 591 145 858 85 445 59 1,222 230 1,763 411 0.6 0.9 0.4 1.2 1.8 95,614 100.0 Nonwhite..... 6,101 96.8 97.1 91.8 61,741 57,133 58,414 54,443 100.0 Urban.... 434 512 315 776 1.286 94.6 0.8 0.5 2.1 321 458 54 624 152 1,012 95.3 86.2 1.8 White..... 275 100.0 0.8 0.5 1.1 4,608 3,971 34,283 32,653 1,630 398 356 42 0.4 Rural nonfarm..... 97.3 32,783 31,311 170 275 125 532 100.0 95.6 0.5 0.8 1.2 1.6 97.5 93.4 153 259 16 1.1 1.4 e....................... Nonwhite..... 1,472 100.0 11,500 156 278 356 91.5 Rural farm..... 94.8 10.518 128 1.1 0.6 3.1 117 141 289 100.0 White..... 658 ALABAMA 17,739 178 90 371 688 100.0 91.7 1.0 0.8 0.5 3.9 Total..... 16,273 139 2.1 11,521 6,218 175 196 93.6 88.4 0.9 0.7 10,779 5,494 317 100.0 0.6 2.8 96.0 98 85 54 67 23 1.5 White.... Nonwhite..... 92.1 80 371 100.0 6,565 0.7 0.9 0.3 6,098 75 44 44 38 97 207 100.0 92.9 0.7 0.7 94.7 89.5 0.8

29

62

120

100.0

41 34

4,304

97.0

White.....

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

# Table 1.—REGIONS BY STATES, URBAN AND RURAL: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR IN SELECTED AREAS—Con.

				Numi	per						Percent distribution							
Area and color	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated				Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Dank		Γ	Enumer-			
Area and color	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain			
ALABAMACon.																		
Rural nonfarm	95.3	5,343	4,964	36	44	14	101	184	100.0	92.9	0.7	0.8	0.3	1.9	3.4			
White	96.2 93.3	3,696 1,647	3,479 1,485	19 17	32 12	12	57 44	97 87	100.0	94.1 90.2	0.5 1.0	0.9	0.3	2.7	5.3			
Rural farm	92.9	5,831	5,211	67	51	32	173	297	100.0	89.4	1.1	0.9	0.5	3.0	5.1			
White	94.6 90.3	3,521 2,310	3,226 1,985	38 29	24 27	17 15	83	133 164	100.0	91.6 85.9	1.1	1.2	0.5	2.4	7.1			
ARIZONA				1.														
Total	93.8	4,634	4,171	59	71	35	135	163	100.0	90.0	1.3	1.5	0.8	2.9	3.5			
White	94.1	3,996	3,620	43	62	28	108	135	100.0	90.6	1.1	1.6	0.7	2.7	3.4			
Nonwhite	91.5	638 1,646	551 1,385	16 33	9 40	7 16	27 71	28	100.0	86.4 84.1	2.5	2.4	1.1	4.2	6.1			
Rural nonfarm	96.6	2,475	2,341	21	24	13	47	29	100.0	94.6	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.9	1.2			
Rural farm	91.0	51.3	445	5	7	6	17	33	100.0	86.7	1.0	1.4	1.2	3.3	6.4			
ARKANSAS			1 1									ŀ						
Total	94.2	10.095	9.126	119	135	67	219	429	100.0	90.4	1.2	1.3	0.7	2.2	4.7			
White	95.2 91.0	7,650 2,445	7,014 2,112	77 42	115 20	48 19	145 74	251 178	100.0	91.7 86.4	1.0	0.8	0.6	1.9	7.3			
Urban	95.4	3,411	3,153	35	25	32	48	118	100.0	92.4	1.0	0.7	0.9	1.4	3.			
White	95.9 93.6	2,601 810	2,426 727	20 15	22	19 13	. 39	75 43	100.0	93.3 89.8	0.8	0.8		1.5	2.9			
Rural nonfarm	95.6	2,138	1,978	20	30	8	41	61.	100.0	92.5	0.9	1.4	0.4	1.9	2.9			
Rural farm	92.6	4,546	3,995	64	80	27	130	250	100.0	87.9	1.4	1.8		2.9	5.:			
White	93.9 89.2	3,289 1,257	2,938 1,057	47 17	64 16	24	80 50	136 114	100.0	89.3 84.1	1.4	1.9		2.4	9.			
						_			1		-			1				
CALIFORNIA	07.0	£/ 022	£2 200	202	220	100	500	1 101	700.0	05.4	0.6							
Total	97.2	54,921	52,399 48,127	323	337 284	188	573 436	1,101	100.0	95.4	0.6	0.6		0.9	1.0			
Nonwhite	92.0	4,913	4,272	102	53	42	137	307	100.0	87.0	2.1	1.1		2.8	6.2			
Urban	97.4	35,621	34,107	215	190	137	344	628	100.0	95.7	0.6	0.5		1.0	1.8			
White	98.1	31,872 3,749	30,879 3,228	119 96	145 45	103 34	230 114	396 232	100.0	96.9 86.1	0.4 2.6	1.2		3.0	6.2			
Rural nonfarm	97.4	15,868	15,234	59	90	32	148	305	100.0	96.0	0.4	0.6		0.9	1.9			
White	97.6 94.6	15,019 849	14,443	57 2	90	27	137 11	265 40	100.0	96.2 93.2	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.9	1.8			
RUFEI farm	93.5	3,432	3,058	49	57	1.9	81	168	100.0	89.1	1.4	1.7	0.6	2.4	4.9			
				ľ														
COLORADO Total	95.7	7,589	7,096	52	61	53	151	176	100.0	93.5	0.7	0.8	0.7	2.0	2.:			
Urban	95.0	4,278	3,946	38	43	32	89	130	100.0	92.2	0.9	1.0		2.1	3.0			
Rural nonfarm	97.3	2,083	1,999	. 8	11 7	18	21 41	26 20	100.0	96.0 93.7	0.4	0.5		1.0				
Rural farm	95.3	1,228	1,151		] '	1	41	20	100.0	33.1	""	""	""	3.3				
CONNECTICUT									}				1					
Total	98.3	8,815	8,463	77	112	21	95	47	100.0	96.0	0.9	1.3		1.1	0.			
UrbanRural nonfarm	99.0 96.9	5,697 2,769	5,579 2,568	26 42	34 68	13	30 55	15	100.0	97.9 92.7	0.5	2.5	0.3	2.0	1.0			
Rural farm	96.3	349	316	9	10		10	4	100.0	90.5	2.6	2.9	' ' ' '	2.9	1.			
DELAWARE			1.										-					
Total	95.0	1,533	1,364	77	7	1	56	28	100.0	89.0	5.0	0.5	0.1	3.7	1.			
Urban	94.5	656	582	34	1	1	29	9	100.0	88.7	5.2	0.2	.	4.4				
Rural nonfarm	96.2	711 166	641 141	37 6	2 4	:::	17 10	14	100.0	90.2	5.2	0.3	·   :::	2.4	2.0			
DIGEOTOM OF GOLIDATA				i .														
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Total	93.1	3,595	3,152	91	48	31	89	184	100.0	87.7	2.5	1.3	0.9	2.5	5.			
White	95.0	2,130	1,925	40	30	17	36	82	100.0	90.4	1.9	1.4		1.7	+			
Nonwhite	90.4	1,465	1,227	51	18	14	53	102	100.0	83.8	3.5	1.2		3.6				
FLORIDA																		
Total	95.1	13,300	12,197	171	155	52	290	435	100.0	91.7	1.3	1.2	0.4	2.2	3.			
White	96.7	10,020	9,408	97	120	23	152	220	100.0	93.9	1.0	1.2		1.5	2.			
Nonwhite	90.2	3,280	2,789	74	35	29	1.38	215	100.0	85.0	2.3	1.1		4.2	1			
UrbanWhite	95.1 97.1	6,723 4,877	6,139 4,594	102 45	85 63	36 16	125 54	236 105	100.0	91.3 94.2	1.5	1.3			3.			
Nonwhite	90.0	1,846	1,545	57	22	20	71	131.	100.0	83.7	3.1	1.2	1.1	3.8	7.			
Rural nonfarm	95.5 96.5	5,098 4,091	4,727 3,841	58 44	53 43	15 6	117 74	128 83	100.0	92.7 93.9	1.1	1.0		2.3				
Nonwhite	91.6	1,007	886	14	10		43	45	100.0	88.0	1.4	1.0		4.3				
Rural farm	93.2	1,479	1,331	11	17	1	48	71	100.0	90.0	0.7	1.1	0.1	3.2	4.			

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

# Table 1.—REGIONS BY STATES, URBAN AND RURAL: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR IN SELECTED AREAS—Con.

				Num	ber	<del></del>	<del></del>		<del></del>		Percent di	stributi	on		
	Adjusted						·	·		r				· · · ·	
Area and color	estimate of percent	Total	Definitely	enumerated	Prob-	Duck	Deed	Enumer-	Total	Definitely	y enumerated	Prob-	Prob-	Defi-	Enumer- ation
	enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	birth records	Matched with infant	Found on search of population	ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	birth records	Matched with infant	Found on search of population	enu- mer- ated	ably	nitely missed	status uncer- tain
	}		card	schedule		<b></b>				card	schedule				
GEORGIA															
TotalWhite	94.4	19,586	17,749	291 180	257 202	116 79	492 272	681	100.0	90.6	1.5	1.3	0.6	2.5	2.3
Nonwhite	92.1	6,686	5,885	111	55	37	220	378	100.0	88.0	1.7	0.8	0.6	3.3	5.7
Urban White	93.5 95.1	7,801 5,124	6,916 4,609	158 115	119 104	61 37	224 112	323 147	100.0	88.7 89.9	2.0	2.0	0.8	2.9	4.1 2.9
Nonwhite	90.3	2,677	2,307	43	15	24	112	176	100.0	86.2	1.6	0.6	0.9	4.2	6.6
Rural nonfarm White	96.1 96.9	5,893 4,375	5,545 4,170	43 18	44 34	27 25	110 70	124 58	100.0	94.1 95.3	0.7 0.4	0.7	0.5	1.9	2.1 1.3
Nonwhite	94.0 94.0	1,518 5,892	1,375 5,288	25 90	10 94	2 28	40 158	66 234	100.0	90.6 89.7	1.6	1.6	0.1	2.6	4.3
White	94.8 92.9	3,401 2,491	3,085 2,203	47 43	64 30	17	90 68	98 136	100.0	90.7 88.4	1.4	1.9	0.5	2.6	2.9
and the second second	,	2,451	.,205		50		. 00	136	100.0	. 66.4	1.7	1.2		2.,	7.2
IDAHO	97.7	2 620	2 102	,20	39	1.2	22	50	100.0	05.7	0.5	, ,		0.9	, ,
Total	97.7	3,638 1,585	3,483 1,518	10	12	13	33	50 32	100.0	95.7 95.8	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.9	2.0
Rural nonfarm	97.7 97.6	1,051 1,002	1,011 954	2 8	13 14	5 4	12 12	8 10	100.0	96.2 95.2	0.2	1.2	0.5	1.1	0.8
	1,10	2,000		J		-		13	100.0	,,,,,	0.0	1.7	0.4		1.0
ILLINOIS Total	98.1	40,348	38,913	274	217	111	353	480	100.0	96.4	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.9	1.2
White	98.8	36,889	36,058	137	184	83	189	238	100.0	97.7	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.6
Nonwhite	89.7 98.3	3,459 29,277	2,855 28,374	137 194	33 94	28 77	164 225	242	100.0	82.5	4.0	1.0	0.8	4.7	7.0
White	99.4	25,942	25,631	. 59	61	49	61	313 81	100.0	96.9 98.8	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.3
Rural nonfarm	89.5 97.7	3,335 7,035	2,743 6,738	135	33 69	28	164	232 101	100.0	82.2 95.8	4.0	1.0	0.8	4.9 1.1	7.0
Rural farm	97.2	4,036	3,801	45	54	22	48	66	100.0	94.2	1.1	1.3	0.5	1.2	1.6
INDIANA						ļ									
Total	97.3	20,532	19,520	167	191	99	249	306	100.0	95.1	0.8	0.9	0.5	1.2	1.5
White	97.7 91.1	19,545 987	18,678 842	139 28	177 14	86 13	207 42	258 48	100.0	95.6 85.3	0.7 2.8	0.9	0.4 1.3	1.1 4.3	1.3 4.9
UrbanWhite	97.5 98.0	11,500 10,553	11,000 10,188	85 59	53 41	55 42	116 78	191 145	100.0 100.0	95.7 96.5	0.7	0.5 0.4	0.5 0.4	1.0	1.7
Nonwhite	91.3	947	812	26	12	1.3	38	46	100.0	85.7	2.7	1.3	1.4	4.0	4.9
Rural nonfarm	97.5 96.6	5,553 3,479	5,288 3,232	32 50	80 58	19 25	80 53	54 61	100.0	95.2 92.9	0.6	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.0
IOWA									,						
Total	98.3	13,982	13,448	101	189	54	128	62	100.0	96.2	0.7	1.4	0.4	0.9	0.4
Urban	98.1	6,374	6,107	42	96	29	62	38	100.0	95.8	0.7	1.5	0.5	1.1	0.6
Rural nonfarm	98.3 98.7	2,801 4,807	2,703 4,638	13 46	-36 57	12 13	30 36	7 17	100.0	96.5 96.5	0.5 1.0	1.3	0.4	0.7	0.2
KANSAS															
Total	96.9	9,164	8,519	153	185	54	164	89	100.0	93.0	1.7	2.0	0.6	1.8	1.0
UrbanRural nonfarm	96.7 96.9	4,688 2,236	4,359 2,082	90 35	79 42	29 15	97 30	34 32	100.0	93.0 93.1	1.9 1.6	1.7	0.6	2.1 1.3	0.7
Rural farm	97.1	2,240	2,078	28	64	10	37	23	100.0	92.8	1.3	2.9	0.4	1.7	1.0
KENTUCKY													.	- 1	
Total	93.5	17,286	15,443	271	272	147	584	569	100.0	89.3	1.6	1.6	0.9	3.4	3.3
White	93.7 90.0	16,249 1,037	14,563 880	248 23	262 10	141	537 47	498 71	100.0	89.6 84.9	1.5 2.2	1.6	0.9	3.3 4.5	3.1 6.8
UrbanWhite.	94.1 94.6	5,489 4,846	4,972 4,423	73 56	52 47	37 31	155 129	200 160	100.0	90.6 91.3	1.3	0,9	0.7 0.6	2.8	3.6 3.3
Nonwhite	90.7	643	549	17	5	6	26	40	100.0	85.4	2.6	0.8	0.9	4.0	6.2
Rural nonfarm	94.4 91.9	5,947 5,850	5,437 5,034	55 143	85 135	44 66	185 244	141 228	100.0	91.4 86.1	0.9 2.4	2.3	0.7	3.1 4.2	2.4 3.9
LOUISIANA		. ,				·									
Total	93.9	15,692	14,153	244	151	75	416	653	100.0	90.2	1.6	1.0	0.5	2.7	4.2
White	95.7	9,902 5,790	9,156. 4,997	126	177	41 34	200	268 385	100.0	92.5 86.3	1.3	1.1	0.4	2.0	2.7
Nonwhite	90.9 94.7	7,826	7.118	118	40 73	34 47	216 153	307	100.0	91.0	1.6	0.7	0.6	3.7 2.0	6.6 3.9
White	96.5 91.3	5,158 2,668	4,806 2,312	72 56	55 18	21 26	73 80	131 176	100.0	93.2 86.7	1.4 2.1	1.1	0.4	1.4	2.5
Rural nonfarm	94.1	4,260	3,892	42	34	23	123	146	100.0	91.4	1.0	0.8	0.5	2.9	3.4
White	95.3 91.2	2,958 1,302	2,752 1,140	22 20	26 8	17 6	71 52	70 76	100.0	93.0 87.6	0.7 1.5	0.9	0.6	2.4 4.0	2.4 5.8
Rural farm	92.0 94.0	3,606 1,786	3,143 1,598	74 32	44 30	5 3	140 56	200 67	100.0	87.2 89.5	2.1 1.8	1.2	0.1	3.9 3.1	5.5 3.8
Nonwhite	90.0	1,786	1,545	42	14	2.	84	133	100.0	84.9	2.3	0.8	0.1	4.6	7.3

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

# Table 1.—REGIONS BY STATES, URBAN AND RURAL: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR IN SELECTED AREAS—Con.

				Num	ber						Percent di	stributi	on		
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated	<u> </u>			Enumer-	<b></b>	Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
Area and color	of percent enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
MAINE		,													
Total	96.5	4,718	4,368	79	101	22	11.6	32	100.0	92.6	1.7	2.1	0.5	2.5	
UrbanRural nonfarmRural farm	96.9 96.0 96.5	1,994 2,074 650	1,873 1,895 600	29 40 10	29 54 18	10 11 1	43 54 19	10 20 2	100.0 100.0 100.0	93.9 91.4 92.3	1.5 1.9 1.5	1.5 2.6 2.8	0.5 0.5 0.2	2.2 2.6 2.9	
MARYIAND		500	70.000	230	194	74	269	100	700.0	40.2				2.2	
Total	94.2	11,533	10,300	159	164	46	139	466 245	100.0	89.3 91.6	2.0	1.7	0.6	2.3	<del> </del>
Nonwhite	87.8	2,593	2,113	n	30	28	130	221	100.0	81.5	2.7	1.2	1.1	5.0	8.5
UrbanWhite	94.2 96.3	6,571 4,738	5,814 4,299	180 119	117 101	31 12	150 66	279 141	100.0	88.5 90.7	2.7 2.5	1.8	0.5	2.3 1.4	3.0
Nonwhite	89.0	1,833	1,515	61	16	19	84	138	100.0	82.7	3.3	0.9	1.0	4.6	
Rural nonfarm	94.8	3,888	3,563	35	54	33	79	124	100.0	91.6		1,4	0.8	2.0	
White	96.3 85.0	3,367 521	3,151 412	28	45 9	27	48 31	68 56	100.0	93.6 79.1	0.8	1.3	0.8	1.4 5.9	
Rural farm	91.2	1,074	923	15	23	10	40	63	100.0	85.9	1.4	2.1	0.9	3.7	5.9
MASSACHUSETTS Total	98.1	21,450	20,607	247	74	24	203	295	100.0	96.1	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.9	1.4
Urban	98.3	17,778	17,142	186	52	15	126	257	100.0	96.4	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.9	
Rural nonfarm	97.1 95.3	3,289 383	3,113 352	51 10	20 2	8 1	61 16	36 2	100.0	94.6 91.9		0.6	0.2	1.9	1.1
MICHIGAN Total	97.6	35,266	33,615	238	402	163	363	485	100.0	95.3	0.7	1.1	0.5	1.0	1.4
White	98.0	32,466	31,198	182	346	139	278	323	100.0	96.1	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.9	1.0
Nonwhite	92.0 97.3	2,800 22,182	2,417	56 155	56 252	24 114	85 242	162 342	100.0	86.3 95.0	2.0 0.7	2.0	0.9	3.0	1.5
White:	98.1 91.8	19,584 2,598	18,844 2,233	101 54	200 52	90 24	1.59 83	190 152	100.0	96.2 86.0		1.0	0.5	0.8	
Rural nonfara	98.1 97.5	9,350 3,734	9,014 3,524	48	73 77	27 22	72 49	116 27	100.0	96.4 94.4	0.5	0.8		0.8	1.2
MINNESOTA	.,	,,,,,,	, ,,,,,,,,												
Total	98.4	17,353	16,716	132	187	47	147	124	100.0	96.3	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.8	0.7
UrbanRural nonfarmRural farm	98.3 98.4 98.6	9,261 3,595 4,497	8,905 3,457 4,354	69 37 26	111 38 38	27 9 11	84 31 32	65 23 36	100.0 100.0 100.0	96.1 96.2 96.8		1.2 1.1 0.8	0.3	0.9	0.6
MISSISSIPPI					,										
Total	93.2	14,217	12,742	164	149	52	401	709	100.0	89.6		1.0		<del></del>	
White	95.5 91.3	6,506 7,711	6,014 6,728	66 98	82 67	33 19	136 265	175 534	100.0	92.4 87.2		0.9			
Urban	94.2	3,628	3,314	19	33	16	70	176	100.0	91.3		0.9			
White	96.9 90.8	2,051 1,577	1,940 1,374	13	12 21	12	14 56	60 116	100.0	94.6 87.1	0.4	1.3	0.3	3.6	7.4
Rural nonfarm	93.1 94.4	2,768 1,720	2,490 1,567	19 13	38 30	15 12	88 50	118 48	100.0	90.0		1.4			
Norwhite	91.1	1,048	923	6	8	3	38	70	100.0	88.1		0.8	1		ì
Rural farm	92.8 95.3 91.5	7,821 2,735 5,086	6,938 2,507 4,431	126 40 86	78 40 38	21 9 12	243 72 171	415 67 348	100.0 100.0	88.7 91.7 87.1	1.5	1.0 1.5 0.7	0.3	2.6	2.4
MISSOURI															
Total	95.9	19,148	17,661	310	210	116	333	518	100.0	92.2	1.6	1.1	0.6	1.7	2.
White	96.4	17,609	16,377	258 52	194	102	268 65	410 108	100.0	93.0 83.4		1.1			
Nonwhite	90.1	1,539 11,209	1,284	198	16 118	14 55	169	304	100.0	92.5	ł	1.1		1	
Urban	96.9 91.4	9,883 1,326	9,239 1,126	150 48	104 14	46	116	228 76	100.0	93.5	1.5	1.1	0.5	1.2	2.
Rural nonfarm	95.7 95.3	3,638 4,301	3,383 3,913	35 77	33 59	23 38	72 92	92 122	100.0	93.0 91.0	1.0	0.9	0.6	2.0	2.
MONTANA	2.06	4,502		"	) <del>,</del>										
Total	97.1	3,563	3,351	36	64	19	50	43	100.0	94.0	1.0	1.8			1.:
Urban	97.0	1,525	1,428	16	29	10	17	25	100.0	93.6		1.9			
Rural nonfarm	98.0 96.3	1 <b>,13</b> 0 908	1,079 844	8 12	20 15	6 3	12 21	13 13	100.0	95.5 92.9					
nebraska									100 0	02.5	1.2		0.7	1.3	3 1.
Total	97.2	7,291	6,81.5	89	161	. 49	92	85 58	100.0	93.5		-	4		
Urban	96.8	3,480	3,241	39 10	68 29	24	50 8	14	100.0					1 5	5 0.

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

# Table 1.—REGIONS BY STATES, URBAN AND RURAL: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR IN SELECTED AREAS—Con.

				Num	ber						Percent di	stributi	on		
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated	1		1	T		Definitely	enumerated				<u> </u>
Area and color	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer ation status uncer- tain
NEVADA			,		7			-							
Total	95.7	698	650	6	11	9	17	5	100.0	93.1	0.9	1.6	1.3	2.4	0.7
Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm	94.3 96.9	384 255 59	349 244 57	2	8 1 2	9 	10 7 	1,	100.0	90.9 95.7	1.0 0.8 	2.1 0.4	2.3	2.6	1.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE Total	96.1	2,513	2,336	46	30	19	71	11	100.0	93.0	1.8	1.2	0.8	2.8	0.4
Urban	96.1	1,475	1,373	29	14	14	39	6	100.0	93.1	2.0	0.9	0.9	2.6	0.4
Rural nonfarm	96.8	791 247	742 221	10 7	15	2 3	21	4	100.0	93.8	1.3	1.9	0.3	2.7	0.1
NEW JERSEY													l		l
Total	97.1	20,674	19,519	245 177	208 175	59 49	328	315 172	100.0	94.4	0.9	0.9	0.3	1.6	0.9
Nonwhite	88.8	1,946	1,582	68	33	10	110	143	100.0	95.8 81.3	3.5	1.7	0.5	5.7	7.3
Urban	97.5	16,327	15,515	190	138	40	228	216	100.0	95.0	1.2	0.8	0.2	1.4	1.3
White	98.4 89.0	14,683	14,181	129 61	106 32	31 9	134 94	102 114	100.0	96.6 81.1	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.9 5.7	0.7
Rural nonfarm	95.8 95.3	3,750 597	3,455 549	44 11	65 5	13 6	85 15	88 11	100.0	92.1 92.0	1.2 1.8	1.7 0.8	0.3	2.3 2.5	2.3
NEW MEXICO	91.0	4,624	3,992	60	89	54	184	245	100.0	86.3	1.3	1.9	1.2	4.0	5.3
Total	91.0	2,216	1,922	29	35	22	75	133	100.0	86.7	1.3	1.6	1.0		6.0
Rural nonfarm	92.6 87.2	1,561 847	1,377 693	19 12	39 15	16 16	61 48	49 63	100.0	88.2 81.8	1.2	2.5	1.0		3.1 7.4
NEW YORK						0.00		3.364	100.0	92.0	1.7		0.4	2.0	1.7
TotalWhite	96.4 96.3	66,71.2 62,290	61,386 57,161	1,130	1,427	250 222	1,355	1,164	100.0	92.0	1.8	2.1	0.4		1.8
Nonwhite	97.2	4,422	4,225	35	14	28	52	68	100.0	95.5	0.8	0.3	0.6		1.5
Urban	96.2 96.1	51,939 47,738	47,581 43,543	961 930	1,117	206 183	1,083 1,035	991 937	100.0	91.6 91.2	1.9	2.2	0.4	2.1	1.9
White	97.5	4,201	4,038	31	7	23	48	54	100.0	96.1	0.7	0.2	0.5		1.3
Rural nonfarm	97.1 96.3	11,511 3,262	10,786 3,019	133 36	238 72	30 14	204 68	1.20 53	100.0	93.7 92.6	1.2	2.1	0.3	1.8	1.6
NORTH CAROLINA															
Total	. 94.5	23,382	21,168	346	361.	132	584	791	100.0	90.5	1.5	1.5	0.6		3.4
White	95.7 91.7	16,289 7,093	15,020 6,148	202 144	261 100	84 48	353 231	369 422	100.0	92.2 86.7	1.2 2.0	1.6	0.5	3.3	2.3
Urban	95.0	6,503	5,908	73	132	61	101	228	100.0	90.8	1.1	2.0	0.9	l .	3,5
White	96.2 92.1	4,703 1,800	4,353	32 41	.97	35 26	53 48	133 95	100.0	92,6 86.4	0.7 2.3	2.1	0.7		2.8
Nonwhite	95.9	8,250	1,555 7,684	92	83	33	170	188	100.0	93.1	1.1	1.0	0.4	2.1	2.3
White	96.6	6,558	6,167	64	74	31 2	109 61	113 75	100.0	94.0 89.7	1.0	1.1	0.5		1.7
Nonwhite	93.0	1,692 8,629	1,517 7,576	28 181	146	38	313	375	100.0	87.8	2.1	1.7	0.4	3.6	4.3
White	94.1	5,028 3,601	4,500 3,076	106 75	90 56	18 20	191 122	123 252	100.0	89.5 85.4	2.1	1.6	0.4	3.8	7.0
NORTH DAKOTA							-	23	100.0	95.3					
Total	97.8	3,579 1,002	3,410 961	42	47	6	51 14	7	100.0	95.9	0.9	1.3	0.2	1.4	0.6
Urban	97.8 97.7	1,069	1,024 1,425	9 24	12 24	5	14 23	5 11	100.0	95.8 94.5	0.8	1.1	0.5 0.1	1.3	0.5
OHIO									ļ.						
Total	98.3	40,856	39,413	302	317	99	346	379	100.0	96.5	0.7	0.8	0.2		0.9
White	98.8 91.5	37,965 2,891	36,903 2,510	250 52	280 37	83 16	223 123	226 153	100.0	97.2 86.8	0.7	0.7	0.2		0.6 5.3
Urban	97.7	26,969	25,667	282	283	88	306	343	100.0	95.2	1.0	1.0	0.3	1.1	1.3
White	98.3	24,311	23,366	230 52	249 34	74 14	192 114	200 143	100.0	96.1 86.6	0.9	1.0	0.3	0.8	0.8
Nonwhite	91.5 99.5	2,658 9,330	2,301 9,252	10	18	4	21	25	100.0	99.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2	0,3
Rural farm	99.3	4,557	4,494	.10	16	7	19	ĩi	100.0	98.6	0.2	0.4	0.2		0.2
OKTAHOMA Total	95.8	10,956	10,160	106	164	91	223	212	100.0	92.7	1.0	1.5	0.8	2.0	1.9
White	96.2	9,791	9,145	82	141	83	181	1.59	100.0	93.4	0.8	1.4	0.8	1.8	1.6
Nonwhite	92.3	1,165	1,015	24	23	8	42	53	100.0	87.1	2.1	2.0	0.7	3.6	4.5
UrbanWhite	96.2 96.6	5,890 5,336	5,540 5,052	28 21	57 45	43 39	92 74	130 105	100.0	94.1 94.7	0.5	0.8	0.7	1.4	2.2
Nonwhite	92.6	554	488	7	12	4	18	25	100.0	88.1	1.3	2.2	0.7	3.2	4.5
Rural nonfarm	96.3 94.3	2,336 2,730	2,165 2,455	32 46	46 61	19 29	44 87	30 52	100.0	92.7 89.9	1.4	2.0	0.8		1.3

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

# Table 1.—REGIONS BY STATES, URBAN AND RURAL: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR IN SELECTED AREAS—Con.

				Num	ber						Percent dis	stributi	on		
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated	<u> </u>			Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
Area and color	of percent enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
OREGON						1				-					1
Total	97.3	8,173	7,770	62	93	. 47	95	106	100.0	95.1	0.8	1.1	0.6	1.2	1.3
Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm	97.2 97.5 97.0	3,711 3,275 1,187	3,513 3,135 1,122	30 20 12	50 30 13	33 13 1	31 40 24	54 37 15	100.0 100.0 100.0	94.7 95.7 94.5	0.8 0.6 1.0	1.3 0.9 1.1	0.9 0.4 0.1	0.8 1.2 2.0	1.5 1.1 1.3
PENNSYLVANIA							l			ļ.					
Total	97.6	48,247	46,037	424	393	101	536	756	100.0	95.4	0.9	0.8	0.2	0.9	1.6
White	98.1 91.1	45,127 3,120	43,447 2,590	289 135	336 57	78 23	408 128	569 187	100.0 100.0	96.3 83.0	0.6 4.3	0.7	0.2	4.1	6.0
Urban White Nonwhite	98.3 99.1 90.8	29,994 27,137 2,857	28,929 26,575 2,354	270 135 135	182 131 51	59 36 23	241 119 122	313 141 172	100.0 100.0 100.0	96.4 97.9 82.4	0.9 0.5 4.7	0.6 0.5 1.8	0.2 0.1 0.8	0.8 0.4 4.3	1.0 0.5 6.0
Rural nonfarm	97.2 94.4	14,155 4,098	13,401 3,707	91 63	160 51	24 18	178 117	301 142	100.0 100.0	94.7 90.5	0.6 1.5	1.1	0.2		2.1 3.5
RHODE ISLAND							[						[ <u>.</u>		
Total	96.7	3,708	3,428 2,931	67	59 46	6	92 83	34 24	100.0	92.4	2.4	1.6	0.2	2.5	0.9
Rural nonfarm	97.6	491 60	450 47	16 5	10	1	5 4	9	100.0	91.6	3.3	2.0	0.2		1.8
SOUTH CAROLINA				٠.,	·						:				
Total	92.1	12,842	11,343	212 96	158	78	312 126	739	100.0	88.3	1.7	1.2	0.6		3.1
White	95.4 87.8	7,218 5,624	6,623 4,720	116	100 58	46 32	186	512	100.0	83.9	1.3 2.1	1.0	0.6		9.1
Urban	94.1 95.6	3,696 2,416	3,335 2,223	50 22	46 40	31 9	82 44	152 78	100.0	90.2 92.0	1.4	1.2	0.8		
Nonwhite	91.3	1,280	1,112	28	6	22	38	74	100.0	86.9	2.2	0.5	1.7	3.0	5.8
Rural nonfarm White Nonwhite	92.7 96.3 85.8	4,578 3,004 1,574	4,196 2,816 1,380	54 28 26	40 28 12	25 23 2	64 34 30	199 75 124	100.0 100.0 100.0	91.7 93.7 87.7	1.2 0.9 1.7	0.9 0.9 0.8	0.5 0.8 0.1	1.1	2.5 7.9
Rural farm	89.8 93.7 87.3	4,568 1,798 2,770	3,812 1,584 2,228	108 46 62	72 32 40	22 14 8	166 48 118	388 74 314	100.0 100.0 100.0	83.4 88.1 80.4	2.4 2.6 2.2	1.6 1.8 1.4		2.7	4.1
SOUTH DAKOTA										4.0		1 .		1	. :
Total	97.6	3,916	3,740	24	54	18	50	30	100.0	95.5	, 0.6	1.4	<del></del>	-	
UrbanRural nonfarmRural farm	97.9 97.7 97.3	1,475 897 1,544	1,414 858 1,468	7 6 11	21 10 23	7 5 6	15 9 26	- 9 10	100.0 100.0 100.0	95.9 95.6 95.1	0.7	1.4 1.1 1.5	0.6	1.0	1.0
TENNESSEE		100													
Total	94.7	17,921	16,228	266	322	107	459	539	100.0	90.6		1.8	+		
White	95.2 92.0	14,841 3,080	13,517 2,711	211 55	297 25	79 28	353 106	384 155	100.0	91.1 88.0		0.8			
Urban White Nonwhite	94.7 95.2 93.3	6,709 4,933 1,776	6,091 4,499 1,592	92 63 29	97 84 13	52 38 14	146 99 47	231 150 81	100.0 100.0 100.0	90.8 91.2 89.6	1.3	1.4	0.8	2.0	3.0
Rural nonfarm	95.6	5,439	5,017	56	98	19	135	114	100.0	K .	1 '	1.8	1 .	2.5	2.1
Rural farm	93.8 94.7 89.8	5,773 4,804 969	5,120 4,296 824	118 96 22	127 121 6	36 24 12	178 134 44	194 133 61	100.0 100.0 100.0	89.4	2.0	2.2 2.5 0.6	0.5	2.8	2.8
TEXAS		* -													
Total	97.3	41,641	39,745	292	187	108	404	905	100.0	95.4	0.7	0.4	0.3	1.0	2.2
White	98.0 92.5	36,427 5,214	35,112 4,633	211 81	162 25	84 24	245 159	613 292	100.0	96.4 88.9		0.4			
Urban	97.4	26,466	25,225	217	139	81	217	587	100.0	95.3	.0.8	0.5	0.3	0.8	2.2
White	97,8 94.0	23,466 3,000	22,508 2,717	167 50	126 13	68 13	153 64	444 143	100.0			0.5			
Rural nonfarm	98.0 98.7	8,730	8,452	32 23	31 25	9 5	69 41	137 75	100.0			0.4			
Nonwhite	93.1	7,619 1,111	7,450 1,002	9.	6	4	28	62	100.0	90.2	0.8	0.5	0.4	2.5	5.6
Rural farm	96.0 97.7 87.7	6,445 5,342 1,103	6,068 5,154 914	43 21 22	17 11 6	18 11 7	118 51 67	181 94 87	100.0 100.0 100.0	96.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	2 1.0	1.8
UTAH										1					
Total	97.4	4,904	4,694	27	46	30	60	47	100.0	95.7	0.6	0.9			1.0
UrbanRural nonfarm	97.1 98.1	2,934 1,442	2,794 1,396	15 5	32 10		40 13	31 14	100.0						
Rural farm	98.1	1,442 528	1,396 504	7											

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

# Table 1.—REGIONS BY STATES, URBAN AND RURAL: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR IN SELECTED AREAS—Con.

			:	Numi							Percent di				
	Adjusted				Jer -							a ct.ton.ct.	J11	·	
Area and color	estimate of percent		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-		n-04	Enumer-
	enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
VERMONT														<b></b>	
Total	97.4	1,878	1,782	15	29	8	30	14	100.0	94.9	0.8	1.5	0.4	1.6	0.7
Urban	98.0 96.7 97.4	841 609 428	811 569 402	4 5 6	7 14 8	2 5 1	12 12 6	5 4 5	100.0 100.0 100.0	96.4 93.4 93.9	0.5 0.8 1.4	0.8 2.3 1.9	0.2 0.8 0.2	1.4 2.0 1.4	0.6 0.7 1.2
VIRGINIA															
Total	94.0	17,017	15,387	243	213	81	548	545	100.0	90.4	1.4	1.3	0.5	3.2	3.2
White	95.4 89.7	12,986 4,031	11,967 3,420	152 91	178 35	49 32	338 210	302 243	100.0	92.2 84.8	1.2 2.3	1.4	0.4	2.6 5.2	2.3 6.0
Urban White Norwhite	94.3 95.9 89.4	6,138 4,652 1,486	5,585 4,321 1,264	79 51 28	63 51 12	44 26 18	167 86 81	200 117 83	100.0 100.0 100.0	91.0 92.9 85.1	1.3 1.1 1.9	1.0 1.1 0.8	0.7 0.6 1.2	2.7 1.8 5.5	3.3 2.5 5.6
Rural nonfarm White Nonwhite	94.8 95.6 91.5	6,743 5,444 1,299	6,209 5,066 1,143	64 44 20	. 80 73 7	23 17 6	203 150 53	164 94 70	100.0 100.0 100.0	92.1 93.1 88.0	0.9 0.8 1.5	1.2 1.3 0.5	0.3 0.3 0.5	3.0 2.8 4.1	2.4 1.7 5.4
Rural farmWhite	92.2 94.0 88.0	4,136 2,890 1,246	3,593 2,580 1,013	100 57 43	70 54 16	14 6 8	178 102 76	181 91 90	100.0 100.0 100.0	86.9 89.3 81.3	2.4 2.0 3.5	1.7 1.9 1.3	0.3 0.2 0.6	4.3 3.5 6.1	4.4 3.1 7.2
WASHINGTON				<b>.</b>									·	2	
Total	97.5	13,182	12,588	79	118	46	126	225	100.0	95.5	0.6	0.9	0.3	1.0	1.7
UrbanRural nonfarmRural farm	97.1 98.1 97.1	6,957 4,707 1,518	6,607 4,546 1,435	43 24 12	67 33 18	26 14 6	76 33 17	138 57 30	100.0 100.0	95.0 96.6 94.5	0.6 0.5 0.8	1.0 0.7 1.2	0.4 0.3 0.4	1.1 0.7 1.1	2.0 1.2 2.0
WEST VIRGINIA	· ·	i.				}									41.41
Total	94.3	11,117	10,067	134	196	58	341	321	100.0	90.6	1.2	1.8	0.5	3.1	2.9
White	94.4 92.5	10,519 598	9,542 525	123 11	184 12	55 3	31.8 23	297 24	100.0	90.7 87.8	1.2 1.8	1.7 2.0	0.5 0.5	3.0 3.8	2.8 4.0
Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm	95.6 95.2 90.5	3,050 5,788 2,279	2,788 5,354 1,925	26 56 52	68 67 61	17 17 24	42 170 129	109 124 88	100.0 100.0	91.4 92.5 84.5	0.9 1.0 2.3	2.2 1.2 2.7	0.6 0.3 1.1	1.4 2.9 5.7	3.6 2.1 3.9
WISCONSIN						1			7						
Total	98.1	18,787	18,052	153	186	81	161	154	100.0	96.1	0.8	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.8
Urban	98.2 98.0 98.3	10,036 4,391 4,360	9,614 4,228 4,210	95 28 30	118 35 33	50 15 16	80 43 38	79 42 33	100.0 100.0 100.0	95.8 96.3 96.6	0.9 0.6 0.7	1.2 0.8 0.8	0.5 0.3 0.4	0.8 1.0 0.9	0.8 1.0 0.8
MAOWING					5			*							
Total	97.0	1,598	1,521	12	14	10	28	13	100.0	95.2	0.8	0.9	0.6	1.8	0.8
Urban Rural nonfarm Rural farm	97.2 97.9 95.0	884 436 278	845 421 255	5 2 5	6 4 4	4 4 2	14 4 10	10 1 2	100.0 100.0 100.0	95.6 96.6 91.7	0.6 0.5 1.8	0.7 0.9 1.4	0.5 0.9 0.7	1.6 0.9 3.6	1.1 0.2 0.7

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

# Table 2.—RACE: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL

[Matched birth records based on complete count; other data based on sample of unmatched birth records. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 250]

				Nun	ber						Percent dis	w 100 t10	/11		·
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated		1		Lnumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
Area and race	of percent numer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enum- erated	ebly missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATES															
Total	96.4	775,815	725,960	8,507	8,830	3,272	12,773	16,473	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
white	97.1	681,237	644,136	6,550 1,809	7,843 896	2,649 579	9,374 3,205	10,685 5,542	87.8 11.5	88.7 10.7	77.0 21.3	88.8	81.0 17.7	73.4 25.1	33.
Negro	91.4 91.1	89,397 2,686	77,366 2,313	49	48	22	114	140	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.
Indian	92.8	2,495	2,145	99	43	22	80	106	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.
Urban	96.8	439,115	412,962	4,925	4,634	1,900	6,108	8,586	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
White	97.5	387,369	368,090	3,701	4,094	1,470	4,313	5,701	88.2	89.1	75.1	88.3	77.4	70.6	66.
Negro	91.7	49,460	42,894	1,131	497 11	409	1,719	2,810 28	11.3	10.4	23.0	10.7	21.5	28.1	32.
Indian	91.8 93.7	403 1,883	350 1,628	92	32	18	66	47	0.4	0.4	1.9	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.
Other	Į.	202,920	191,419	1,631	2,223	713	3,279	3,655	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Rural nonfarm	96.7		175,814	1,375	2,075	638	2,704	2,670	91.3	91.8	84.3	93.3	89.5	82.5	73-
White	97.1 92.3	185,276 16,101	14.261	227	122	62	517	912	7.9	7.5	13.9	5.5	8.7	15.8	25.
Indian	92.0	1,261	1,098	25	. 25	11	52	50	0.6	0.6	1.5	1.1	1.5	1.6	0.
Other	91.1	282	246	4	1	2	5 200	23	0.1	. 0.1	0.2		0.3	0.2	1
Rural farm	94.7	133,780	121,579	1,951	1,973	659	3,386	4,232	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100-
White	95.8	108,592	100,232	1,474	1,674	541 108	2,357 969	2,314	81.2 17.8	82.4 16.6	75.6 23.1	84.8	82.1	69.6 28.6	54 • 43 •
Negro	90.0 89.7	23,836 1,022	20,211	451 23	277	8	52	1,820	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.6	16.4	1.5	1.
IndianOther	89.1	330	271	3	10	2	8	36	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.
NORTHEAST		į													
Total	97.1	178,715	167,926	2,351	2,433	511	2,826	2,668	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
White	97.3	168,383	158,789	2,097	2,323	444	2,510	2,220	94.2	94.6	89.2	95.5	86.9	88.8	83.
Negro Indian	93.3	9,958	8,803	244	106	64	309	432	5.6	5.2	10.4	4.4 0.2	12.5	10.9	10.
Other	89.7	300	269	10	•••	3	. 7	11	0.2	0.2	0.4		0.6	0.2	0.
Urban	97.3	129,202	121,734	1,762	1,619	365	1,885	1,837	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
White	97.6	119,732	113,333	1,519	1,523	305	1,598	1,454	92.7	93.1	86.2	94.1	83.6	84.8	79.
Negro	93.6	9,173	8,133	233	96	57	281	373	7.1	6.7	13.2	5.9	15.6	14.9	20.
Indian	89.5	20 277	20 248	10	•••	3	6	10	0.2	0.2	0.6	:::	0.8	0.3	o.
Other	1		36,979	432	644	102	675	607	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	100.0	100.
Rural nonfarm	96.9	39,439						<del> </del>		<del> </del>				<del></del>	
White	97.0 90.9	38,698 685	36,341 588	423 9	633 9	97 5	651 23	553 51	98.1	98.3 1.6	97.9 2.1	98.3		96.4	91.
Indian		34	30		2			2		0.1		0.3			0.
Other	•••	22	20	•••	•••	•••	1	1		0.1	•••	• • • •	• • • •	0.1	0
Rural farm	95.4	10,074	9,213	157	170	44	266	224	100.0	1.00.0	•••	<u> </u>	•••	100.0	<u></u>
White	95.4	9,953	9,115	1.55	167	42	261	213	98-8	98.9			• • • •	98-1	
Negro Indian	:::	100 20	82 15	2	1 2	2	5	8	1.0	0.9		] :::		1.9	1:
Other		1	1												
											* .	1		1	1
NORTH CENTRAL		l l							}	1		]	}		}
Total	97.7	230,224	219,822	1,985	2,346	897	2,437	2,737	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
White	98.1	217,150	208,700	1,629	2,162	785	1,897	1,977	94.3	94.9	82.1	92.2	87.5	77.8	
Negro	90.8 92.4	12,268 550	10,428 485	320 9	167 8	103	514 24	736 18	5.3 0.2	4.7 0.2	16.1	7.1	11.5		
Other	96.5	256	209	27	9	3	2		0.1	0.1	1.4	0.4			
Urban	97.7	137,455	131,084	1,265	1,304	555	1,460	1,787	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
White	98.3	125,716	121,105	932	1,140	456	970	1,113	91.4	92.4	73.7	87.4	82.2		<del></del>
Negro	90.8	11,431	9,712	307	156	96	486	674	8.3	7.4	24.3				
Indian	••••	80 228	77 190	1		•••	2		0.1	0.1	0.1		:::	0.1	1
Rural nonfarm		11		25	. 8	3		Į.	0.2	0.1	2.0	1	Į.	1	Į.
	98.0	51,388	49,443	298	475	162	490		100.0	100.0	100.0		<del> </del>	100.0	
White	98.1 93.0	50,433 612	48,601 547	282 8	465	155	463 14	467 38	98.1	98.3 1.1	94.6			94.5	
Indian	92.3	326	285	6	. 7	4.	13	12	0.6		2.0	1.5			
Other		17	10	2	1	•••	• • • •	. 4		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.7				C
Rural farm	97.7	41,381	39,295	422	567	. 180	487	430	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100
White	97.8	41,001	38,994	415	557	174	464	397	99.1	99.2	98.3	98.2		95.3	
Negro Indian		225   144	169 123	5 2	9	4 2	14 9	24	0.5	0.4	1.2	1.6		2.9	1 5
Other		11	9	•••				2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.2		1.8	C
SOUTH		.													
Total	94.7	259,352	236,497	3,435	3,108	1,360	6,058	8,894	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	roc
White	95.9	195,211	181,033	2,233	2,500	975	3,745	4,725	75.3	76.5	65.0				
Indian	91.1 91.9	63,212	54,663 688	1,178	596	383	2,278	4,114	24-4	23.1	34.3				46
Other	7	135	113	18	12	2	28 7	46	0.3	0.3	0.5		0.1		
Urban	95.3	110,717	101,730	1,460	1,199	665	1,987	3,676	100.0	1		1	1	4	1 7
White	96.5	84,788	79,209	929	973	434	1,121	2,122	76.6	#				<del></del>	+
			,	7691	9/1	4341	1-1-1	. 4.144	. /0.0	. 77.9	0ءدہ	. 01.44	. 0	. 20.4	. 1 *5*
NegroIndian	91.5	25,688   138	22,324 112	525	219	231	856		23.2						4

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

## $\begin{table linear lembers of enumeration of infants born in first 3 months of 1950, for the united states, by regions, urban and rural—Con. \\ \end{table}$

				Num	oer			<del></del>			Percent di	tributio	on.		<del></del>
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated				Enumer-		Definitely	y enumerated			·	Enumer-
Area and race	of percent enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enum- erated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status
SOUTHCon.															
Rural nonfarm	95.5	77,810	72,214	731	829	324	1,716	1,996	100.0	100.0	100-0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White Negro Indian	96.2 92.1	63,492 14,094 203 21	59,561 12,447 185 21	517 209 5	718 111	274 50	1,234 477 5	1,188 800 8	81.6 18.1 0.3	82.5 17.2 0.3	, 70.7 28.6 0.7	86.6 13.4	84.6 15.4	71.9 27.8 0.3	59.5 40.1 0.4
Rural farm	92.9.	70,825	62,553	1,244	1,080	371	2 355	3,222	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White	94.3	46,931	42,263	787	809	267	2,355	1,415	66.3	67.6	63.3	74.9	72.0	59.0	43.9
Negro. Indian. Other.	90.0	23,430 453 11	19,892 391 7	444 13	266 5	102	945 20	1,781 22 4	33.1 0.6	31.8 0.6	35.7 1.0	24.6	27.5	40.1	55.3 0.7 0.1
WEST			·									,			
Total	96.7	107,524	101,715	736	943.	504	1,452	2,174	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White	97.1 92.2 89.7 92.4	100,493 3,959 1,268 1,804	95,614 3,472 1,075 1,554	591 67 22 56	858 27 24 34	445 29 14 16	1,222 104 62 64	1,763 260 71 80	93.5 3.7 1.2 1.7	94.0 3.4 1.1 1.5	80.3 9.1 3.0 7.6	91.0 2.9 2.5 3.6	88.3 5.8 2.8 3.2	84.2 7.2 4.3 4.4	81.1 12.0 3.3 3.7
Urban	96.8	61,741	58,414	438	512	315	776	1,286	100.0	1,00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White. Negro. Indian Other.	97.1 91.3  93.3	57,133 3,168 165 1,275	54,443 2,725 141 1,105	321 66  51	458 26 4 24	275 25 3 12	624 96 5 51	1,012 230 12 32	92.5 5.1 0.3 2.1	93.2 4.7 0.2 1.9	73.3 15.1  11.6	89.5 5.1 0.8 4.7	67-3 7-9 1-0 3-8	80.4 12.4 0.6 6.6	78.7 17.9 0.9 2.5
Rural nonfarm	97.3	34,283	32,783	170	275	1.25	398	532	100.0	1.00.0		100.0	•••	100.0	100.0
White	97.5 96.6 91.0	32,653 710 698 222	31,311 679 598 195	153 1 14 2	259  16	112 4 7 2	356 3 34 5	462 23 29 18	95.2 2.1 2.0 0.6	95.5 2.1 1.8 .0.6		94.2  5.8	•••	89.4 0.8 8.5 1.3	86.8 4.3 5.5 3.4
Rural farm	94.8	11,500	10,518	128	156	64	278	356	100.0	100.0		•••		100.0	100.0
White	95.3  87.4 89.3	10,707 81 405 307	9,860 68 336 254	117  8 3	141 1 4 10	58  4 2	242 5 23 8	289 7 30 30	93.1 0.7 3.5 2.7	93.7 0.6 3.2 2.4		•••	:::	87.1 1.8 8.3 2.9	81.2 2.0 8.4 8.4

Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

### Table 3.—SEX: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL

	96.4 96.4 96.4 96.5 97.1 97.1 97.1 97.3 97.3 97.5 97.5 97.5 97.7 97.6	775,815 397,066 378,749 681,237 349,096 332,141 94,578 47,970 46,608	Matched with infant card 725,960 371,439 354,521 644,136 330,040 314,096 81,824	Found on search of population schedule  8,507  4,480 4,027 6,550	Prob- ably enumer- ated 8,830	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant	Found on search of population	Prob- ably enu- mer-	Prob- ably missed		
UNITED STATES  Total  fale Pemale Vhite  fale Pemale Nonwhite  fale Pemale Urban  fale Pemale White  fale Pemale White  fale Pemale Nonwhite  fale Pemale Rural nonfarm  fale Pemale Female White  fale Female Vhite  fale Female Female White  fale Female Female Nonwhite  fale Female Female Nonwhite  fale Female Female Nonwhite  fale Female Female Nonwhite	96.4 96.4 96.5 97.1 97.1 91.4 91.3 91.5 96.8 96.9 97.5	775,815 397,066 378,749 681,237 349,096 332,141. 94,578 47,970 46,608	725,960 371,439 354,521 644,136 330,040 314,096	8,507 4,480 4,027 6,550	ably enumer- ated 8,830 4,478	ably missed	nitely	ation status un-	birth	with infant	search of	ably enu-	ably	nitely	ation status
Total  Female  Female  Nonwhite  female  Female  Urban  fale  Female  White  Male  Female  White  Male  Female  Nonwhite  fale  Female  Nonwhite  fale  Female  White  fale  Female  White  fale  Female  Nonwhite  fale  Female  White  fale  Female  Monwhite  Monwhite  fale  Female  Nonwhite  Monwhite  Monwhite  Male  Female  Nonwhite  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Female  Nonwhite  Monwhite  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male	96.4 96.5 97.1 97.1 97.1 91.4 91.3 91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9 97.5	397,066 378,749 681,237 349,096 332,141 94,578 47,970 46,608	371,439 354,521 644,136 330,040 314,096 81,824	4,480 4,027 6,550	4,478	3.272				card	schedule	ated			uncer- tain
Male.  Pemale.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Pemale.  Viban.  Male.  Pemale.  Viban.  Male.  Pemale.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Pemale.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Pemale.  Rural nonfarm.  Male.  Pemale.  White.  Male.  Pemale.  Rural farm.  Male.  Pemale.  White.  Male.  Pemale.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Nonwhite.  Male.  Nonwhite.	96.4 96.5 97.1 97.1 97.1 91.4 91.3 91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9 97.5	397,066 378,749 681,237 349,096 332,141 94,578 47,970 46,608	371,439 354,521 644,136 330,040 314,096 81,824	4,480 4,027 6,550	4,478	3.272									
Pemale. Vhite.  Aale. Pemale. Nonwhite  Aale. Pemale. Urban. Aale. Pemale. White  Aale. Pemale. Nonwhite  Aale. Pemale Nonwhite  Aale. Pemale Rural nonfarm. Aale. Pemale White  Aale. Pemale Pemale White  Aale. Pemale Vhite  Aale. Pemale Nonwhite  Aale. Pemale Nonwhite  Aale. Pemale Nonwhite  Aale. Pemale Nonwhite	96.5 97.1 97.1 97.1 91.4 91.3 91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9	378,749 681,237 349,096 332,141 94,578 47,970 46,608	354,521 644,136 330,040 314,096 81,824	4,027 6,550			12,773	16,473	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
White  Vale  Venale  Nonwhite  Vale  Venale  Urban  Vale  Venale  Vhite  Venale  Vale  Venale  Vonwhite  Venale  Nonwhite  Venale  Nonwhite  Venale  Venale  Rural nonfarm  Vale  Venale  Venale  Rural nonfarm  Vale  Venale  Venale  Venale  Venale  Venale  Venale  Venale  Venale  Venale  Nonwhite  Venale	97.1 97.1 97.1 91.4 91.3 91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9	681,237 349,096 332,141 94,578 47,970 46,608	644,136 330,040 314,096 81,824	6,550		1,752	6,589	8,328	51.2	51.2	52.7	50.7	53.5	51.€	50
ale. Pemale. Nonwhite  female. Urban.  fale. Female.  White.  fale. Female.  Rural nonfarm.  fale. Female.  Nonwhite  fale. Female.  White  fale. Female.  White  fale. Female.  Nonwhite	97.1 97.1 91.4 91.3 91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9 97.5 97.4	349,096 332,141 94,578 47,970 46,608	330,040 314,096 81,824		4,352	1,520	6,184	8,145	48.8	48.8	47.3	49.3	46.5	100.0	100
Cemale  Nonwhite  Sale  Nemale  Urban  Asle  Pemale  White  Asle  Nonwhite  Sale  Rural nonfarm  Sale  Pemale  White  Asle  Rural farm  Sale  Semale  Nonwhite  Sale  Nonwhite	91.4 91.3 91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9 97.5 97.4	94,578 47,970 46,608	314,096 81,824	3,466	7,843 3,962	2,649 1,432	9,374 4,816	10,685 5,380	100.0 51.2	100.0	100.0 52.9	100.0	100.0 54.1	51.4	50
Asle.  Pemale.  Urban.  fale.  Pemale.  White.  Semale.  Nonwhite.  Asle.  Pemale.  White.  Asle.  Pemale.  Nonwhite.  Asle.  Nonwhite.	91.3 91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9 97.5 97.4	47,970 46,608		3,084	3,881	1,217	4,558	5,305	48.8	48.8	47.1	49.5	45.9	48.6	49
Female.  Urban.  female.  White.  Male  Female.  Nonwhite.  fale.  Female.  Rural nonfarm.  fale.  Female.  White.  fale.  Female.  Female.  White.  fale.  Female.  Nonwhite.  fale.  Female.  White.  fale.  Female.  White.  fale.  Female.  White.  fale.  Female.  White.  fale.  Female.  Nonwhite.	91.5 96.8 96.8 96.9 97.5 97.4	46,608	41,399	1,957 1,014	987 516	623 320	3,399 1,773	5,788 2,948	100.0 50.7	100.0	100.0 51.8	100.0 52.3	100.0 51.4	100.0 52.2	
Male  Female  White  Male  Female  Rural nonfarm  Male  Female  White  Male  Female  Nonwhite  Male  Female  Nonwhite  Male  Female  White  Ale  Female  Female  White  Male  Female  White  Male  Female  White  Monwhite  Male  Male  Female  White  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Male  Monwhite  Male  Monwhite  Male  M	96.8 96.9 97.5 97.4	120 11-	40,425	943	471	303	1,626	2,840	49.3	49.4	48.2	47.7	48.6	47.8	49
Female.  White.  Male. Female.  Nonwhite.  Male. Female.  Rural nonfarm.  Male. Female.  White.  Male. Female.  Female.  White.  Male. Female.  White.  Male. Female.  Female.  White.  Male. Male. Female.  White.  Male. Monwhite. Male. Monwhite.	96.9 97.5 97.4	439,115	412,962	4,925	4,634	1,900	6,108	8,586	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
White.  Wale.  Nonwhite  Vale.  Rural nonfarm.  Aale.  Female.  White  Male.  Nonwhite  Female  White  Wale.  Female  Nonwhite  Wale.  Female  Nonwhite  Male.  Female  White	97.5 97.4	224,694	211,102	2,593	2,402	1,017	3,315	4,265	51.2	51.1	52.6	51.8	53.5	54.3	49
Male. Female. Nonwhite. female. Rural nonfarm. fale. Female. White fale. Female Nonwhite. Female White fale. Female White	97.4	214,421	201,860	2,332	2,232	883 1,470	2,793	4,321 5,701	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Nonwhite  Female.  Rural nonfarm.  Aale.  Female.  White  Male.  Female.  Nonwhite  Female.  Rural farm.  Male.  Female.  White	nn e l	387,369 198,623	188,541	3,701 1,966	4,094 2,132	807	4,313 2,360	2,817	51.3	51.2	53.1	52.1	54.9	54.7	49
fale. Female.  Aural nonfarm.  fale. Female.  Vhite  fale. Female.  Nonwhite.  fale. Female.  White  fale. Female.  White  fale. Female.  White  fale. Female.  Monwhite.  fale. Female.  Nonwhite.	· i	188,746	179,549	1,735	1,962	663	1,953	2,884	48.7	48.8	46.9 100.0	47.9	45.1	45.3	1
Rural nonfarm.  Aale.  Temale  White  Male.  Temale  Nonwhite  Male.  Female  Female  White  Male.  Female  White  Male.  Female  White  Male.  Nonwhite	. 91.8 91.6	51,746 26,071	44,872 22,561	1,224 627	540 270	430 210	1,795 955	2,885 1,448	100.0 50.4	100.0 50.3	51.2	100.0 50.0	48.8	53.2	50
fale. Female Vhite fale. Female Nonwhite fale. Female  Fural farm fale. Female Vhite fale Nonwhite	92.0	25,675	22,311	597	270	220	840	1,437	49.6	49.7	48.8	50.0	51.2	46.8	49
Female White Ale White Male Nonwhite Male Nonwhite Male Female White Male Female White Male Mhite Male Mhite Male Mhite Male Nonwhite Male Monwhite Male	96.7	202,920	191,419	1,631	2,223	713	3,279	3,655	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Vhite	96.8 96.6	103,877 99,043	98,095 93,324	874 757	1,096 1,127	358° 355	1,614 1,665	1,840 1,815	51.2 48.8	51.2 48.8	53.6 46.4	49.3 50.7	50.2 49.8	49.2 50.8	
Male. Nonwhite.  fale. Female  Rural farm.  fale. Female  White  Male. Female  Nonwhite	97.1	185,276	175,814	1,375	2,075	638	2,704.	2,670	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1
Nonwhite Nonwhite Pemale Rural farm fale Female White Male Nonwhite	97.2	94,890	90,164	729	1,012	321	1,335	1,329	51.2	51.3	53.0	48.8 51.2	50.3 49.7	49.4 50.6	
Male.  Rural farm.  Ale.  Female.  White.  Male.  Female.  Nonwhite.	97.0	90,386	85,650 15,605	646 256	1,063	317 75	1,369 575	1,341 985	100.0	100.0	100.0	51.2	49.7	100.0	1
Rural farm	92.3	8,987	7,931	145	84	37	279	511	50.9	49.1	56.6			48.5	51
fale. Female White fale Female Nonwhite	92.1	8,657	7,674	111	64	38	296	474	49.1	49.2	43.4			51.5	1
Female	94.7	133,780	121,579	1,951	1,973	659	3,386	4,232	100.0	100.0	100.0	<del></del>		-	-
White	94.7 94.7	68,495 65,285	62,242 59,337	1,013	980 993	377 282	1,660 1,726	2,223 2,009	51.2 48.8	51.2 48.8	51.9 48.1	49.7 50.3	57.2 42.8		
Female	95.8	108,592	100,232	1,474	1,674	541	2,357	2,314	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	
Nonwhite	95.9 95.8	55,583 53,009	51,335 48,897	771 703	818 856	304 237	1,121 1,236	1,234	51.2 48.8	51.2 48.8	52.3 47.7	48.9	56.2 43.8		
	89.9	25,188	21,347	477	299	118	1,029	1,918	100.0	100.0	1	į.		100.0	100
	89.7 90.2	12,912 12,276	10,907 10,440	242 235	162 137	73 45	539 490	989 929	51.3 48.7	51.1 48.9	50.7 49.3			52.4 47.6	
NORTHEAST					-										
Total	97.1	178,715	167,926	2,351	2,433	511	2,826	2,668	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10
Male	97.0	91,846	86,163	1,368	<del></del>	276	1,617	1,258	51.4	51.3			<del> </del>		2 4
Female	97.2	86,869	81,763	983	1 .	235	1,209	1,410	48.6	li .	1			1.	1
White	97.3 97.2	168,383 86,690	158,789 81,585	2,097 1,230	2,323 1,112	444 256	2,510 1,459	2,220 1,048	100.0 51.5						
Female	97.5	81,693	77,204	867	1,211	188	1,051	1,172	48.5	48.6	41.3	52.1		41.9	5
Male	93.4 93.8	10,332 5,156	9,137 4,578	254 138		67 20	316 158	448 210	100.0					100.0	
Female	93.0	5,176	4,559			47	158	238						=0 0	
Urban	97.3	129,202	121,734	1,762	1,619	365	1,885	1,837	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10
Male	97.2	66,418	62,456	1,049		193	1,112	839	51.4	51.3					
Female	97.4 97.6	62,784	59,278 113,333	713 1,519		172 305	773 1,598	998 1,454	100.0	II .	1	1	1	1	1
Male	97.4	61,720	58,258	919	726	177	969	671	51.5	51.4	60.5	47.7	58.0	60.6	6 4
Female	97.8 93.6	58,012 9,470	55,075 8,401	600		128	629 287	783	10 .0	11 .	1		42.0		i
Male	94.2	4,698	4,198	130	43	16	143	168	49.6	50.0				49.8	8 4
Female	93.0	4,772	4,203	113	53	44	144	215	50.4	50.0	'			50.2	2 5
Rural nonfarm	96.9	39,439	36,979	432		102	675	607							
Male	97.0 96.9	20,208 19,231	18,966 18,013	231 201	316 328	54 48	347 328	294 313	51.2 48.8						
White	97.0	38,698	36,341	423		97	651	553			100.0	100.0		100.0	
Male	97.1 97.0	19,820 18,878	18,641 17,700	225 198		52 45	334 317	258 295							
Nonwhite	91.2	741	638	9	11	5	24	54	100.0	100.0		l l	1	1	- 1
Male	89.7 92.9	388 353	325 313	6 3		2	13 11	36 18				1-		- 1	1
1					1					li .					
Rural farm	95.4	10,074	9,213 4,741	157		29	266 158	125	100.0						
Female	96.0	4,854	4,472			15	108	99	48.2	48.5	·	1	1		
White	95.4 94.9	9,953 5,150	9,115 4,686			42	261 156	213 119							
Female		4,803	4,429	69		15	105	94				1			
Nonwhite	96.1			T	1	1	l	1	1	11	1	1.	1		- 1

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

## Table 3.—SEX: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

				Numi	oer	_					Percent dis	tributi	on		
	Adjusted estimate	1	Definitely	enumerated						D.0: 11 D				T	r
Area, color, and sex	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant	Found on search of population	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status un-	Total birth records	Matched with infant	Found on search of population	Prob- ably enu- mer-	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer ation status
			card	schedule				certain		card	schedule	ated			tean
NORTH CENTRAL				·											
Total	97.7	230,224	219,822	1,985	2,346	897	2,437	2,737	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male Female	97.7 97.8	117,853 112,371	112,530 107,292	962 1,023	1,239 1,107	483 414	1,256 1,181	1,383 1,354	51.2 48.8	51.2 48.8	48.5 51.5	52.8 47.2	53.8 46.2	51.5 48.5	50.5 49.5
White	98.1 98.1	217,150 111,326	208,700 106,993	1,629 804	2,162	785	1,897	1,977	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Female	98.2	105,824	101,707	825	1,125 1,037	418 367	958	1,028 949	51.3 48.7	51.3 48.7	49.4 50.6	52.0 48.0	53.2 46.8	50.5 49.5	52.0 48.0
Nonwhite	91.0 90.7	13,074 6,527	11,122 5,537	356 158	184 114	112 65	540 298	760 355	100.0	100.0	100.0	·	1	100.0	100.0
Female	91.3	6,547	5,585	198	70	47	242	405	50.1	49.8 50.2	44.4 55.6		:::	55.2 44.8	46.7 53.3
Urban	97.7	137,455	131,084	1,265	1,304	555	1,460	1,787	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male	97.6 97.8	70,201 67,254	66,919 64,165	579 686	703 601	313 242	793 667	894 893	51.1 48.9	51.1 48.9	45.8 54.2	53.9 46.1	56.4 43.6	54.3 45.7	50.0 50.0
White	98.3	125,716	121,105	932	1,140	456	970	1,113	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male Female	98.2 98.4	64,377 61,339	61,974 59,131	437 495	603 537	255 201	527 443	581 532	51.2 48.8	51.2 48.8	46.9 53.1	52.9 47.1	55.9 44.1	54.3	52.2 47.8
Nonwhite	91.0 90.7	11,739 5,824	9,979 4,945	333 142	164 100	99 58	490	674	100.0	100.0	100.0	•••		1.00.0	100.0
Female	91.3	5,915	5,034	191	64	41	266 224	313 361	49.6 50.4	49 6 50.4	42.6 57.4	••••		54.3 45.7	46.4 53.6
Rural nonfarm	98.0	51,388	49,443	298	475	162	490	520	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
Male Female	98.0 98.0	26,433 24,955	25,404 24,039	173 125	259 216	69 93	259 231	269 251	51.4 48.6	51.4 48.6	58.1 41.9	54.5 45.5		52.9 47.1	51.1 48.3
White	98.1	50,433	48,601	282	465	155	463	467	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
Male Female	98.1 98.1	25,944 24,489	24,974 23,627	161 121	255 210	67 88	244 219	243 224	51.4 48.6	51.4 48.6	57.1 42.9	54.8 45.2		52.7 47.3	52.0 48.0
Nonwhite	92.6 92.8	955 489	842 430	16 12	10	7 2	27	53	100.0	100.0					
Female	92.3	466	412	4	6	. 5	15 12	26 27	51.2 48.8	51.1 48.9		,	:::		:::
Rural farm	97.7	41,381	39,295	422	567	180	487	430	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
Male	97.9 97.5	21,219	20,207 19,088	210 212	277 290	101 79	204 283	220 210	51.3 48.7	51.4 48.6	49.8 50.2	48.9 51.1		41.9 58.1	51.2 48.8
White	97.8	41,001	38,994	415	557	174	464	397	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
Male Female	98.0 97.6	21,005 19,996	20,045 18,949	206 209	267 290	96 78	187 277	204 193	51.2 48.8	51.4 48.6	49.6 50.4	47.9 52.1		40.3 59.7	51.4 48.6
Nonwhite	86.8	380 214	301 162	7 4	10 10	6 5	23 17	33 16	100.0 56.3	100.0 53.8					٠
Female		166	139	3	•••	í	6	17	43.7	46.2	:::	•••	• • • •		
SOUTH													·		
Total	94.7	259,352	236,497	3,435	3,108	1,360	6,058	8,894	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male Female	94.7 94.7	132,330	120,605 115,892	1,799 1,636	1,594 1,514	730 630	2,979 3,079	4,623 4,271	51.0 49.0	51.0 49.0	52.4 47.6	51.3 48.7	53.7 46.3	49.2 50.8	52.0 48.0
White	95.9	195,211	181,033	2,233	2,500	975	3,745	4,725	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male Female	96.0 95.8	99,675 95,536	92,463 88,570	1,146 1,087	1,287	521 454	1,797 1,948	2,461 2,264	51.1 48.9	51.1 48.9	51.3 48.7	51.5 48.5	53.4 46.6	48.0 52.0	52.1 47.9
Nonwhite	91.1 91.0	64,141 32,655	55,464 28,142	1,202 653	608 307	385 209	2,313 1,182	4,169 2,162	100.0 50.9	100.0 50.7	100.0 54.3	100.0 50.5	100.0 54.3	100.0	100.0
Female	91.2	31,486	27,322	549	301	176	1,131	2,007	49.1	49.3	45.7	49.5	45.7	48.9	48.1
Urban	95.3	110,717	101,730	1,460	1,199	665	1,987	3,676	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male	95.2 95.4	56,485 54,232	51,776 49,954	752 708	667 532	352 313	1,034 953	1,904 1,772	51.0 49.0	50.9 49.1	51.5 48.5	55.6 44.4	52.9 47.1	52.0 48.0	51.8 48.2
White	96.5	84,788	79,209	929	973	434	1,121	2,122	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MaleFemale	96.5 96.6	43,322 41,466	40,412 38,797	453 476	566 407	231 203	578 543	1,082 1,040	51.1 48.9	51.0 49.0	48.8 51.2	58.2 41.8	53.2 46.8	51.6 48.4	51.0 49.0
Nonwhite	91.5 91.2	25,929 13,163	22,521 11,364	531 299	226 101	231 121	866 456	1,554 822	100.0	100.0 50.5	100.0 56.3	• • •		100.0 52.7	100.0
Female	91.8	12,766	11,157	232	125	110	410	732	49.2	49.5	43.7	•••		47.3	47.1
Rural nonfarm	95.5	77,810	72,214	731	829	324	1,716	1,996	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MaleFemale	95.7 95.3	39,780 38,030	36,998 35,216	387 344	396 433	175 149	803 913	1,021 975	51.1 48.9	51.2 48.8	52.9 47.1	47.8 52.2	54.0 46.0	46.8 53.2	51.2 48.8
White	96.2	63,492	59,561	517	718	274	1,234	1,188	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male	96.4 96.1	32,494 30,998	30,562 28,999	266 251	331 387	149 125	575 659	611 577	51.2 48.8	51.3 48.7	51.5 48.5	46.1 53.9	54.4 45.6	46.6 53.4	51.4 48.6
Nonwhite	92.1	14,318	12,653	214	111	50 26	482 228	808 410	100.0 50.9	100.0 50.9	• •••	•••		100.0	100.0
Female	92.4 91.9	7,286 7,032	6,436 6,217	121 93	65 46	24	254	398	49.1	49.1		•••		47.3 52.7	50.7 49.3
Rural farm	92.9	70,825	62,553	1,244	1,080	371	2,355	3,222	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0.	100.0
Male Female	92.9 92.9	36,065 34,760	31,831 30,722	660 584	531 549	203 168	1,142 1,213	1,698 1,524	50.9 49.1	50.9 49.1	53.1 46.9	49.2 50.8	54.7 45.3	48.5 51.5	52.7 47.3
White	94.3	46,931	42,263	787	809	267	1,390	1,415	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male	94.4	23,859 23,072	21,489 20,774	427 360	390 419	141 126	644 746	768 647	50.8 49.2	50.8 49.2	54.3 45.7	48.2 51.8	52.8 47.2	46.3 53.7	54.3 45.7
Nonwhite	90.1 89.9	23,894 12,206	20,290 10,342	457 233	271 141	104 62	965 498	1,807 930	100.0 51.1	100.0 51.0	100.0 51.0	100.0		100.0 51.6	100.0
Male												77 ()			

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

Table 3.—SEX: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

	·														
				Nu	mber						Percent di	stributi	on		
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated	2			Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
Area, color, and sex	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status um- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
WEST															
Total	96.7	107,524	101,715	736	943	504	1,452	2,174	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MaleFemale	96.8 96.6	55,037 52,487	52,141 49,574	351 385	481 462	263 241	737 715	1,064 1,110	51.2 48.8	51.3 48.7	47.7 52.3	51.0 49.0	52.2 47.8	50.8 49.2	48.9 51.1
White Male Female	97.1 97.2 96.9	100,493 51,405 49,088	95,614 48,999 46,615	591 286 305	858 438 420	445 237 208	1,222 602 620	1,763 843 920	100.0 51.2 48.8	100.0 51.2 48.8	100.0 48.4 51.6	100.0 51.0 49.0	100.0 53.3 46.7	100.0 49.3 50.7	100.0 47.8 52.2
Nonwhite Male Female	91.8 91.3 92.3	7,031 3,632 3,399	6,101 3,142 2,959	145 65 80	85 43 42	59 26 33	230 135 95	411 221 190	100.0 51.7 48.3	100.0 51.5 48.5					100.0 53.8 46.2
Urban	96.8	61,741	58,414	438	512	315	776	1,286	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male Female	96.9 96.6	31,590 30,151	29,951 28,463	213 225	263 249	159 156	376 400	628 658	51.2 48.8	51.3 48.7	48.6 51.4	51.4 48.6	50.5 49.5	48.5 51.5	48.8 51.2
White	97.1 97.3 97.0	57,133 29,204 27,929	54,443 27,897 26,546	321 157 164	458 237 221	275 144 131	624 286 338	1,012 483 529	100.0 51.1 48.9	100.0 51.2 48.8	100.0 48.9 51.1	100.0 51.7 48.3	100.0 52.4 47.6	100.0 45.8 54.2	100.0 47.7 52.3
Nonwhite Male Female	91.8 91.5 92.2	4,608 2,386 2,222	3,971 2,054 1,917	117 56 61	54 26 28	40 15 25	152 90 62	274 145 129	100.0 51.8 48.2	100.0 51.7 48.3					100.0 52.9 47.1
* Rural nonfarm	97.3	34,283	32,783	170	275	125	398	532	100.0	100.0	•••	100.0		100.0	100.0
Male Female	97.4 97.2	17,456 16,827	16,727 16,056	83 87	125 150	60 65	205 193	256 276	50.9 49.1	51.0 49.0		45.5 54.5		51.5 48.5	48.1 51.9
White Male Female	97.5 97.6 97.4	32,653 16,632 16,021	31,311 15,987 15,324	153 77 76	259 116 143	112 53 59	356 182 174	462 217 245	100.0 50.9 49.1	100.0 51.1 48.9		100.0 44.8 55.2		100.0 51.1 48.9	100.0 47.0 53.0
Nonwhite Male Female	93.4 92.7 94.0	1,630 824 806	1,472 740 732	17 6 11	16 9 7	13 7 6	42 23 19	70 39 31	100.0 50.6 49.4	100.0 50.3 49.7				:::	• • •
Rural farm	94.8	11,500	10;518	128	156	64	278	356	100.0	100.0				100.0	100.0
Male Female	94.5 95.1	5,991 5,509	5,463 5,055	55 73	93 63	44 20	156 122	180 176	52.1 47.9	51.9 48.1			:::	56.1 43.9	50.6 49.4
White Male Female	95.3 95.0 95.5	10,707 5,569 5,138	9,860 5,115 4,745	117 52 65	141 85 56	58 40 18	242 134 108	289 143 146	100.0 52.0 48.0	100.0 51.9 48.1					100.0 49.5 50.5
Nonwhite Male Female	88.5 87.4 89.8	793 422 371	658 348 310	11 3 8	15 8 7	6 4 2	36 22 . 14	67 37 30	100.0 53.2 46.8	100.0 52.9 47.1	:::				

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

### Table 4.—MONTH OF BIRTH: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL

•				Num	ber						Percent di	stributi	on	-	
Area, color, and	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated	-	<u> </u>			<del> </del>	Definitel	y enumerated	Ι	l	T	Enumer-
month of birth	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enum- erated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain
UNITED STATES															
Total	96.4	775,815	725,960	8,507	8,830	3,272	12,773	16,473	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	96.2 96.6	265,293 245,098	245,958 230,404	3,689 2,464	3,863 2,324	1,198 951	4,315 3,909	6,270 5,046	34.2 31.6	33.9 31.7	43.4 29.0	43.7 26.3	36.6 29.1	33.2 30.6	38.1 30.6
March	96.5	265,424	249,598	2,354	2,643	1,123	4,549	5,157	34.2	34.4	27.7	29.9	34.3	35.6	31.3
WhiteJanuary	97.1 97.0	681,237 232,690	644,136 218,242	6,550 2,892	7,843 3,475	2,649 943	9,374 3,156	10,685 3,982	100.0 34.2	100.0 33.9	100.0 44.2	100.0 44.3	100.0 35.6	100.0	100.0 37.3
February	97.2 97.2	214,796 233,751	203,943 221,951	1,871	2,037 2,331	775 931	2,888 3,330	3,282 3,421	31.5 34.3	31.7 34.5	28.6 27.3	26.0 29.7	29.3 35.1	30.8	30.7 32.0
Nonwhite	91.4	94,578	81,824	1,957	987	623	3,399	5,788	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	90.7 91.9	32,603 30,302	27,716 26,461	797 593	388 287	255 176	1,159 1,021	2,288 1,764	34.5 32.0	33.9 32.3	40.7 30.3	39.3 29.1	40.9	34.1	39.5 30.5
March	91.6	31,673	27,647	567	312	192	1,219	1,736	33.5	33.8	29.0	31.6	30.8	35.9	30.0
Urban	96.8	439,115	412,962	4,925	4,634	1,900	6,108	8,586	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	96.7 96.9	149,779 138,876	139,713 130,917	2,148 1,468	1,951 1,217	704 555	2,020 1,941	3,243 2,778	34.1 31.6	33.8 31.7	43.6 29.8	42.1 26.3	37.1 29.2	33.1	37.8 32.4
March	97.0	150,460	142,332	1,309	1,466	641	2,147	2,565	34.3	34.5	26.6	31.6	33.7	35.2	29.9
WhiteJanuary	97.5 97.4	387,369 131,832	368,090 124,358	3,701 1,668	4,094 1,745	1,470 528	4,313 1,432	5,701 2,101	100.0 34.0	100.0 33.8	100.0 45.1	100.0	100.0 35.9	100.0 33.2	100.0 36.9
February	97.5 97.6	122,176 133,361	116,375 127,357	1,080 953	1,072 1,277	421 521	1,384 1,497	1,844	31.5 34.4	31.6 34.6	29.2 25.7	26.2 31.2	28.6 35.4	32.1	32.3
Nonwhite	91.8	51,746	44,872	1,224	540	430	1,795	2,885	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	91.3 92.0	17,947 16,700	15,355 14,542	480 388	206 145	176 134	588 557	1,142 934	34.7	34.2 32.4	39.2 31.7	38.1 26.9	40.9 31.2	32.8	39.6 32.4
March	92.2	17,099	14,975	356	189	120	650	809	33.0	33.4	29.1	35.0	27.9	36.2	28.0
Rural nonfarm	96.7	202,920	191,419	1,631	2,223	713	3,279	3,655	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	96.5 97.0	69,673 63,814	65,215 60,604	677 420	1,012 578	267 196	1,150 935	1,352	34.3 31.4	34.1 31.7	41.5 25.8	45.5 26.0	37.4 27.5	35.1 28.5	37.0 29.6
March	96.6	69,433	65,600	534	633	250	1,194	1,222	34.2	34.3	32.7	28.5	35.1	36.4	33.4
White	97 • 1 97 • 0	185,276 63,632	175,814 59,957	1,375 573	2,075 960	638 233	2,704 925	2,670 984	100.0 34.3	100.0 34.1	100.0 41.7	100.0 46.3	100.0 36.5	100.0 34.2	100.0 36.9
February	97.4 97.1	58,232 63,412	55,584 60,273	364 438	522 593	184 221	781 998	797 889	31.4 34.2	31.6 34.3	26.5 31.9	25.2 28.6	28.8 34.6	28.9	29.9
Nonwhite	92.2	17,644	15,605	256	148	75	575	985	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0
January	91.3 93.3	6,041 5,582	5,258 5,020	104 56	52 56	34 12	225 154	368 284	34.2 31.6	33.7 32.2	40.6 21.9		\ :::	39.1	37.4 28.8
March	92.2	6,021	5,327	96	40	29	196	333	34.1	34.1	37.5	•••		34.1	33.8
Rural farm	94.7	133,780	121,579	1,951	1,973	659	3,386	4,232	100.0	100.0	100.0	100-0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	94.4 95.1	45,841 42,408	41,030 38,883	864 576	900 529	227 200	1,145 1,033	1,675 1,187	34.3 31.7	33.7 32.0	44.3 29.5	45.6 26.8	34.4 30.3	33.8	39.6 28.0
March	94.7	45,531	41,666	511	544	232	1,208	1,370	34.0	34.3	26.2	27.6	35.2	35.7	32.4
WhiteJanuary	95.8 95.6	108,592   37,226	100,232 33,927	1,474	1,674 770	541 182	2,357 799	2,314 897	100.0 34.3	100.0 33.8	100.0 44.2	100.0	100.0 33.6	100.0	100.0
February	96.1 95.8	34,388 36,978	31,984 34,321	427 396	443 461	170 189	723 835	641 776	31.7 34.1	31.9 34.2	29.0 26.9	26.5 27.5	31.4	30.7 35.4	27.7 33.5
Nonwhite	89.9	25,188	21,347	477	299	118	1,029	1,918	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
January	88.9 90.8	8,615 8,020	7,103 6,899	213 149	130 86	.45 30	346 310	778 546	34.2 31.8	33.3 32.3	44.7 31.2	43.5 28.8	•••	33.6	40.6 28.5
March	90.1	8,553	7,345	115	83	43	373	594	34.0	34.4	24.1	27.8	•••	36.2	31.0
NORTH AND WEST	,	-													
Total	97.3	516,463	489,463	5,072	5,722	1,912	6,715	7,579	100.0	100.0	100-0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	97.2 97.3	174,365 163,447	164,027 155,361	2,166 1,434	2,510 1,464	716 581	2,204 2,110	2,742 2,497	33.8 31.6	33.5 31.7	42.7 28.3	43.9 25.6	37.4 30.4	32.8	36.2 32.9
March	97.4 97.6	178,651	170,075	1,472	1,748 5,343	615 1,674	2,401 5,629	2,340 5,960	34.6 100.0	34.7 100.0	29.0 100.0	30.5	32.2	35.8	100.0
White	97.6	486,026 163,963	463,103 155,117	4,317 1,889	2,358	620	1,845	2,134	33.7	33.5	43.8	44.1	37.0	32.8	35.8
February	97.7 97.7	153,622 168,441	146,814	1,221	1,365 1,620	500 554	1,780 2,004	1,942 1,884	31.6 34.7	31.7 34.8	28.3 28.0	25.5 30.3	29.9 33.1	31.6 35.6	32.6 31.6
Nonwhite	92.0	30,437	26,360	755	379	238	1,086	1,619	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	•••	100.0	100.0
January	91.6 92.0	10,402 9,825	8,910 8,547	277 213	152 99	96 81	359 330	608 555	34.2 32.3	33.8 32.4	36.7 28.2	40.1 26.1	•••	33.1 30.4	37.6 34.3
March	92.4	10,210	8,903	265	128	61	397	456	33.5	33.8	35.1	33.8	•••	36.6	28.2
Urban	97.3	328,398	311,232	3,465	3,435	1,235	4,121	4,910	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January February March	97.3 97.3 97.5	110,485 104,104 113,809	103,975 98,850 108,407	1,498 1,003 964	1,452 859 1,124	456 370 409	1,354 1,332 1,435	1,750 1,690 1,470	33.6 31.7 34.7	33.4 31.8 34.8	43.2 28.9 27.8	42.3 25.0 32.7	36.9 30.0 33.1	32.9 32.3 34.8	35.6 34.0 29.9
Rural nonfarm	97.5	125,110	119,205	900	1,394	389	1,563	1,659	100.0	100-0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	97.3	42,655	40,354	355	661	160	521	604	34.1	33.9	39.4	47.4	41.1	33.3	36.4
February	97.7 97.4	39,180 43,275	37,537 41,314	231 314	350 383	113 116	439 603	510 545	31.3 34.6	31.5 34.7	25.7 34.9	25.1 27.5	29.0 29.8	28.1 38.6	30.7 32.9
Rural farm		62,955	59,026	707	893	288	1,031	1,010	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
January	96.8	21,225	19,698	313	397	100	329	388	33.7	33.4	44.3	44.5	34.7	31.9	38.4
February	96.8 96.9	20,163 21,567	18,974	200 194	255 241	98 90	339 363	297 325	32.0 34.3	32.1 34.5	28.3 27.4	28.6	34.0 31.3	32.9 35.2	29.4 32.2

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

Table 4.—MONTH OF BIRTH: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

				Num	ber						Percent dis	tributio	n ·		
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	y enumerated		,	<u> </u>	-Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated				Enumer-
Area, color, and month of birth	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enum- erated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certair
SOUTH															
Total	94.7	259,352	236,497	3,435	3,108	1,360	6,058	8,894	. 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
anuaryebruary	94.4 95.1	90,928 81,651	81,931 75,043	1,523 1,030	1,353	482 370	2,111 1,799	3,528 2,549	35.1 31.5	34.6 31.7	44.3 30.0	43.5	34.4 27.2	34.8	39.' 28.'
ecruary	94.7	86,773	79,523	882	895	508	2,148	2,817	33.5	33.6	25.7	28.8	37.4	35.5	31.
White	95.9	195,211	181,033	2,233	2,500	975	3,745	4,725	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
anuary		68,727	63,125	1,003	1,117	323	1,311	1,848	35.2	34.9	44.9 29.1	44.7	33.1	35.0 29.6	39. 28.
ebruary arch	96.2 95.8	61,174 65,310	57,129 60,779	650 580	672 711	275 377	1,108 1,326	1,340 1,537	31.3 33.5	33.6	26.0	26.9	28.2 38.7	35.4	32
Nonwhite	1	64,141	55,464	1,202	608	385	2,313	4,169	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.
enuary	90.2	22,201	18,806	520	236	159	800	1,680	34.6	33.9	43,3	38.8	41.3	34.6	40.
ebruary arch	91.9 91.3	20,477 21,463	17,914 18,744	380 302	188 184	95 131	691 822	1,209 1,280	31.9 33.5	32.3 33.8	31.6 25.1	30.9	24.7 34.0	29.9 35.5	29. 30.
			}	302						l ·			l .		1
Urban		110,717	101,730	1,460	1,199	665	1,987	3,676	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
anuary	95.1	39,294	35,738	650	499	248	666	1,493	35.5	35.1	44.5	41.6	37.3	33.5 30.6	
ebruary arch	95.6 95.4	34,772 36,651	32,067 33,925	465 345	358 342	185 232	609 712	1,088 1,095	31.4 33.1	31.5 33.3	31.8 23.6	29.9	27.8	35.8	
White	96.5	84,788	79,209	929	973	434	1,121	2,122	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
anuary	96.3	30,191	27,953	426	416	154	386	856	35.6	35.3	45,9	42.8	35.5	34.4	
ebruary	96.7 96.5	26,478	24,836	279	295	121	335 400	612	31.2	31.4	30.0	30.3	27.9	29.9 35.7	
arch		28,119	26,420	224	262	159		654	33.2	33.4	24.1	26.9	36.6	1	1
Nonwhite	91.5	25,929 9,103	22,521 7,785	531 224	226 83	231 94	866 280	1,554 637	100.0 35.1	100.0 34.6	100.0			100.0	
ebruary	91.8	8,294	7,231	186	63	64	274	476	32.0	32.1	35.0	}		31.6	30
arch	91.8	6,532	7,505	121	- 80	73	312	441	32.9	33.3	22.8		•••	36.0	28
Rural nonfarm	95.5	77,810	72,214	731	829	324	1,716	1,996	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
anuary	95.2	27,018	24,861	322	351	107	629	748	34.7	34.4	44.0	42.3	33.0	36.7	
ebruaryiarch	95.9 95.3	24,634 26,158	23,067 24,286	189	228 250	83 134	496 591	571 677	31.7 33.6	31.9 · 33.6	25.9 30.1	27.5 30.2	25.6 41.4	28.9	
White	96.2	63,492	59,561	517	718	274	1,234	1,188	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	i
anuary	96.1	22,093	20,577	232	317	83	440	444.	34.8	34.5	44.9	44.2	30.3	35.7	37
ebruary	96.6	20,067	18,960	140	182	75	364	346	31.6	31.8	27.1	25.3	27.4	29.5	
arch	96.1	21,332	20,024	145	219	116	430	398	33.6	33.6	28.0	30.5	42.3	34.8	1
Nonwhite		14,318 4,925	12,653 4,284	214	111 34	50 24	482 189	808 304	100.0	100.0	:::		:::	100.0	
ebruary	93.3	4,567	4,107	49	46	8	132	225	31.9	32.5				27.4	27
arch	92.0	4,826	4,262	- 75	31	18	161	279	33.7	33.7				33.4	34
Rural farm	92.9	70,825	62,553	1,244	1,080	371	2,355	3,222	100.0	100.0	100.0	1,00.0	100.0	1.00.0	100
anuary	92.4	24,616	21,332	551	503	127	816	1,287	34.8	34.1	44.3	46.6	34.2	34.6	
ebruaryarch		22,245 23,964	19,909 21,312	376 317	274 303	102 142	694 345	890 1,045	31.4	31.8 34.1	30.2 25.5	25.4	27.5	29.5	
White	1	46,931	42,263	787	809	267	1,390	1,415	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	1
anuary	94.1	16,443	14,595	345	384	86	485	548	35.0	34.5	43.8		32.2	34.9	
ebruary	94.8	14,629	13,333	231	195	79	409	382	31.2	31.5	29.4	24.1	29.6	29.4	21
arch	94.1	15,859	14,335	211	230	102	496	485	33.8	33.9	26.8	28.4	38.2	35.7	
Nonwhiteanuary	90.1 88.9	23,894	20,290	457 206	271 119	104 41	965 331	1,807 739	100.0	100.0				100.0	
anuaryebruary		8,173 7,616	6,737 6,576	145	79	23	285	739 508	34.2 31.9	33.2 32.4				29.5	
arch		8,105	6,977	106	73	40	349	560	33.9	34.4				36.2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

## Table 5.—ORDER OF LIVE BIRTH: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL

[Mattened bill of 1820		<u> </u>			nber				1		Percent dis				
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated				T		Definite!	y enumerated	Ι	T	T	<u> </u>
Area, order of birth, and color	of percent enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATES	·														
Total	96.4	775,815	725,960	8,507	8,830	3,272	12,773	16,473	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child	95.7 97.1	232,360 231,327	215,340 218,480	2,487	2,848	1,441	4,949	5,295	29.9	29.7	29.2	32.3	44.0	38.7	32.1
Third child	97.0	129,786	122,186	2,256 1,367	2,624	926 435	3,107 1,804	3,934 2,463	29.8 16.7	30.1 16.8	26.5 16.1	29.7 17.3	28.3 13.3	24.3	23.9 15.0
Fourth child	96.8 96.0	64,527 55,433	60,627 51,383	726 759	691 635	195 114	892	1,396 1,540	8.3 7.1	8.4 7.1	8.5	7.8	6.0	7.0	8.5
Seventh to minth child	95.6	28,461	26,288	372	242	80	1,002 512	967	3.7	3.6		7.2	3.5 2.4	7.8	9.3 5.9
Tenth or more	94.5	11,161 22,760	10,004 21,652	257 283	139 120	49 32	237 270	475 403	1.4 2.9	1.4 3.0	3.0	1.6	1.5	1.9	2.9
White	97.1	681,237	644,136	6,550	7,843	2,649	9,374	10,685	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child	96.4 97.7	212,902 210,410	199,245	2,058	2,629	1,225	3,964	3,781	31.3	30.9	31.4	33,5	46.2	42.3	35.4
Third child	97.7	113,676	200,457 108,161	1,829 1,044	2,407 1,345	758 342	2,366 1,252	2,593 1,532	30.9 16.7	31.1 16.8	27.9 15.9	30.7 17.1	28.6	25.2 13.4	24.3
Fourth child	97.7 97.1	53,801 42,348	51,158. 39,856	544 481	577 511	143 72	555 582	824 846	7.9 6.2	7.9 6.2	8.3 7.3	7.4 6.5	5.4 2.7	5.9 6.2	7.7 7.9
Seventh to minth child Tenth or more	96.2 95.5	19,357 6,947	18,077	198	166	53	307	556	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.1	2.0	3.3	5.2
Not reported	••••	21,796	6,332 20,850	128 268	100 108	29 27	126 222	232 321	1.0 3.2	1.0	2.0 4.1	1.3	1.1	1.3 2.4	2.2 3.0
Nonwhite	91.4	94,578	81,824	1,957	987	623	3,399	5,788	100.0	1.00.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child	88.3 91.1	19,458 20,917	16,095 18,023	429 427	219 217	216 168	985 741	1,514 1,341	20.6 22.1	19.7 22.0	21.9 21.8	22.2	34.7 27.0	29.0 21.8	26.2 23.2
Third child	91.8	16,110	14,025	323	186	93	552	931	17.0	17.1	16.5	18.8	14.9	16.2	16.1
Fourth child	92.5 92.6	10,726 13,085	9,469 11,527	182 278	114 124	52 42	.337 420	572 694	11.3 13.8	11.6 14.1	9.3 14.2	11.6 12.6	8.3	9.9	9.9 12.0
Seventh to minth child Tenth or more	94.1 92.7	9,104 4,214	8,211 3,672	174 129	76 39	27 20	205 111	411 243	9.6 4.5	10.0 4.5		7.7 4.0	4.3 3.2	6.0 3.3	7.1 4.2
Not reported	•••	964	802	15	12	. 5	48	82	1.0	1.0		1.2	0.8	1.4	1.4
Urban	96.8	439,115	412,962	4,925	4,634	1,900	6,108	8,586	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child	96.0 97.5	146,566 137,776	136,268 130,954	1,617 1,349	1,774	908 561	2,788 1,458	3,211 2,101	33.4 31.4	33.0 31.7	32.8 27.4	38.3 29.5	47.8 29.5	45.6 23.9	37.4 24.5
Third child	97.3	70,877	66,984	773	833	219	828	1,240	16.1	16.2	15.7	18.0	11.5	13.6	14.4
Fourth child	97.2 96.4	31,494 22,595	29,675 21,039	423 312	271 252	101 47	332 371	692 574	7.2 5.1	7.2 5.1	8.6 6.3	5.8 5.4	5.3 2.5	5.4 6.1	8.1 6.7
Seventh to minth child Tenth or more	95.5 95.5	8,841 2,722	8,126 2,438	138 100	52 29	26 17	134 43	365 95	2.0 0.6	2.0 0.6	2.8	1.1	1.4	2.2	4.3
Not reported	•••	18,244	17,478	213	70	21	154	308	4.2	4.2	4.3	1.5	1.1	2.5	3.6
White	97.5 96.7	387,369 133,833	368,090 125,673	3,701 1,302	4,094 1,627	1,470	4,313	5,701	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Second child	98.1	124,499	119,419	1,032	1,219	742 441	2,149 1,040	2,340 1,348	34.5 32.1	34.1 32.4	35.2 27.9	39.7 29.8	50.5 30.0	49.8 24.1	41.0 23.6
Third child	98.1 98.0	61,376 25,825	58,664 24,642	562 308	730 212	153 68	527 175	740 420	15.8 6.7	15.9 6.7	15.2 8.3	17.8 5.2	10.4	12.2	13.0
Fifth and sixth child Seventh to ninth child	97.5 95.7	16,638 5,817	15,738 5,389	184 52	192 36	27 14	189 77	308 249	4.3 1.5	4.3 1.5	5,0	4.7 0.9	1.8	1.8	5.4
Tenth or more	96.2	1,707	1,550	59	17	7	30	44	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.4	0.5	0.7	4.4 0.8
Not reported	91.8	17,674 51,746	17,015 44,872	202 1,224	540	18 430	126	252	4.6	4.6	5.5	1.5	1.2	2.9	4.4
First child	88.9	12,733	10,595	315	147	166	1,795 639	2,885 871	100.0	100.0	100.0 25.7	100.0 27.2	100.0 38.6	100.0 35.6	30.2
Second child	91.9 92.4	13,277 9,501	11,535 8,320	317 211	134 103	120	418 301	753 500	25.6	25.7	25.9	24.8	27.9	23.3	26.1
Fourth child	93.2	5,669	5,033	115	59	66 33	157	272	18.4 11.0	. 18.5 11.2	17.2 9.4	19.1	15.3 7.7	16.8	17.3 9.4
Fifth and sixth child Seventh to ninth child	93.4 95.0	5,957 3,024	5,301 2,737	128	60 16	20 12	182 57	266 116	11.5 5.9	11.8 6.1	10.5 7.0	11.1 3.0	4.7 2.8	10.1 3.2	9.2 4.0
Tenth or more	94.1	1,015 570	888 463	41	1.2 9	10	1.3	. 51	2.0 1.1	2.0 1.0	3.3 0.9	2.2 1.7	2.3 0.7	0.7	1.8 1.9
Rural nonfarm	96.7	1	191,419		2,223	713	3,279	3,655	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child	95.8	55,608	51,898	422	610	316	1,220	1,142	27.4	27.1	25.9	27.4	44.3	37.2	31.2
Second child	97.1 97.2	61,594 36,494	58,368 34,618	492 285	735 401	199	862 485	938 595	30.4 18.0	30.5 18.1	30.2 17.5	33.1 18.0	27.9 15.4	26.3 14.8	25.7 16.3
Fourth childFifth and sixth child	97.5 96.8	18,487	17,632 15,266	112	205 142	34 26	206 244	298 345	9.1 8.0	9.2 8.0	6.9 10.4	9.2 6.4	4.8 3.6	6.3	8.2 9.4
Seventh to minth child	96.9	8,062	7,643	54	73	9	11.6	167	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.3	1.3	3.5	4.6
Tenth or more	94.3	2,900 3,583	2,635 3,359	42 55	25 32	12 7	67 79	119 51	1.4	1.4	2.6 3.4	1.1	1.7 1.0		3.3 1.4
White	97.1	185,276	175,814	1,375	2,075	638	2,704	2,670	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	100.0
First child	96.2 97.5		49,277 55,236	378 455	576 711	292 179	1,072 722	867 720	28.3 31.3	28.0 31.4	27,5 33,1	27.8 34.3	45.8 28.1		32.5 27.0
Third child	97.5	33,528	31,987	240	369	101	398	433	18.1	18.2	17.5	17.8	15.8	14.7	16.2
Fourth child	98.0 97.3	13,449	15,573 12,777	83 115	182 126	27 19	150 174	192 238	8.7 7.3	8.9 7.3	6.0 8.4	8.8 6.1	4.2 3.0	6.4	7.2 8.9
Seventh to minth child Tenth or more	97.3 95.2	6,101	5,821 1,901	29	60 20	. 5 10	81 39	105 72	3.3 1.1	3.3	2.1 1.5	2.9 1.0	0.8	3.0	3.9 2.7
Not reported		3,443	3,242	54	31	5	68	43	1.9	1.8	3.9	1.5	0.8	2.5	1.6
Nonwhite	92.2	17,644	15,605	256	148 34	75 24	575 148	985 275	100.0	100.0	100.0	***	• • • •	100.0	100.0
First child	88.1 91.1	3,143 3,567	2,618 3,128	37	24	20	140	218	17.8 20.2	16.8	17.2 14.5		• • • •	25.7 24.3	27.9 22.1
Third child	92.7 93.7	2,282	2,632 2,061	29	32 23	9 7	87 56	162 106	16.8 12.9	16.9 13.2	17.6 11.3			15.1 9.7	16.4
Fifth and sixth child Seventh to ninth child	94.2 95.6	2,745	2,491 1,824	54 25	16 13	7	70 35	107 62	15.6 11.1	16.0 11.7	21.1 9.8	•••	•••	12.2 6.1	10.9 6.3
Tenth or more	92.2	837	734	21	5 1	2	28	47	4.7	4.7	8.2	•••	,0'00	.4.9	4.8
Not reported	•••	140	117	1	ا ا	2	11	.8	0.8	0.7	0.4	••••	•••	1.9	0.8

<sup>1</sup> Order of birth "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; sectest.

Table 5.—ORDER OF LIVE BIRTH: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

Area, order of birth, or and color	Adjusted estimate f percent enumer-		Definitely	enumerated											
and color	f percent	_ , ,	1 2022							Definitely	enumerated	n., 1			E
	ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATESCon.															
Rural farm	94.7	133,780	121,579	1,951	1,973	659	3,386	4,232	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child	94.0 95.0	30,190 31,962	27,178 29,163	448 415	464 536 .	217 166	941 787	942 895	22.6	22.4 24.0	23.0 21.3	23.5 27.2	32.9 25.2	27.8	22.3
Third child	95.3	22,415	20,584 13,317	309 191	297 21.5	106 60	491 354	628 406	16.8 10.9	16.9 11.0	15.8	15.1	16.1	14.5	14.8
Fifth and sixth child	95.1 94.7	14,543 16,644	15,076	278	241	41.	387	621	12.4	12.4	14.2	12.2	6.2	11.4	14.7
Seventh to minth child Tenth or more	94.7	11,555 5,538	10,516 4,930	180 115	117 85	45 20	262 127	435 261	8.6 4.1	8.6 4.1	9.2 5.9	5.9 4.3	6.8	7.7 3.8	10.3
Not reported		933	81.5	1.5	18	4 541	37	44	100.0	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.6	1.1	1.0
White	95.8 95.0	108,592 26,607	100,232 24,295	1,474	1,674 426	191	2,357 743	2,314 574	24.5	1.00.0	100.0 25.6	100.0 25.4	100.0 35.3	100.0	24.8
Second child	96.0	27,888	25,802	342 242	477 246	138	604 327	525	25.7	25.7	23.2 16.4	28.5	25.6	25.6 13.9	22.7
Fourth child	96.4 96.3	18,772	17,510 10,943	153	183	48	230	359 212	17.3	17.5 10.9	10.4	14.7 10.9	16.3 8.9	9.8	9.2
Seventh to minth child	96.2 95.7	12,261 7,439	11,341 6,867	182 117	193 70	26 34	219 149	300 202	11.3	11.3 6.9	12.3	11.5	4.8 6.3	9.3 6.3	13.0
Tenth or more	95.2	3,177 679	2,881 593	48 12	63 16	12 4	57 28	116 26	2.9 0.6	2.9 0.6	3.3 0.8	3.8 1.0	2.2 0.7	2.4 1.2	5.0
Nonwhite	89.9	25,188	21,347	477	299	11.8	1,029	1,918	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
First child	86.4	3,582	2,882	70	38	26	198	368	14.2	13.5	14.7	12.7	• • • •	19.2	19.2
Second child	88.3 89.7	4,073 3,642	3,360 3,073	73 67	59 51.	28 18	183 164	370 269	16.2 14.5	15.7 14.4	15.3 14.0	19.7 17.1		17.8 15.9	19.3
Fourth child	90.1	2,775 4,383	2,375 3,735	38 96	32 48	12 15	124 168	194 321	11.0 17.4	11.1 17.5	8.0 20.1	10.7	• • •	12.1 16.3	10.1
Seventh to minth child Tenth or more	92.9 92.3	4,117 2,362	3,650 2,050	63 67	47 22	11 8	113 70	233 145	16.3 9.4	17.1 9.6	13.2 14.0	15.7 7.4		11.0	12.1
Not reported		254	222	3	2		9	18	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.7		0.9	0.9
NORTH AND WEST		-		·											
Total	97.3	516,463	489,463	5,072	5,722	1,912	6,715	7,579	100.0	100.0	1,00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child	96.5 97.9	160,031	150,132 153,544	1,527 1,448	1,944 1,736	916 561	2,830 1,612	2,682 1,832	31.0 31.1	30.7 31.4	30.1 28.5	34.0 30.3	47.9 29.3	42.1 24.0	35.4 24.2
Third child	97.8 97.7	87,234 40,619	83,091 38,660	81.1 434	1,058 398	217 88	957 411	1,100 628	16.9 7.9	17.0 7.9	16.0 8.6	18.5	11.3 4.6	14.3	14.5
Fifth and sixth child Seventh to minth child	97.1	29,934	28,224	328 144	350 87	. 55 28	449 175	528	5.8	5.8	6.5	6.1	2.9	6.7	7.0
Tenth or more	96.5 96.0	12,179 4,051	11,404 3,686	11.5	46	20	59	341 125	2.4 0.8	2.3 0.8	2.8 2.3	0.8	1.5	2.6 0.9	1.6
Not reported	97.6	21,682 486,026	20,722 463,103	265 4,317	103 5,343	27 1,674	222 5,629	343 5,960	4.2 100.0	4.2 100.0	100.0	1.8	1.4	3.3	100.0
First child	96.9	152,400	143,682	1,338	1,837	826	2,492	2,225	31.4	31.0	31.0	34.4	49.3	44.3	37.3
Second child	98.1 98.1	152,799 81,692	146,643 78,232	1,240 691	1,639 993	495 188	1,371 762	1,411	31.4 16.8	31.7 16.9	28.7 16.0	30.7	29.6	24.4 13.5	23.7
Fourth child	98.2 97.6	37,314 26,738	35,780 25,415	360 250	353 310	67 41	316 314	438 408	7.7 5.5	7.7 5.5	8.3 5.8	6.6 5.8	4.0	5.6 5.6	7.3
Seventh to minth child	96.8	10,466	9,866	92	74 41	20 14	136	278 86	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.2	2.4	4.7
Not reported	96.7	3,467 21,150	3,189 20,296	253	96	23	194	288	4.4	4.4	5.9	1.8	1.4	3.4	4.8
Nonwhite	92.0	30,437	26,360	755	379	238	1,086	1,619	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
First child	90.2 92.4	7,631 7,934	6,450 6,901	1,89 208	107 97	90 66	338 241	457 421	25.1 26.1	24.5 26.2	25.0 27.5	28.2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	31.1	28.2
Third child	92.4	5,542 3,305	4,859 2,880	120 74	65 45	29 21	195 95	274 190	18.2	18.4 10.9	15.9 9.8	17.2	:::	18.0	16.9
Fifth and sixth child	92.6 94.7	3,196	2.809	78	40	14	135	120	10.5	10.7	10.3	10.6		12.4 3.6	
Seventh to minth child Tenth or more	91.9	1,713 584	1,538 497	22	13	6	15	63 39	1.9	5.8 1.9	6.9 2.9	1.3	:::	1.4	2.
Not reported		532	426	12	7	4	28	55	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.8	•••	2.6	3.
Urban	97.3	328,398 109,807	311,232	3,465	3,435	1,235	4,121	4,910	100.0	100.0 33.1	100.0	39.2	50.0	100.0	38.0
Second child	98.1	103,874	99,499	1,132 980	1,347 982	371	1,909	1,893 1,139	31.6	32.0	28.3	28.6	30.0	21.9	23.
Third child	97.8 97.7	52,468 22,588	49,958 21,436	521 301	616 195	115 67	592 200	666 389	16.0 6.9	16.1 6.9	15.0 8.7	17.9 5.7	9.3 5.4	14.4	13.0 7.5
Fifth and sixth child	96.9 95.2	14,959 5,306	14,030 4,872	182 71	191 27	28 14	264 95	264 227	4.6 1.6	4.5 1.6	5.3	5.6 0.8	2.3	2.3	5.4
Tenth or more	96:5	1,500 17,896	1,340 17,188	77 201	13 64	6 17	19 139	45 287	0.5 5.4	0.4 5.5	2.2 5.8	0.4	0.5	0.5 3.4	0. 5.
Rural nonfarm	97.5	125,110	119,205	900	1,394	389	1,563	1,659	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
First child	96.7 97.8	34,638 39,862	32,719 38,093	245 288	394 488	193 111	580 417	507 465	27.7 31.9	27.4 32.0	27.2 32.0	28.3 35.0	49.6 28.5	37.1 26.7	30. 28.
Third child,	97.9	23,068	22,100	154	274	49	228	263	18.4	18.5	17.1	19.7	12.6	14.6	15.
Fourth child	98.1 97.4	10,985 8,460	10,588 8,062	54 72	105 64	6 <b>1</b> 4	104 103	128 145	8.8 6.8	8.9 6.8	6.0 8.0	7.5 4.6	3.6	6.6	8.
Seventh to minth child	97.3 95.7	3,563 1,236	3,395 1,144	23 12	30 13	2 8	48 18	65 41	2.8 1.0	2.8 1.0	2.6 1.3	0.9	0.5	3.1	3.
Not reported		3,298	3,104	52	26	6	65	45	2,6	2.6	5.8	1.9	1.5	4.2	2.
Rural farm	96.8	62,955 15,586	59,026 14,504	707 150	893 203	288 106	1,031	1,010	100.0 24.8	100.0	100.0	22.7	100.0	33.1	100.
Second child	96.8 97.3	16,997	15,952	180	266	79	292	228	27.0	27.0 18.7	25.5 19.2	29.8	27.4 18.4	28.3	22.
			11,033	136	168	53	137	171	18.6				5.2	10.4	
Third child	97.1	7,046	6,636	79	98	15	107	111	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.0			]
Third child	97.1 97.2 97.7 96.0	7,046   6,515   3,310   1,315	6,636 6,132 3,137 1,202	79 74 50 26	98 95 30 20	15 13 12 6	107 82 32 22	1119 49 39	10.3 5.3 2.1	10.4 5.3 2.0	10.5 7.1 3.7	10.6 3.4 2.2	4.5 4.2 2.1	8.0 3.1 2.1	17:

<sup>1</sup> Order of birth "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; set text.

## Table 5.—ORDER OF LIVE BIRTH: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

Application	-								•	ber					Masolica Shall record
Processor   Proc		<u>-</u>									/ enumerated	Definitely		estimate	Area, order of birth,
Total. 94.7 293.302 225.67 3.403 3.108 1,300 5.08 8,884 100.0 103.0 100.	Defi- nitely missed unce tai	ably	ably enu- mer-	Found on search of population	Matched with infant	birth	ation status un-	nitely	ably	ably enumer-	Found on search of population	Matched with infant	birth	enumer-	and color
Piete shild.				acriedate	Caru										SOUTH
Flest skille    99.4   77.329   59.08   500   904   525   2,103   2,613   2,67   27.5   27.5   29.1   31.6   27.6   27.5   29.1   32.6   29.	100.0 10	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	8,894	6,058	1,360	3,108	3,435	236,497	259,352	94.7	Total
Third child.  99.2 4, 25.92  99.2 10.000  20.0000  20.0000  20.0000  20.0000  20.0000  20.0000  20.0000  20.0000  20.00000  20.00000000	35.0 2	38.6	29.1	27.9			2,613	2,119					72,329 70.594		
Pitch and stath child.	24.7 2 14.0 1	16.0	15.2		16.5	16.4	1,363	847	21.8	473	<i>55</i> 6	39,095	42,552	95.2	
Feeth care none	7.9 9.1 1						1,012	553	59	285	431	23,159	25,499	94.7	Fifth and sixth child
*** Britis***   959   959   219   211   210   200   75   247   4,725   100.0	5.6 2.9							178	29	93	142	6,318	7,110	93.5	Tenth or more
Piers thild	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.5	il .				1						
Section Bullian	100.0 100 39.9 3										720	55,563	60,502	95.1	First child
Fibre shild.  96.4  15.407  15.776  15.7776  15.776  15.776  15.776  15.776  15.7776  15.776  15.77776	26.6 2: 13.1 1	27.0	30.7	26.4	29.7	29.5	1,182	995				29,929	31,984	96.4	Third child
Seventh to ninth child. 99.5   9.951   8.951   10.6   22   33   171   278   4.6   2.9   4.7   5.7   5.4   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.7   1.6   2.4   1.7   1.6   1.8   1.8   1.	6.4	7.8	9.0	8.2	8.5	8.4	386	239	76	224	184	15,378 14,441			
Not reported.	7.2 4.6	3.4	3.7	4.7	4.5	4.6	278	171	33	92	1.06	8,211	8,891	95.5	
First child.	0.7												646		Not reported
Second child.   90.3   12,988   11,122   225   120   120   120   500   500   500   500   20.2   20.1   31.3   32.7   36.5   Flurth child.   91.5   10,588   91.65   200   122   46   377   60.7   16.5   16	100.0 100														
Fourth shild. 92.6 7.421 6,599 108 69 31 262 525 11.6 11.9 4.0 5.7 11.8 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.5 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	28.0 25 21.6 22				20.1	20.2	920	500	102	120	219	11,122	12,983	90.3	Second child
## State than a state than that we have a state of the st	15.4 15 10.5 9						382	242	31.	69	80£	6,589	7,421	92.6	Fourth child
Tenth or more. 99.9 3,630 3,177 107 34 14 96 204 5.7 5.7 8.9 5.6 3.6 Not reported. 9. 432 776 5 5 1 20 27 0.7 0.7 0.2 0.8 0.3 0.8 0.3 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.2 0.8 0.3 0.8 0.3 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.2 0.8 0.3 0.8 0.3 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.2 0.8 0.3 0.8 0.3 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.2 0.8 0.3 0.8 0.3 0.7 0.3 0.8 0.3	12.3 1 7.2	7.3					574 348			63	122	6,673	7,391	94.1	Seventh to minth child
Urban. 95.3 110,717 101,730 1,460 1,199 665 1,987 3,676 100.0 100.	4.2	3.6	5.6	8.9	5.7	5.7	204	96		34					
First shild	100.0 100							1,987		1,199	1,460	101,730	110,717	95.3	Urban
Third child. 99.0   18,409   17,0026   292   217   304   236   5774   16.6   15.7   17.3   18.1   15.6   15.7   17.3   18.1   15.6   18.7   17.3   18.1   15.6   18.7   17.3   18.1   15.6   18.7   17.3   18.1   15.6   18.7   17.3   18.1   15.6   18.7   18.1   18	44.2 35	43.8	35.6	33.2	32.8	33.2	1,318								
Fifth and sixth child. 95.5 7,638 7,011 120 61 19 107 310 6.9 6.9 8.9 5.1 2.3 Sewenth to intith child. 95.9 3,538 3,277 67 25 12 39 138 3.2 3.2 3.2 4.6 2.1 1.8 Tenth or more. 94.2 1,223 1,099 12 16 11 24 50 1.1 1.1 1.6 1.3 1.7 Not reported 344 290 12 6 4 15 21 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.8 0.5 0.6 White. 96.5 84,788 79,209 22 46 367 20 21 0.0 1.1 1.1 1.6 1.3 1.7 Not reported 96.5 84,788 79,209 22,499 32 973 434 1,121 2,122 100.0 100	27.9 26 11.9 1	15.6			16.7	16.6	574	236	104	217	252	17,026	18,409	96.0	Third child
Seventh to inthichild 99.9, 3,538 3,227 67 225 12 39 136. 3.2 3.2 4.6 2.1 1.8 Tenth or more 94.2 1,222 1,099 23 16 11 24 50 1.1 1.1 1.6 1.3 1.8 Tenth or more 98.5 84,788 79,209 929 973 434 1,121 2,122 100.0	6.6 8 5.4 8								. 19	61.	130	7,011	7,638	95.5	Fifth and sixth child
Not reported.    348   290   12   6   4   15   21   0.3   0.3   0.8   0.5   0.6     White	2.0	1.8					138. 50	39 24							
First child. 95.7 30,809 28,499 348 367 203 547 843 36.3 36.0 37.5 37.7 47.2 Second child. 96.9 27,593 28,980 26 319 123 349 579 31.2 32.8 27.6 32.8 28.3 Tench child. 96.9 13,683 15,683 15,684 70 82.1 167 61 17.0 17.2 14.1 17.	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.3	21	1.0	. 4	6		290	348		
Second child.	100.0 10 48.8 3														
Fourth child. 96.9 5,936 5,564 73 58 20 51 170 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.9 6.0 4.6 171 171 172 164 18.5 2.3 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 1.4 18.5 20.5 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	31.1 26 9.4 14	28.3	32.8	27.6	32.8										
Seventh to minth child	4.5 8 3.1 6	4.6	6.0	7.9	7.0	7.0	170	51	20	58	73	5,564	5,936	96.9	Fourth child
Not reported. 91.5   25,929   22,521   531   226   231   866   1,554   100.0   100.0   100.0       First child. 87.3   5,946   4,856   137   60   86   332   475   22.9   21.6   25.8       Second child. 91.2   6,299   5,470   113   52   67   206   391   24.3   24.3   21.3       Third child. 92.2   4,776   4,168   107   50   43   131   277   18.4   18.5   20.2     Fifth and sixth child. 94.0   3,375   3,043   60   24   9   72   167   13.0   13.5   11.9   9.2     Fifth and sixth child. 94.0   3,375   3,043   60   24   9   72   167   13.0   13.5   11.3     Seventh to ninth child. 94.1   645   568   23   9   6   9   30   2.5   2.5   4.3     Tenth or more. 94.1   645   568   23   9   6   9   30   2.5   2.5   4.3     Not reported.	0.8	1.4	1.4	2.7	2.0	2.0	66	9	6	14	25	1,610	1,730	96.6	Seventh to minth child
First child. 87.3 5,946 4,856 137 60 86 332 475 22.9 21.6 25.8	0.9			1.3	0.3	0.3		10	4		1.2	199	241		
Second child. 91.2 6.299 5,470 113 52 67 206 391 24.3 24.3 21.3	100.0 100 38.3 30							·							
Fourth child. 93.6 2,973 2,678 49 18 14 81 133 11.5 11.9 9.2 Fifth and sixth child. 94.0 3,375 3,043 60 24 9 72 167 13.0 13.5 11.3 Seventh to ninth child. 95.2 1,808 1,647 42 11 6 30 72 7.0 7.3 7.9 Tenth or more 94.1 645 568 23 9 6 9 30 2.5 2.5 4.3 Not reported 107 91 2 5 9 0.4 0.4 5 9 0.4 0.4	23.8 25			21.3	24.3	24.3	391	206	67	52	113	5,470	6,299	91.2	Second child
Seventh to ninth child. 95.2 1,808 1,647 42 11 6 30 72 7.0 7.3 7.9	15.1 17 9.4 8			9.2	11.9	11.5	133	81.	14	1.8	49	2,678	2,973	93.6	Fourth child
Not reported	8.3 10 3.5 4			7.9	7.3	7.0	72	30	6	11	42	3,043 1,647			Seventh to minth child
First child 94.2 20,970 19,179 177 216 123 640 635 27.0 26.6 24.2 26.1 38.0 Second child 95.9 21,732 20,275 204 247 88 445 473 27.9 28.1 27.9 29.8 27.2 third child 95.8 13,426 12,518 131 127 61 257 332 17.3 17.9 15.3 17.9 15.3 18.6 Fourth child 96.5 7,502 7,044 58 100 28 102 170 9.6 9.8 7.9 12.1 8.6 Fifth and sixth child 96.6 4,499 4,248 31 43 7 68 102 5.8 5.9 4.2 5.2 2.2 Tenth or more 93.4 1,664 1,491 30 12 4 49 78 2.1 2.1 4.1 1.4 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	0.6	1 1												94.1	
First child. 94.2 20,970 19,179 177 216 123 640 635 27.0 26.6 24.2 26.1 38.0 Second child. 95.9 21,732 20,275 204 247 88 445 473 27.9 28.1 27.9 29.8 27.2 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11	100.0 100	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1,996	1,716	324	829	731	72,214	77,810	95.5	
third child. 95.8 13,426 12,518 131 127 61 257 332 17.3 17.3 17.9 15.3 18.8 Fourth child. 96.5 7,502 7,044 58 100 28 102 170 9.6 9.8 7.9 12.1 8.6 Fourth child. 96.1 7,732 7,204 97 78 12 141 200 9.9 10.0 13.3 9.4 3.7 Seventh to minth child. 96.6 4,499 4,248 31 43 7 68 102 5.8 5.9 4.2 5.2 2.2 Tenth or more. 93.4 1,664 1,491 30 12 4 49 78 2.1 2.1 4.1 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1	37.3 31 25.9 23						635	640				19,179	20,970		First child
Fifth and sixth child. 96.1 7,732 7,204 97 78 12 141 200 9.9 10.0 13.3 9.4 3.7 Seventh to ninth child. 96.6 4,499 4,248 31 43 7 68 102 5.8 5.9 4.2 5.2 2.2 Not reported	15.0 16	18.8	15.3	17.9	17.3	17.3	332	257	61	127	131	12,518	13,426	95.8	third child
Seventh to ninth child.     96.6     4,499     4,248     31     43     7     68     102     5.8     5.9     4.2     5.2     2.2       Tenth or more.     93.4     1,664     1,491     30     12     4     49     78     2.1     2.1     4.1     1.4     1.2       Not reported.     285     255     3     6     1     14     6     0.4     0.4     0.4     0.7     0.3       White.     96.2     63,492     59,561     517     718     274     1,234     1,188     100.0<	5.9 8 8.2 10	3.7	9.4	13.3	10.0	9.9	200	141	1.2	78	97	7:204	7,732		Fifth and sixth child
Not reported	4.0 ± 2.9											4,248 1,491	4,499		
First child 95.1 18,435 17,091 140 196 106 511 391 29.0 28.7 27.1 27.3 38.7 Second child 96.7 18,854 17,765 170 228 77 320 294 29.7 29.8 32.9 31.8 28.1 Third child 96.5 11,069 10,420 100 107 53 182 207 17.4 17.5 19.3 14.9 19.3 Fourth child 97.3 5,655 5,373 32 78 23 58 91 8.9 9.0 6.2 10.9 8.4 Fifth and sixth child. 96.8 5,427 5,113 50 64 7 89 104 8.6 8.6 9.7 8.9 2.6	0.8		- 1		1					6	i I	255	285	•••	Not reported
Second child	41.4 32														
Fourth child	25.9 24 14.7 15	28.1	31.8	32.9	29.8	29.7	294	320	77	228	170	17,765	18,854	96.7	Second child
רבו בובת מומג באדות במו היא באל ביא ביא באל ביא באל באל באל באל באל באל באל באל באל בא	4.7	8.4	10.9	6.2	9.0	8.9	91	58	23	78	32	5,373	5,655	97.3	Fourth child
Seventh to minth child 97.0 2,872 2,732 9 32 4 40 55 4.5 4.6 1.7 4.5 1.5	7.2 8 3.2 4	1.5	4.5	1.7	4.6	4.5	55	40	4	32	9	2,732	2,872	97.0	Seventh to minth child
Tenth or more	0.6								1 t					1 1	
Nonwhite 92.1 14,318 12,653 214 111 50 482 808 100.0 100.0	100.0 100	1 1							50	111	1 1	12,653			
First child	26.8 30 25.9 22						179	125	11			2,088 2.510			
Third child 92.5 2.357 2.098 31 20 8 75 125 16.5 16.6	15.6 15 9.1 9	••••		•••		16.5	125	75	. 8	20	31.	2,098	2,357	92.5	Third child
Fifth and sixth child 94.4 2,305 2,091 47 14 5 52 96 16.1 16.5	10.8 11 5.8	•••	•••	•••	16.5	16.1	96	52	5	14	47	2,091	2,305	94.4	Fifth and sixth child
Seventh to ninth child.     96.0     1,627     1,516     22     11     3     28     47     11.4     12.0         Tenth or more.     92.9     686     607     17     4      22     36     4.8     4.8         Not reported.     83     72      1     1     7     2     0.6     0.6	4.6	•••			4.8	4.8	36	22		4	17	607	686	92.9	Tenth or more

<sup>1</sup> Order of birth "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

Table 5.—ORDER OF LIVE BIRTH: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

[Matched birth records based on complete count; other data based on sample of unmatched birth records. Percent not shown where less than 0.1 or where base is less than 250]

				Numb	er						Percent dia	tributi	on		
Area, order of birth,	Adjusted estimate of percent		Definitel	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-	Prob-	Defi-	Enumer- ation
and color	enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records		Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	ably missed	nitely missed	status uncer- tain
SOUTHCon.	l														
Rural farm	92.9	70,825	62,553	1,244	1,080	371	2,355	3,222	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
First child. Second child. Third child. Fourth child. Fifth and sixth child. Seventh to minth child. Tenth or more. Not reported.  White. First child.	91.9 92.9 93.1 93.3 93.1 93.5 93.4  94.3	14,604 14,965 10,717 7,497 10,129 8,245 4,223 445 46,931	12,674 13,211 9,551 6,681 8,944 7,379 3,728 385 42,263	298 235 173 1112 204 130 89 3 787	261 270 129 117 146 87 65 5 809	111 87 53 45 28 33 14  267	600 495 354 247 305 230 105 19	660 667 457 295 502 386 222 33 1,415	20.6 21.1 15.1 10.6 14.3 11.7 6.0 0.6 100.0	20.3 21.1 15.3 10.7 14.3 11.8 6.0 0.6	24.0 18.9 13.9 9.0 16.4 10.5 7.2 0.2	24.2 25.0 11.9 10.8 13.5 8.1 6.0 0.5 100.0	29.9 23.5 14.3 12.1 7.5 8.9 3.8 	25.5 21.0 15.0 10.5 13.0 9.8 4.5 0.8 100.0	20.5 20.7 14.2 9.2 15.6 12.0 6.9 1.0 100.0
Second child. Third child. Fourth child. Fifth and sixth child. Seventh to minth child. Tenth or more. Not reported.	94.4 94.7 94.8 95.0 94.1 94.5	11,159 7,282 4,896 5,920 4,289 1,924 203	10,069 6,651 4,441 5,360 3,869 1,728	163 108 79 111 72 22	221 78 88 100 46 44 3	63 40 33 14 23 6	326 203 130 144 122 40	317 202 125 191 157 84 17	23.8 15.5 10.4 12.6 9.1 4.1 0.4	23.8 15.7 10.5 12.7 9.2 4.1 0.4	20.7 13.7 10.0 14.1 9.1 2.8	27.3 9.6 10.9 12.4 5.7 5.4 0.4	23.6 15.0 12.4 5.2 8.6 2.2	23.5 14.6 9.4 10.4 8.8 2.9 0.8	14.3 8.8 13.5 11.1 5.9 1.2
Nonwhite	90.1	23,894	20,290	457	271	104	965	1,807	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
First child. Second child. Third child. Fourth child. Firth and sixth child. Seventh to ninth child. Tenth or more. Not reported.	88.3 89.8 90.3 90.5 92.8 92.5	3,346 3,806 3,435 2,601 4,209 3,956 2,299 242	2,701 3,142 2,900 2,240 3,584 3,510 2,000 213	66 72 65 33 93 58 67	32 49 51 29 46 41 21	23 24 13 12 14 10 8	186 169 151 117 161 108 65	338 350 255 170 311 229 138	14.0 15.9 14.4 10.9 17.6 16.6 9.6	13.3 15.5 14.3 11.0 17.7 17.3 9.9 1.0	14.4 15.8 14.2 7.2 20.4 12.7 14.7 0.7	11.8 18.1 18.8 10.7 17.0 15.1 7.7 0.7		19.3 17.5 15.6 12.1 16.7 11.2 6.7 0.8	18.7 19.4 14.1 9.4 17.2 12.7 7.6 0.9

<sup>1</sup> Order of birth "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

### Table 6.—AGE OF MOTHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL

	Adjusted			Num	ber						Percent di	stributi	on		
Area, color of infant,	estimate of percent		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
and age of mother	enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	found on search of population schedule	ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATES				,											
Total	96.4	775,815	725,960	8,507	8,830	3,272	12,773	16,473	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	93.1 95.9 97.1 97.8 97.4 97.4	82,921 245,622 227,652 133,504 66,530 18,325 1,261	74,308 228,163 214,915 127,300 62,900 17,334 1,040	968 2,652 2,368 1,468 770 252	796 2,761 2,861 1,356 849 175	797 1,325 691 259 142 43	2,558 4,618 3,149 1,390 814 187 57	3,494 6,103 3,668 1,731 1,055 334 88	10.7 31.7 29.3 17.2 8.6 2.4 0.2	10.2 31.4 29.6 17.5 8.7 2.4 0.1	11.4 31.2 27.8 17.3 9.1 3.0 0.3	9,0 31.3 32.4 15.4 9.6 2.0 0.4	24.4 40.5 21.1 7.9 4.3 1.3	20.0 36.2 24.7 10.9 6.4 1.5 0.4	21.2 37.0 22.3 10.5 6.4 2.0 0.5
White	97.1	681,237	644,136	6,550	7,843	2,649	9,374	10,685	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	94.5 96.6 97.7 98.2 97.9 97.9	67,104 214,212 204,160 119,993 58,838 16,077 853	61,341 201,229 194,280 115,201 56,043 15,331 711	673 2,048 1,862 1,164 599 186	665 2,405 2,588 1,223 790 148 24	623 1,092 575 205 107 34 13	1,737 3,459 2,401 1,017 583 139 38	2,065 3,979 2,454 1,183 716 239 49	9.8 31.4 30.0 17.6 8.6 2.4 0.1	9.5 31.2 30.2 17.9 8.7 2.4 0.1	10.3 31.3 28.4 17.8 9.1 2.8 0.3	8.5 30.7 33.0 15.6 10.1 1.9 0.3	23.5 41.2 21.7 7.7 4.0 1.3 0.5	18.5 36.9 25.6 10.8 6.2 1.5 0.4	19.3 37.2 23.0 11.1 6.7 2.2 0.5
Nonwhite	91.4	94,578	81,824	1,957	987	623	3,399	5,788	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Inder 20 years	87.4 90.7 92.6 93.9 93.4 94.4	15,817 31,410 23,492 13,511 7,692 2,248 408	12,967 26,934 20,635 12,099 6,857 2,003	295 604 506 304 171 66	131 356 273 133 59 27 8	174 233 116 54 35 9	821 1,159 748 373 231 48 19	1,429 2,124 1,214 548 339 95 39	16.7 33.2 24.8 14.3 8.1 2.4 0.4	15.9 32.9 25.2 14.8 8.4 2.5 0.4	15.1 30.9 25.9 15.5 8.7 3.4 0.6	13.3 36.1 27.7 13.5 6.0 2.7 0.8	27.9 37.4 18.6 8.7 5.6 1.4 0.3	24.2 34.1 22.0 11.0 6.8 1.4 0.6	24.7 36.7 21.0 9.5 5.9 1.6 0.7
Urban.,	96.8	439,115	412,962	4,925	4,634	1,900	6,108	8,586	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Inder 20 years	93.4 96.2 97.4 98.2 98.0 98.2	41,019 140,428 135,297 77,629 35,724 8,578 440	36,863 131,031 128,131 74,406 33,975 8,186 370	485 1,544 1,454 843 440 150	322 1,396 1,685 704 464 51	478 779 403 150 63 20	1,070 2,278 1,702 644 342 57 15	1,801 3,400 1,922 882 440 114 27	9.3 32.0 30.8 17.7 8.1 2.0	8.9 31.7 31.0 18.0 8.2 2.0 0.1	9.8 31.4 29.5 17.1 8.9 3.0 0.2	6.9 30.1 36.4 15.2 10.0 1.1 0.3	25.2 41.0 21.2 7.9 3.3 1.1 0.4	17.5 37.3 27.9 10.5 5.6 0.9 0.2	21.0 39.6 22.4 10.3 5.1 1.3 0.3

<sup>1</sup> Age "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

## Table 6.—AGE OF MOTHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

				Num	ber						Percent di	stributi	on		
Area, color of infant,	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated	· ·					Doct-di-	- anime : -			l	
and age of mother	of percent enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATES Con.		·													
UrbanCon.															
White	97.5	387,369	368,090	3,701	4,094	1,470	4,313	5,701	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	94.9 96.9 97.9 98.6 98.3 98.5	32,469 122,093 121,891 70,582 32,269 7,717 348	29,876 115,225 116,331 68,097 30,848 7,415 298	298 1,134 1,132 668 348 115	250 1,207 1,518 636 433 42	350 612 330 112 46 14 6	634 1,651 1,257 459 263 37 12	1,061 2,264 1,323 610 331 94 18	8.4 31.5 31.5 18.2 8.3 2.0 0.1	8.1 31.3 31.6 18.5 8.4 2.0 0.1	8.1 30.6 30.6 18.0 9.4 3.1	6.1 29.5 37.1 15.5 10.6 1.0 0.2	23.8 41.6 22.4 7.6 3.1 1.0 0.4	14.7 38.3 29.1 10.6 6.1 0.9 0.3	18.6 39.7 23.2 10.7 5.8 1.6
Nonwhite	91.8	51,746	44,872	1,224	540	430	1,795	2,885	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported.	87.5 91.3 93.0 94.1 95.0 95.3	8,550 18,335 13,406 7,047 3,455 861 92	6,987 15,806 11,800 6,309 3,127 771 72	187 410 322 175 92 35 3	72 189 167 68 31 9	128 167 73 38 17 6	436 627 445 185 79 20	740 1,136 599 272 109 20	16.5 35.4 25.9 13.6 6,7 1.7 0.2	15.6 35.2 26.3 14.1 7.0 1.7 0.2	15.3 33.5 26.3 14.3 7.5 2.9 0.2	13.3 35.0 30.9 12.6 5.7 1.7	29.8 38.8 17.0 8.8 4.0 1.4 0.2	24.3 34.9 24.8 10.3 4.4 1.1 0.2	25.6 39.4 20.8 9.4 3.8 0.7 0.3
Rural nonfarm Under 20 years	96.7	202,920 24,731	191,419	1,631	2,223	71.3 166	3,279	3,655	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1,00.0	100.0	100.0
20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported. White.	96.2 97.4 98.0 97.7 97.0	65,960 58,603 32,857 16,059 4,356 354	61,813 55,786 31,516 15,321 4,116 309	150 550 439 247 153 33 11 1,375	728 650 353 177 55 4 2,075	297 1.57 48 31 9 5	732 1,204 748 349 178 57 11	821 1,368 823 344 199 86 14 2,670	12.2 32.5 28.9 16.2 7.9 2.1 0.2 100.0	11.8 32.3 29.1 16.5 8.0 2.1 0.2	12.1 33.7 26.9 15.1 9.4 2.0 0.7	11.5 32.7 29.2 15.9 8.0 2.5 0.2	23.3 41.7 22.0 6.7 4.3 1.3 0.7	22.3 36.7 22.8 10.6 5.4 1.7 0.3	22.5 37.4 22.5 9.4 5.4 2.4 0.4
Under 20 years	94.8	21,613	19,934	161	232	149	575	562	11.7	11.3	11.7	11.2	23.4	100.0	21.0
20 to 24 years	96.7 97.7 98.2 98.1 97.2	60,213 54,257 30,394 14,595 3,949 255	56,779 51,883 29,252 14,003 3,747 216	478 372 208 123 24	669 613 337 168 52 4	269 138 42 29 7 4	1,004 643 292 129 51	1,014 608 263 143 68 12	32.5 29.3 16.4 7.9 2.1 0.1	32.3 29.5 16.6 8.0 2.1 0.1	34.8 27.1 15.1 8.9 1.7 0.7	32.2 29.5 16.2 8.1 2.5 0.2	42.2 21.6 6.6 4.5 1.1 0.6	37.1 23.8 10.8 4.8 1.9 0.4	38.0 22.8 9.9 5.4 2.5 0.4
Nonwhite Under 20 years	92.2	17,644 3,118	15,605 2,624	256	148	75 17	<i>5</i> 75	985 259	100.0	100.0	100.0			27.3	26.3
20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported.	91.5 93.5 95.0 93.8 94.9	5,747 4,346 2,463 1,464 407	5,034 3,903 2,264 1,318 369 93	72 67 39 30 9	59 37 16 9	28 19 6 2 2	200 105 57 49 6	354 215 81 56 18 2	32.6 24.6 14.0 8.3 2.3 0.6	32.3 25.0 14.5 8.4 2.4 0.6	28.1 26.2 15.2 11.7 3.5 0.8			34.8 18.3 9.9 8.5 1.0	35.9 21.8 8.2 5.7 1.8 0.2
Rural farm	94.7	133,780	121,579	1,951	1,973	659	3,386	4,232	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported.	91.2 94.0 95.5 96.4 95.6 96.5	17,171 39,234 33,752 23,018 14,747 5,391 467	14,887 35,319 30,998 21,378 13,604 5,032 361	285 558 475 378 177 69	218 637 526 299 208 69 16	153 249 131 61 48 14	756 1,136 699 397 294 73	872 1,335 923 505 416 134 47	12.8 29.3 25.2 17.2 11.0 4.0 0.3	12.2 29.1 25.5 17.6 11.2 4.1	14.6 28.6 24.3 19.4 9.1 3.5 0.5	11.0 32.3 26.7 15.2 10.5 3.5 0.8	23.2 37.8 19.9 9.3 7.3 2.1 0.5	22.3 33.5 20.6 11.7 8.7 2.2 0.9	20.6 31.5 21.8 11.9 9.8 3.2 1.1
White	95.8	108,592	100,232	1,474	1,674	541	2,357	2,314	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	92.7 95.3 96.5 97.1 96.7 97.3	13,022 31,906 28,012 19,017 11,974 4,411 250	11,531 29,225 26,066 17,852 11,192 4,169 197	214 436 358 288 128 47 3,	183 529 457 250 189 54	124 211 107 51 32 13	528 804 501 266 191 51	442 701 523 310 242 77 19	12.0 29.4 25.8 17.5 11.0 4.1 0.2	11.5 29.2 26.0 17.8 11.2 4.2 0.2	14.5 29.6 24.3 19.5 8.7 3.2 0.2	10.9 31.6 27.3 14.9 11.3 3.2 0.7	22.9 39.0 19.8 9.4 5.9 2.4 0.6	22.4 34.1 21.3 11.3 8.1 2.2 0.7	19.1 30.3 22.6 13.4 10.5 3.3 0.8
Nonwhite Under 20 years	89.9 86.4	25,188 4,149	21,347 3,356	477 71	299 35	118	1,029	1,918 430	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		22.2	100.0
20 to 24 years	88.6 91.0 92.8 91.2 93.2	7,328 5,740 4,001 2,773 980 217	6,094 4,932 3,526 2,412 863 164	122 117 90 49 22 6	108 69 49 19 15 4	38 24 10 16 1	332 198 131 103 22 15	634 400 195 174 57 28	29.1 22.8 15.9 11.0 3.9 0.9	28.5 23.1 16.5 11.3 4.0 0.8	25.6 24.5 18.9 10.3 4.6 1.3	36.1 23.1 16.4 6.4 5.0 1.3		32.3 19.2 12.7 10.0 2.1 1.5	33.1 20.9 10.2 9.1 3.0 1.5
NORTH AND WEST	97.3	516,463	489,463	5,072	5,722	1,912	6,715	7,579	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	94.6 96.7 97.8 98.3 98.2 98.2	43,938 161,340 159,714 94,555 44,736 11,680 500 486,026	40,227 151,820 152,303 90,836 42,717 11,171 389 463,103	456 1,573 1,469 888 508 160 18	405 1,667 1,967 970 601 90 22 5,343	436 805 407 169 70 17 8	1,078 2,478 1,834 814 379 99 33	1,336 2,997 1,734 878 461 143 30 5,960	8.5 31.2 30.9 18.3 8.7 2.3 0.1 100.0	8.2 31.0 31.1 18.6 8.7 2.3 0.1	9.0 31.0 29.0 17.5 10.0 3.2 0.4	7.1 29.1 34.4 17.0 10.5 1.6 0.4 100.0	22.8 42.1 21.3 8.8 3.7 0.9 0.4 100.0	16.1 36.9 27.3 12.1 5.6 1.5 0.5	17.6 39.5 22.9 11.6 6.1 1.9 0.4
Under 20 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years 40 years and over Not reported.	95.3 97.1 98.0 98.5 98.4 98.3	39,563 150,642 151,437 90,058 42,711 11,151 464	36,631 142,618 145,026 86,845 40,902 10,707	365 1,320 1,271 778 440 127 16	360 1,555 1,843 900 582 86 17	373 717 363 137 64 12 8	853 2,094 1,569 680 319 86 28	981 2,338 1,365 718 404 133 21	8.1 31.0 31.2 18.5 8.8 2.3 0.1	7.9 30.8 31.3 18.7 8.8 2.3 0.1	8.5 30.6 29.4 18.0 10.2 2.9 0.4	6.7 29.1 34.5 16.8 10.9 1.6 0.3	22.3 42.8 21.7 8.2 3.8 0.7 0.5	15.2 37.2 27.9 12.1 5.7 1.5 0.5	16.5 39.2 22.9 12.0 6.8 2.2 0.4

<sup>1</sup> Age "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

Table 6.—AGE OF MOTHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

[IMARCHEC DITTH FECO				Numi							Percent dis				
Area, color of infant,	Adjusted estimate		Definite'-	enumerated	1	<del></del>				Doctot	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
and age of mother	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
NORTH AND WESTCon. TotalCon.															
Nonwhite Under 20 years	92.0	30,437 4,375	26,360 3,596	755 91	379 45	238 63	1,086	1,619	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	•••	100.0	100.0
20 to 24 years	91.4 93.1 93.8 94.8 95.1	10,698 8,277 4,497 2,025 529 36	9,202 7,277 3,991 1,815 464	253 198 110 68 33	112 124 70 19 4 5	88 44 32 6 5	384 265 134 60 13	559 369 160 57 10	14.4 35.1 27.2 14.8 6.7 1.7 0.1	13.6 34.9 27.6 15.1 6.9 1.8 0.1	12.1 33.5 26.2 14.6 9.0 4.4 0.3	11.9 29.6 32.7 18.5 5.0 1.1 1.3		20.7 35.4 24.4 12.3 5.5 1.2 0.5	21.9 40.7 22.8 9.9 3.5 0.6
Urban	97.3	328,398	311,232	3,465	3,435	1,235	4,121	4,910	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	94.4 96.7 97.8 98.4 98.2 98.6	25,311 102,346 104,421 61,487 27,910 6,674 249	23,099 96,260 99,464 59,203 26,592 6,411 203	289 1,058 1,037 598 358 117	197 944 1,297 564 388 35 10	289 515 273 101 45 10 2	581 1,553 1,213 465 255 41 13	856 2,016 1,137 556 272 60 13	7.7 31.2 31.8 18.7 8.5 2.0 0.1	7.4 30.9 32.0 19.0 8.5 2.1 0.1	8.3 30.5 29.9 17.3 10.3 3.4 0.2	5.7 27.5 37.8 16.4 11.3 1.0 0.3	23.4 41.7 22.1 8.2 3.6 0.8 0.2	14.1 37.7 29.4 11.3 6.2 1.0 0.3	17.4 41.1 23.2 11.3 5.5 1.2 0.3
Rural nonfarm	97.5	125,110	119,205	900	1,394	389	1,563	1,659	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported.	95.4 97.0 98.0 98.1 98.6 97.0	12,640 40,093 37,898 21,520 10,152 2,666 141	11,743 37,939 36,413 20,691 9,781 2,524	88 318 242 140 87 18	134 440 413 254 119 30 4	83 180 79 26 13 4	296 562 377 221 62 38 7	296 654 374 188 90 52	10.1 32.0 30.3 17.2 8.1 2.1 0.1	9.9 31.8 30.5 17.4 8.2 2.1 0.1	9.8 35.3 26.9 15.6 9.7 2.0	9.6 31.6 29.6 18.2 8.5 2.2 0.3	21.3 46.3 20.3 6.7 3.3 1.0	18.9 36.0 24.1 14.1 4.0 2.4 0.4	17.8 39.4 22.5 11.3 5.4 3.1 0.3
Rural farm	96.8	62,955 5,987	59,026	707	893	288	1,031	1,010	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	93.6 96.3 97.3 97.7 97.8 98.0	18,901 17,395 11,548 6,674 2,340	5,385 17,621 16,426 10,942 6,344 2,236	79 197 190 150 63 25	74 283 257 152 94 25 8	64 110 55 42 12 3 2	201 363 244 128 62 20 13	184 327 223 134 99 31 12	9.5 30.0 27.6 18.3 10.6 3.7 0.2	9.1 29.9 27.8 18.5 10.7 3.8 0.1	11.2 27.9 26.9 21.2 8.9 3.5	8.3 31.7 28.8 17.0 10.5 2.8 0.9	22.2 38.2 19.1 14.6 4.2 1.0 0.7	19.5 35.2 23.7 12.4 6.0 1.9	18.2 32.4 22.1 13.3 9.8 3.1 1.2
SOUTH															
Total.  Under 20 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 24 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported.	94.7 91.4 94.2 95.6 96.7 95.7 96.2	259,352 38,983 84,282 67,938 38,949 21,794 6,645 761	236,497 34,081 76,343 62,612 36,464 20,183 6,163 651	3,435 512 1,079 899 580 262 92 11	3,108 391 1,094 894 386 248 85 10	1,360 361 520 284 90 72 26 7	6,058 1,480 2,140 1,315 576 435 88 24	8,894 2,158 3,106 1,934 853 594 191 58	100.0 15.0 32.5 26.2 15.0 8.4 2.6 0.3	100.0 14.4 32.3 26.5 15.4 8.5 2.6 0.3	100.0 14.9 31.4 26.2 16.9 7.6 2.7 0.3	100.0 12.6 35.2 28.8 12.4 8.0 2.7 0.3	100.0 26.5 38.2 20.9 6.6 5.3 1.9 0.5	100.0 24.4 35.3 21.7 9.5 7.2 1.5 0.4	100.0 24.3 34.9 21.7 9.6 6.7 2.1
Under 20 years	95.9 93.2 95.5 96.5 97.5 96.7 96.9	195,211 27,541 63,570 52,723 29,935 16,127 4,926 389	181,033 24,710 58,611 49,254 28,356 15,141 4,624 337	2,233 306 728 591 386 159 59	2,500 305 850 745 323 208 62 7	975 250 375 212 68 43 22 5	3,745 884 1,365 832 337 264 53 10	4,725 1,084 1,641 1,089 465 312 106 28	100.0 14.1 32.6 27.0 15.3 8.3 2.5 0.2	100.0 13.6 32.4 27.2 15.7 8.4 2.6 0.2	100.0 13.8 32.6 26.5 17.3 7.1 2.6	100.0 12.2 34.0 29.8 12.9 8.3 2.5 0.3	25.6 38.5 21.7 7.0 4.4 2.3	100.0 23.6 36.4 22.2 9.0 7.0 1.4 0.3	0.0
Under 20 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported.	91.1 87.1 90.4 92.3 94.0 92.9 94.0	64,141 11,442 20,712 15,215 9,014 5,667 1,719 372	55,464 9,371 17,732 13,358 8,108 5,042 1,539 314	1,202 204 351 308 194 103 33	608 86 244 149 63 40 23 3	385 111 145 72 22 29 4 2	2,313 596 775 483 239 171 35	4,169 1,074 1,465 845 388 282 85 30	100.0 17.8 32.3 23.7 14.1 8.8 2.7 0.6	100.0 16.9 32.0 24.1 14.6 9.1 2.8 0.6	100.0 17.0 29.2 25.6 16.1 8.6 2.7 0.7	100.0 14.1 40.1 24.5 10.4 6.6 3.8 0.5	28.8 37.7 18.7 5.7 7.5 1.0	100.0 25.8 33.5 20.9 10.3 7.4 1.5 0.6	25. 35. 20. 9. 6.
Urban	95.3	110,717	101,730	1,460	1,199	665	1,987	3,676	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	91.7 94.9 96:2 97.2 97.2 96.7	15,708 38,082 30,876 16,142 7,814 1,904 191	13,764 34,771 28,667 15,203 7,383 1,775 167	196 486 417 245 82 33 1	125 452 388 140 76 16 2	189 264 130 49 18 10 5	489 725 489 179 87 16 2	945 1,384 785 326 168 54 14	14.2 34.4 27.9 14.6 7.1 1.7 0.2	13.5 34.2 28.2 14.9 7.3 1.7 0.2	13.4 33.3 28.6 16.8 5.6 2.3 0.1	10.4 37.7 32.4 11.7 6.3 1.3 0.2	2.7 1.5 0.8	9.0 4.4 0.8 0.1	21.4 8.9 4.6 1.5
White Under 20 years	96.5	10,902	79,209 9,842	929	973 86	118	1,121	2,122 513	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	27.2	100.0	
20 to 24 years	96.1 97.2 97.9 97.8 97.2	29,023 24,493 12,819 5,994 1,440	26,950 23,048 12,204 5,713 1,351 101	310 278 170 52 25	361 327 124 63 10 2	171 92 36 6 7 4	434 272 97 60 8 1	797 476 188 100 39	34.2 28.9 15.1 7.1 1.7 0.1	34.0 29.1 15.4 7.2 1.7 0.1	33.4 29.9 18.3 5.6 2.7	37.1 33.6	39.4 21.2 8.3 1.4 1.6	38.7 24.3 8.7 5.4 0.7	37.0 22.0 8.0 4.1
Nonwhite. Under 20 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 years and over. Not reported.	91.5 87.2 91.1 92.5 94.1 95.2 95.3	25,929 4,806 9,059 6,383 3,323 1,820 464 74	22,521 3,922 7,821 5,619 2,999 1,670 424 66	531 102 176 139 75 30 8	226 39 91 61 16 13 6	71 93 38 13 12 3	866 240 291 217 82 27 8 1	1,554 432 587 309 138 68 15	18.5 34.9 24.6 12.8 7.0 1.8 0.3	17.4 34.7 25.0 13.3 7.4 1.9	19.2 33.1 26.2 14.1 5.6 1.5	:::		27.7 33.6 25.1 9.5 3.1 0.9 0.1	27. 37. 19. 8. 4.

Age "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

### Table 6.—AGE OF MOTHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

	T														
	Ad.lusted			Num	ber						Percent di	stributi	.on		
Area, color of infant, and age of mother	estimate of percent	m-+ - 7	Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
and age or mosner	enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status un- certain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
SOUTHCon.															
Rural nonfarm	95.5	77,810	72,214	731	829	324	1,716	1,996	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	92.6 95.0	12,091 25,867	10,815 23,874	110 232	122 288	83 117	436 642	525 714	15.5	15.0	15.0	14.7	25.6	25.4	26.3
25 to 29 years	96.2	20,705	19,373	197	237	78	371	449	33.2 26.6	33.1 26.8	31.7 26.9	34.7 28.6	36.1 24.1	37.4 21.6	35.8 22.5
30 to 34 years	97.6	11,337	10,825	1.07	99	22	128	156	14.6	15.0	14.6	11.9	6.8	7.5	7.8
35 to 39 years	96.3	5,907	5,540	66	58	18.	116	109	7.6	7.7	9.0	7.0	5.6	6.8	5.5
40 years and over	96.9	1,690 213	1,592 195	15	25	5 1	19 4	34	0.3	2.2 0.3	2.1 0.5	3.0	1.5 0.3	0.2	1.7
=			1							ii .					
White	96.2	63,492	59,561	517	718	274	1,234	1,188	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	94.0 95.8	9,441 21,167	8,607 19,751	78 174	105 238	70 99	290 475	291 430	14.9 33.3	14.5 33.2	15.1 33.7	14.6 33.1	25.5 36.1	23.5 38.5	24.5 36.2
25 to 29 years	96.7	17,252	16,271	140	210	64	286	281	27.2	27.3	27.1	29.2	23.4	23.2	23.7
30 to 34 years	98.0	9,420	9,049	72	93	20	93	93	14.8	15.2	13.9	13.0	7.3	7.5	7.8
35 to 39 years	97.1	4,716	4,472	40	50	17	73	64	7.4	7.5	7.7	7.0	6.2	5.9	5.4
40 years and over	97.4	1,374 122	1,302	11 2	22	4	14	21 8	2.2	2.2	2.1	3.1	1.5	1.1	1.8
Nonwhite	92.1	14,318	12,653	214	111	50	3 482	808	100.0	100.0	0.4	••••	•••	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	87.6	2,650	2,208	32	17	13	146	234	18.5	17.5	••••			30.3	29.0
20 to 24 years	91.6	4,700	4,123	58	50	18	167	284	32.8	32.6		:::		34.6	35.1
25 to 29 years	93.6	3,453	3,102	57	27	14	85	1.68	24.1	24.5				17.6	20.8
30 to 34 years	95.7	1,917	1,776	35	6	2	35	63	13.4	14.0				7.3	7.8
35 to 39 years	93.4 95.0	1,191 316	1,068	26 4	8 3	1 1	43 5	45 13	8.3 2.2	8.4	•••	•••	•••	8.9	5.6 1.6
Not reported	••••	91	86	2	٠	1	1	1	0.6	0.7			•••	0.2	0.1
Rural farm	92.9	70,825	62,553	1,244	1,080	371	2,355	3,222	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	89.9	11,184	9,502	206	144	89	555	688	15.8	15.2	16.6	13.3	24.0	23.6	21.4
20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years	91.9 93.6	20,333 16,357	17,698 14,572	361 285	354 269	139 76	773 455	1,008	28.7 23.1	28.3 23.3	29.0 22.9	32.8	37.5 20.5	32.8 19.3	31.3
30 to 34 years	95.1	11,470	10,436	228	147	19	269	371	16.2	16.7	18.3	13.6	5.1	11.4	11.5
35 to 39 years	93.8	8,073	7,260	114	114	36	232	317	11.4	11.6	9.2	10.6	9.7	9.9	9.8
40 years and over	95.4	3,051	2,796	44	44	11	53	103	4.3	4.5	3.5	4.1	3,0	2.3	3.2
Not reported		357	289	6	8	1	18	35	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.8	1.1
White	94.3	46,931	42,263	787	809	267	1,390	1,415	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 20 years	91.6 93.6	7,198 13,380	6,261 11,910	136 244	114 251	62 105	345 456	280 414	15.3 28.5	14.8 28.2	17.3 31.0	14.1	23.2	24.8 32.8	19.8
25 to 29 years	94.8	10,978	9,935	173	208	56	274	332	23.4	23.5	22.0	25.7	21.0	19.7	23.5
30 to 34 years	96.2	7,696	7,103	144	106	1.2	147	1.84	16.4	16.8	18.3	13.1	4.5	10.6	13.0
35 to 39 years	95.2	5,417	4,956	67	95	20	131	148	11.5	11.7	8.5	11.7	7.5	9.4	10.5
40 years and over	96.4	2,112	1,971	23	30	111	31	46 11	4.5	4.7	2.9	3.7	4.1	2.2	3.3 0.8
Not reported	90.1	150	20 200	457	5 271	104	6 965	1,807	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.4	100.0	100.0
Nonwhite	86.7	23,894 3,986	20,290 3,241	70	30	27	210	408	16.7	16.0	15.3	111.1	::	V 21.8	22.6
20 to 24 years	88.7	6,953	5,788	117	103	34	317	594	29.1	28.5	25.6	38.0		32.8	32.9
25 to 29 years	91.3	5,379	4,637	112	61	20	181	368	22.5	22.9	24.5	22.5		18.8	20.4
30 to 34 years	92.9	3,774	3,333	84	41.	7	122	187	15.8	16.4	18.4	15.1		12.6	10.3
35 to 39 years	91.0 93.1	2,656 939	2,304 825	47 21	19 14	1.6	101. 22	169 57	11.1	11.4	10.3	7.0 5.2	:::	10.5	9.4
40 years and over	93.1	207	162	6	3	:::	12	24	0.9	0.8	1.3	1.1		1.2	1.3
		~51						L	L						

<sup>1</sup> Age "not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

Table 7.—YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY MOTHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL

					Numb	er						Percent di	stributi	on		
		Ad justed		Definitely	enumerated		i I				Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
	or, and years of mpleted by mother	estimate of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
UNI	TED STATES				1											
		96.4	775,815	725,960	8,507	8,830	3,272	12,773	16,473	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Elementary:	Less than 7 years	94.7	61,426	57,126	874	628 1,381	296 610	1,809 2,451	693 977	7.9 15.5	7.9 15.6	10.3 19.0	7.1 15.6	9.0	14.2 19.2	4.2 5.9
High school:	7 and 8 years 1 to 3 years	95.8 95.9	120,560 186,464	113,523 176,353	1,618 2,040	2,223	933	3,787	1,128	24.0 35.7	24.3 36.7	24.0 25.2	25.2 35.3	28.5 28.0	29.6 25.4	6.8 5.8
	4 years 1 to 3 years	97.1 97.2	276,683 67,016	266,296 64,436	2,145 595	3,120 839	916 196	3,247 732	959 218	8.6	8.9	7.0	9.5	6.0	5.7 2.6	1.3
	4 years or more not reported	97.6	36,541 27,125	35,401 12,825	316 919	318 321	72 249	334 413	100 12,398	4.7 3.5	4.9 1.8	3.7 10.8	3.6 3.6	7.6	3.2	75.3
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	97.1	681,237	644,136	6,550	7,843	2,649	9,374	10,685	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Elementary:	Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	95.4 96.5	40,235 98,749	37,638 93,519	490 1,228	455 1,171	193 482	1,060 1,717	399 632	5.9 14.5	5.8 14.5	7.5 18.7	5.8 14.9	7.3	18.3	5.9
High school:	1 to 3 years 4 years	96.7 97.6	161,113 262,406	153,431 253,276	1,514 1,878	1,910 2,934	757 809	2,699 2,697	802 812	23.6 38.5	23.8 39.3	23.1 28.7	24.4 37.4	28.6 30.5	28.8 28.8	7.6
College:	1 to 3 years	97.7 98.1	63,695 35,112	61,365 34,085	537 299	806 302	168 57	638 279	181 90	9.4 5.2	9.5 5.3	8.2 4.6	10.3	6.3 2.2	6.8 3.0	0.8
	4 years or more not reported	30.1	19,927	10,822	604	265	183	284	7,769	2.9	1.7	9.2	3.4	100.0	100.0	72.7
	ite	91.4	94,578	81,824	1,957 384	987 173	103	3,399 749	5,788 294	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	16.5	22.0	5.1
	Less than 7 years	91.7 91.6 90.8	21,811	20,004	390 526	210 313	128 176	734	345 326	23.1	24.5 28.0	19.9	21.3	20.5	21.6 32.0	6.0 5.6
-	1 to 3 years 4 years	91.4	25,351 14,277	13,020	267	186	107	550	147	15.1	15.9 3.8	13.6	18.8	17.2 4.5	16.2 2.8	2.5
	1 to 3 years 4 years or more	92.2 91.4	3,321 1,429	3,071 1,316	58 17	*33 16	28 15	55	10	1.5	1.6	0.9	1.6	2.4	1.6	80.0
School years 1	not reported		7,198	2,003	315	.56	66	129	4,629	7.6	2.4	16.1	5.7	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Tong them 7 weems	96.8 95.7	439,115	412,962	4,925 244	4,634	1,900	6,108 506	8,586	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	5.3	8.3	1.7
	Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	96.4	51,948 106,619	49,246	795 1,220	525 1,175	295 611	831 2,038	256 490	11.8 24.3	11.9 24.5	16.1	11.3 25.4	15.5 32.2	13.6 33.4	3.0 5.7
	1 to 3 years 4 years	96.1 97.3	173,825	167.775	1,364	1,791	604	1,809	482 108	39.6	40.6 10.1	27.7	38.6	31.8	29.6 7.9	5.6
	1 to 3 years 4 years or more	97.3 97.7	43,379 25,568	41,711 24,824	408 204	548 204	124 52	480 215	69	5.8	6.0	4.1	4.4	2.7	3.5	81.9
-	not reported	97.5	15,696 387,369	7,465 368,090	690 3,701	167 4,094	1,470	229 4,313	7,031 5,701	100.0	1.8	14.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Less than 7 years	96.6	15,376	14,644	129	162	59	278	104	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	6.4	1.8
	7 and 8 years 1 to 3 years	97.2 96.9	42,092 90,395	40,136 86,413	611 825	440 984	213 469	528 1,345	164 359	10.9	10.9 23.5	16.5 22.3	10.7	14.5	12.2 31.2	6.3
	4 years 1 to 3 years	97.8 97.8	162,663 40,717	157,512 39,239	1,149 355	1,658 522	51.9 101	1,419	406 94	42.0 10.5	42.8 10.7	31.0 9.6	40.5	35.3	32.9 9.4	7.1
	4 years or more not reported	98.2	24,431 11,695	23,773 6,373	189 443	191 137	40 69	173 164	65 4,509	6.3	6.5 1.7	5.1 12.0	4.7 3.3	2.7 4.7	4.0 3.8	79.3
-	ite	91.8	51,746	44,872	1,224	540	430	1,795	2,885	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	92.3 92.2	6,704 9,856	6,212 9,110	115 184	62 85	41 82	228 303	46 92	13.0	13.8	9.4 15.0	11.5	9.5	12.7	1.6
High school: 3	1 to 3 years 4 years	91.0 92.0	16,224 11,162	14,672 10,263	395 215	191 133	142 85	693 390	131 76	31.4 21.6	32.7 22.9	32.3 17.6	35.4 24.6	33.0 19.8	38.6 21.7	2.6
College: 3	1 to 3 years	92.8	2,662	2,472	53 15	26 13	23	74 42	14	5.1	5.5 2.3	4.3	4.8	5.3	4.1	0.
	4 years or more	91.8	1,137 4,001	1,051 1,092	247	30	45	65	2,522	7.7	2.4	20.2	5.6	10.5	3.6	87.
Rural non	farm	96.7	202,920	191,419	1,631	2,223	713	3,279	3,655	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	95.2 96.0	17,546 34,370	16,443 32,529	211 323	142 385	65 144	480 706	205 283	8.6	8.6 17.0	12.9	17.3	9.1	14.6	5.6
High school:	1 to 3 years	96.5 97.3	51,258	48,884	396 412	575 779	166 197	898 875	339 264	25.3 34.1	25.5 34.8	24.3 25.3	25.9 35.0	23.3	27.4 26.7	9.
College: 1	1 to 3 years 4 years or more	97.5	69,146 16,220 8,519	66,619 15,660	100	178 89	56 12	150 91	76 21	8.0	8.2	6.1 5.4	8.0	7.9	4.6	2.
School years	not reported	97.7	5,861	8,218 3,066	101	75	73	79	2,467	2.9	4.3 1.6	6.2	3.4	10.2	2.4	67.
		97.1	185,276	175,814	1,375	2,075	638	2,704	2,670	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
'	Less than 7 years	95.8 96.4	12,892 29,829	12,151 28,301	126 252	121 352	48 128	314 577	132 219	7.0 16.1	6.9 16.1	9.2 18.3	5.8 17.0	20.1	11.6 21.3	8.
	1 to 3 years	97.0 97.6	46,460 67,249	44,476 64,891	338 395	529 748	149 185	721 799	247 231	25.1 36.3	25.3 36.9	24.6	25.5 36.0		26.7	9.
College:	1 to 3 years 4 years or more	97.7 98.0	15,833 8,319	15,297 8,040	98 86	173 86	53 10	142	70	8.5	8.7 4.6	7.1 6.3	8.3		5.3 3.0	2.
School years 1	not reported		4,694	2,658	80	66	65	69	1,756	2.5	1.5	5.8	3.2		2.6	65.
	Less than 7 years	92.2	17,644 4,654	15,605 4,292	256 85	148	75	575 166	985 73	26.4	100.0	33.2			100.0	100.
	7 and 8 years 1 to 3 years	93.0	4,541 4,798	4,228 4,408	71 58	33 46	16	129 177	64	25.7	27.1	27.7			22.4	7. 6. 9.
	4 years	91.3 93.2	1,897	1,728 363	17	31	12	76	33	10.8	11.1	6.6			13.2	3.
	4 years or more	89.7	200	178	2 21	3 9	2 8	9	6 711	1.1	1.1	0.8	\	:::	1.6	0.
	m	94.7	1,167	408			659	3 304		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			1
Elementary: 1	Less than 7 years	94.7	133,780	121,579	1,951	1,973 262	131	3,386	4,232	16.3	16.3	21.5	13.3	19.9	24.3	100.
	7 and 8 years 1 to 3 years	94.4 94.2	34,242 28,587	31,748 26,384	500 424	471 473	171	914 851	438 299	25.6	26.1 21.7	25.6 21.7	23.9	25.9	27.0	10.
4	4 years 1 to 3 years	96.0 96.5	33,712 7,417	31,902 7,065	369 87	550 113	115	563 102	213	25.2	26.2	18.9	27.9	17.5	16.6	
	4 years or more	96.7	2,454	2,359	24	25	. 8	28	10	1.8	1.9	1.2	1.3	1.2	0.8	0.
	TO A TENOT PERSON !	• • • • •	5,568	2,294	128	79	62	105	2,900	4.2	1.9	6.6	4.0	9.4	3.1	68.

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;School years not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see

Table 7.—YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY MOTHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

[Matched birth records						541 UII		- crocue ue	o onowii w	nere ress lila	a o.i or wher	uase 18		200 j	
	Adjusted				ber					<del></del>	Percent di	stributi	.on	·	
Area, color, and years of	estimate of percent	matal		enumerated	Prob-		<u> </u>	Enumer-		Definitely	enumerated	Prob-			Enumer-
school completed by mother	enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATES Con.															
Rural farmCon.															ĺ
White Elementary: Less than 7 years.	95.8 93.5	108,592	100,232	1,474	1,674	541 86	2,357 468	2,314	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
7 and 8 years High school: 1 to 3 years	95.6 95.3	26,828 24,258	25,082 22,542	365 351	379 397	141 139	612 633	249 196	24.7	25.0 22.5	15.9 24.8	10.3	15.9 26.1	19.9 26.0	7.0
4 years College: 1 to 3 years	96.8 97.3	32,494 7,145	30,873 6,829	334 84	528 111	105	479 90	175	22.3	30.8	23.8 22.7	23.7	25.7 19.4	26.9	8.5 7.6
4 years or more School years not reported	97.4	2,362 3,538	2,272 1,791	24 81	25 62	7 49	24 51	17	2.2	6.8	5.7 1.6	1.5	2.6	1.0	0.7
Nonwhite	89.9	25,188	21,347	477	299	118	1,029	1,504	100.0	1.8	100.0	100.0	9.1	100.0	100.0
Elementary: Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	90.9 89.9	9,833 7,414	8,984 6,666	184 135	90 92	45 30	355	175	39.0	42.1	38.6	30.1	•••	34.5	9.1
High School: 1 to 3 years	89.1 86.5	4,329 1,218	3,842 1,029	73 35	76 22	17 10	302 218 84	189	17.2	31.2 18.0	28.3 15.3	30.8 25.4		29.3	9.9 5.4
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	86.8 91.0	272 92	236 87	3	2	2	12	38 17	4.8	4.8 1.1	7.3 0.6	7.4 0.7		1.2	2.0 0.9
School years not reported	1	2,030	503	47	17	13	4 54	1,396	0.4 8.1	0.4 2.4	9.9	5.7	:::	0.4 5.3	72.8
NORTH AND WEST		:							-						
Total	97.3 95.7	516,463	489,463	5,072	5,722	1,912	6,715	7,579	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0
Elementary: Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years High school: 1 to 3 years	96.9	16,323 67,514	15,338 64,207	190 905	188 749	73 303	429 982	105 368	3.2 13.1	3.1 13.1	3.7 17.8	3.3 13.1	3.8 15.8	14.6	1.4
4 years	96.7 97.7	120,098 219,074	114,383 211,721	1,181 1,536	1,341 2,379	560 678	2,135 2,171	498 589	23.3 42.4	23.4 43.3	23.3 30.3	23.4 41.6	29.3 35.5	31.8 32.3	6.6 7.8
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	97.7 98.0	51,051 27,161	49,206 26,387	431 231	648 212	103 48	518 229	145 54	9.9 5.3	10.1 5.4	8.5 4.6	3.7	5.4 2.5	7.7 3.4	1.9 0.7
School years not reported White	97.6	15,242 486,026	8,221 463,103	598 4,317	205 5,343	147	251 5,629	5,820 5,960	3.0 100.0	1.7	11.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	76.8
Elementary: Less than 7 years	96.3	13,450	12,738	116	152	51	307	86	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	3,0	5.5	1.4
7 and 8 years	97.3 97.1	62,485 110,254	59,587 105,530	803 932	702 1,197	248 492	825 1,685	320 418	12.9 22.7	12.9 22.8	18.6 21.6	13.1 22.4	14.8 29.4	14.7 29.9	5.4 7.0
4 years College: 1 to 3 years	98.0 98.0	211,040 49,355	204,286 47,622	1,395 398	2,278 631	623 96	1,916 472	542 136	43.4 10.2	44.1 10.3	32.3 9.2	42.6 11.8	37.2 5.7	34.0 8.4	9.1 2.3
4 years or more School years not reported	98.3	26,551 12,891	25,817 7,523	222 451	205 178	38 126	216 208	53 4,405	5.5 2.7	5.6 1.6	5.1 10.4	3.8	2.3 7.5	3.8	0.9 73.9
Nonwhite	92.0	30,437	26,360	755	379	238	1,086	1,619	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0
Elementary: Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	91.6 92.2	2,873 5,029	2,600 4,620	74 102	36 47	22 55	122 157	19 48	9.4 16.5	9.9 17.5	9.8 13.5	9.5 12.4	• • • •	11.2	1.2 3.0
High school: 1 to 3 years 4 years	91.2 92.7	9,844 8,034	8,853 7,435	249 141	144 101	. 68 55	450 255	80 47	32.3 26.4	33.6 28.2	33.0 18.7	38.0 26.6		41.4 23.5	4.9 2.9
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	93.4 93.2	1,696 610	1,584 570	33	17 7	7 10	46 13	9	5.6 2.0	6.0 2.2	4.4 1.2	1.8	:::	1.2	0.6
School years not reported	97.3	2,351 328,398	698 311,232	147 3,465	27 3,435	1,235	43 4,121	1,415	7.7	2.6	19.5	7.1	100.0	100.0	100.0
Elementary: Less than 7 years	96.1	9,830	9,291	97	131	31	244	36	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.8	2.5	5.9	0.7
7 and 8 years High school: 1 to 3 years	97.1 96.7	35,638 77,550	33,910 73,915	582 820	374 807	165 388	478 1,376	129 244	10.9 23.6	10.9 23.7	16.8 23.7	10.9 23.5	13.4	11.6 33.4	2.6 5.0
College: 4 years	97.7 97.6	141,980 33,434	137,400 32,202	1,037 298	1,445 426	473 67	1,327 364	298 77	43.2 10.2	44.1 10.3	29.9 8.6	12.4	38.3 5.4	32.3	6.1
4 years or more School years not reported	98.1	19,483 10,483	18,986 5,528	146 485	131 121	34 77	152 180	34 4,092	5.9 3.2	6.1 1.8	4.2 14.0	3.8	2.8 6.2	3.7 4.4	0.7 83.3
Rural nonfarm	97.5	125,110	119,205	900	1,394	389	1,563	1,659	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Elementary: Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	96.0 96.8	4,168 17,537	3,935 16,693	47 166	33 191	12 71	96 280	45 136	3.3 14.0	3.3 14.0	5.2 18.4	13.7	3.1 18.3	6.1 17.9	2.7 8.2
High school: 1 to 3 years 4 years	97.1 97.8	30,023 52,371	28,787 50,665	193 286	335 586	105 122	443 538	160 174	24.0 41.9	24.1 42.5	21.4 31.8	24.0 42.0	27.0 31.4	28.3 34.4	9.6
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	98.0 98.0	11,965 6,003	11,583 5,793	70 68	135 61	27 9	101 61	49 11	9.6 4.8	9.7 4.9	7.8 7.6	9.7 4.4	6.9 2.3	6.5 3.9	3.0 0.7
School years not reported	96.8	3,043 62,955	1,749 59,026	70 707	53 893	288 288	1,031	1,084	100.0	1.5	7.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	65.3
Elementary: Less than 7 years	93.6	2,325	2,112	46	24	30	89	24	3.7	3.6	6.5	2.7	10.4	8.6	2.4
7 and 8 years High school: 1 to 3 years	96.8 95.7	14,339 12,525	13,604 11,681	157 168	184 199	67 67	224 316	103 94	22.8 19.9	23.0 19.8	22.2 23.8	20.6	23.3	30.6	9.3
4 years College: 1 to 3 years	97.4 97.9	24,723 5,652	5,421	213 63	348 87	83 9	306 53	117 19	39.3 9.0	40.1 9.2	30.1 8.9	39.0 9.7	28.8	29.7 5.1	11.6
4 years or more School years not reported	97.7	1,675 1,716	1,608 944	17 43	20 31	5 27	16 27	9 644	2.7	2.7 1.6	2.4 6.1	2.2 3.5	1.7 9.4	1.6 2.6	0.9 63.8
SOUTH															
Total	94.7	259,352	236,497	3,435	3,108	1,360	6,058	8,894	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Elementary: Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	93.8 94.1	45,103 53,046	41,788 49,316	684 713	440 632	223 307	1,380	588 609	17.4 20.5	17.7 20.9	19.9 20.8	14.2 20.3	16.4 22.6	22.8	6.6
High school: 1 to 3 years	94.5	66,366 57,609	61,970 54,575	859 609	882 741	373 238	1,652 1,076	630 370	25.6 22.2	26.2 23.1	25.0 17.7	28.4	27.4 17.5	27.3 17.8	7.1
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	96.0 96.5	15,965 9,380	15,230 9,014	164 85	191 106	93 24	214 105	73 46	6.2 3.6	6.4 3.8	4.8 2.5	6.1 3.4	6.8	3.5 1.7	0.8
School years not reported	•••	11,883	4,604	321	116	102	162	6,578	100.0	1.9	9.3	100.0	7.5	100.0	74.0
White Elementary: Less than 7 years.	95.9	195,211 26,785	181,033 24,900	2,233	2,500	975 142	3,745 753	4,725 313	13.7	13.8	16.7	12.1	14.6	20.1	6.6
7 and 8 years	95.2 95.8	36,264 50,859	33,932 47,901	425 582	469 71.3	234 265	892 1,014	312 384	18.6 26.1	18.7 26.5	19.0 26.1	18.8 28.5	24.0 27.2	23.8 27.1	6.6 8.1
4 years	96.6 96.9	51,366 14,340	48,990 13,743	483 139	656 175	186 72	781 166	270 45	26.3 7.3	27.1 7.6	21.6 6.2	26.2 7.0	19.1 7.4	20.9 4.4	5.7 1.0
4 years or more	97.5	8,561 7,036	8,268	77	97	19 57	63 76	37 3,364	4.4 3.6	4.6 1.8	3.4 6.9	3.9 3.5	1.9 5.8	1.7 2.0	0.8 71.2
School years not reported	1	7,000	11 3,279	, , , , ,		'		- ,						•	•

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;School years not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

Table 7.—YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY MOTHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

				Mumb	er						Percent di	stributi	on		
	Adjusted estimate		Definitely	enumerated						Definitely	enumerated				Prum
Area, color, and years of school completed by mother	of percent enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enumer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of population schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enume atio statu uncer tair
SOUTHCon.															
TotalCon.			-												
Nonwhite	91.1	64,141	55,464	1,202	608	385	2,313	4,169	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
ementary: Less than 7 years	91.6 91.4	18,318 16,782	16,888 15,384	310 288	137 163	81 73	627 577	275 297	28.6	30.4 27.7	25.8 24.0	22.5 26.8	21.0 19.0	27.1 24.9	1
gh school: 1 to 3 years 4 years	90.6 89.9	15,507 6,243	14,069 5,585	277 126	169 85	108 52	638 295	246 100	24.2	25.4 10.1	23.0 10.5	27.8 14.0	28.1 13.5	27.6 12.8	
llege: 1 to 3 years	91.1	1,625 819	1,487 746	25 8	16	21	48	28	2.5	2.7	2.1	2.6	5.5	2.1	İ
4 years or more	90.1	4,847	1,305	168	9 29	5 45	42 86	3,214	1.3 7.6	1.3 2.4	0.7 14.0	1.5 4.8	1.3 11.7	1.8 3.7	7
Urban	95.3	110,717	101,730	1,460	1,199	665	1,987	3,676	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10
ementary: Less than 7 years	94.9	12,250	11,565	147	93	69	262	114	11.1	11.4	10.1	7.8	10.4	13.2	
7 and 8 years	94.8 94.6	16,310 29,069	15,336 27,170	21.3 400	151 368	130 223	353 662	127 246	14.7 26.3	15.1 26.7	14.6 27.4	12.6 30.7	19.5 33.5	17.8 33.3	
4 years	95.9	31,845	30,375	327	346	131	482	184	28.8	29.9	22.4	28.9	19.7	24.3	
4 years or more	96.3 96.4	9,945 6,085	9,509 5,838	110 58	122 73	57 18	116 63	31 35	9.0 5.5	9.3 5.7	7.5 4.0	10.2	8.6 2.7	5.8 3.2	
nool years not reported	04.5	5,213	1,937 79,209	205 929	46	37	49	2,939	4.7	1.9	14.0	3.8	5.6	2.5	1
White mentary: Less than 7 years.	96.5	84,788 7,671	79,209	929	973 58	434	1,121	2,122	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	9.0	100.0	1
7 and 8 years	95.8	10,473	9,918	118	99	97	175	66	12.4	12.5	12.7	10.2	22.4	15.6	
h school: 1 to 3 years 4 years	95.9 96.9	21,287	20,079	243 245	296 301	.140 91	364 323	165 138	25.1 32.8	25.3 33.7	26.2 26.4	30.4 30.9	32.3 21.0	32.5	
lege: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	97.2 97.4	8,833 5,505	8,487 5,306	88 52	111 66	41 15	84 34	22 32	10.4	10.7 6.7	9.5 5.6	11.4	9.4 3.5	7.5	
ool years not reported	7	3,221	1,425	93	42	1.1	25	1,625	3.8	1.8	10.0	4.3	2.5	2.2	
Nonwhite	91.5	25,929	22,521	531	226	231	866	1,554	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	1
mentary: Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	92.1 92.2	4,579 5,837	4,271 5,418	57 95	35 52	30 33	146 178	40 61	17.7 22.5	19.0 24.1	10.7 17.9		•••	16.9 20.6	
h school: 1 to 3 years 4 years	91.0	7,782 4,047	7,091 3,675	157 82	72 45	83 40	298 159	81 46	30.0 15.6	31.5	29.6 15.4		•••	34.4	
lege: 1 to 3 years	91.7	1,112	1,022	22	11	16	32	9	4.3	16.3 4.5	4.1		:::	3.7	1
4 years or more ool years not reported	90.6	580 1,992	532 512	112	7 4	26	29 24	1,314	7.7	2.4	21.1	1 :::	•••	2.8	
Rural nonfarm	95.5	77,810	72,214	731	829	324	1,716	1,996	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1
ementary: Less than 7 years	94.6	13,378	12,508	164	109	53	384	160	17.2	17.3	22.4	13.1	16.4	22.4	T
7 and 8 years sh school: 1 to 3 years	95.1 95.6	16,833 21,235	15,836 20,097	157 203	194 240	73 61	426 455	147 179	21.6 27.3	21.9 27.8	21.5 27.8	23.4	22.5 18.8	24.8	
4 years	95.9	16,775	15,954	126	193	75	337	90	21.6	22.1	17.2	23.3	23.1	19.6	
lege: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	96.4 97.1	4,255 2,516	4,077 2,425	30	43 28	29 ,3	49 30	27 10	5.5 3.2	5.6 3.4	4.1 2.7	5.2 3.4	0.9	1.7	
cool years not reported	• •••	2,818	1,317	31	. 22	30	35	1,383	3.6	1.8	4.2	2.7	9.3	100.0	
White mentary: Less than 7 years.	96.2 95.3	63,492 9,197	59,561 8,642	517 86	718 94	274 41	1,234	1,188	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	19.6	+
7 and 8 years	95.7	12,995	12,264	96	167	62	31.5	91	20,5	20.6	18.6	23.3	22.6	25.5	
gh school: 1 to 3 years 4 years	96.5 96.5	17,536 15,538	16,712 14,835	151	207 172	49 71	311 276	106 67	27.6 24.5	28.1 24.9	29.2 22.6	28.8	17.9 25.9	25.2 22.4	
Llege: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	97.0	3,977	3,816 2,291	30	39	26 2	43 21	23	6.3	6.4 3.8	5.8 3.5	5.4 3.6	9.5		
nool years not reported	97.9	2,362 1,887	1,001	18 19	26 13	23	26	805	3.0	1.7	3.7	1.8	8.4		
Nonwhite	92.1	14,318	12,653	214	111	50	482	808	100.0	100.0				100.0	_
mentary: Less than 7 years 7 and 8 years	92.4 93.0	4,181 3,838	3,866 3,572	78 61	15 27	12 11	142 111	68 56	29.2 26.8	30.6 28.2	•••			29.5	
sh school: 1 to 3 years	91.6	3,699	3,385	52	33	12	144	73	25.8	26.8				29.9	1
4 years	90.6 92.9	1,237 278	1,119 261	9	21 4	3	61	23	8.6	8.8			:::	12.7	:
4 years or more	87.9	154 931	134 316	12	2 9	1 7	9	578	1.1 6.5	1.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	:::		1.9	
		:	<b>[</b> ]						1	ll .	. [	1		1	
Rural farmmentary: Less than 7 years	92.9	70,825	62,553	1,244	1,080	371 101	2,355 734	3,222	27.5	100.0	100.0		27.2		
7 and 8 years	92.6	19,903	18,144	343	287	104	. 690	335	28.1	29.0	27.6	26.6	28.0	29.3	3
th school: 1 to 3 years	93.0 93.7	16,062 8,989	14,703 8,246	256 156	274 202	89 32	535 257	205 96	22.7 12.7	23.5 13.2	20.6	18.7	8.6	10.9	)
lege: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	93.9 95.8	1,765 779	1,644 751	24	26 5	7	49 12	15	2.5	2.6	1.9				
ool years not reported	***	3,852	1,350	85	48	35	78	2,256	5.4	2.2					
White	94.3	46,931	42,263	787	809	267	1,390	1,415	100.0	100.0					
ementary: Less than 7 years	93.1 94.1	9,917 12,796	8,964 11,750	198 211	151 203	62 75	395 402	147 155	21.1	21.2					
th school: 1 to 3 years	94.5	12,036	11,110	.188	210	76	339	113	25.6	26.3	23.9	26.0	28.5	24.4	4
4 years	95.4 95.7	8,030 1,530	7,455	121	183 25	24	182 39	65	17.1	17.6	2.7	3.1	1.9	2.8	3
4 years or more	97.1	694 1,928	671 873	7 41	5 32	23	8 25	1	1.5						
Normanite	90.1	23,894	20,290	457	271	104	965	1,807	100.0	31	1		1	1	1 -
ementary: Less than 7 years	91.0	9,558	8,751	175	87	39	339	167	40.0	43.1	38.3	32.1		35.	1
7 and 8 years gh school: 1 to 3 years	89.9 59.3	7,107 4,026	6,394 3,593	132 68	84 64	29 13	288 196		29.7 16.8	31.5					
4 years	85.4	959	791	35	19	8	75	31	4.0	3.9	7.1	7.0	•••	7.	8
llege: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	86.3 91.3	235 85	204 80	3	1	2	10		1.0					. 0.	4 .
chool years not reported	1	1,924	477	44	16	12	53			2.4				5.	

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;School years not reported" distributed before computation. Percentage based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

## Table 8.—MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL

			1		Number						Percent	distrib	ution		
Area, color, and major occupation group	Adjusted estimate of			itely rated	Prob-						nitely erated				
of father	percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATES															<del> </del>
Total infants	96.4	775,815	725,960	8,507	8,830	3,272	12,773	16,473	100.0	100.0	100.0				
vilian father	96.5	757,948	709,858	8,325	8,618	3,061	12,394	15,692	97.7	100.0 97.8	97.9	97.6	93.6	97.0	100 95
Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers	98.7 94.9	56,422 107,009	54,012 98,540	466 1,403	732 1,387	184 388	616 2,068	412 3,223	7.3 13.8	7.4 13.6	5.5 16.5	8.3 15.7	5.6 11.9	4.8	2 19
Managers, officials, and propris, except farm Clerical and kindred workers	98.6 98.9	54,837 41,385	52,452 39,702	628 368	618 492	127 124	514 435	498 264	7.1 5.3	7.2 5.5	7.4 4.3	7.0 5.6	3.9	4.0	3
Sales workers Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	98.8 98.1	43,657 130,168	41,835 123,961	447 1,117	379 1,447	167 370	421 1,517	408 1,756	5.6	5.8	5.3	4.3	3.8 5.1	3.4 3.3	1 2
Operatives and kindred workers	98.3 95.7	168,262 27,302	160,504 25,357	1,355	1,304	549	2,103	2,447	16.8 21.7	17.1 22.1	13.1 15.9	16.4 14.8	11.3 16.8	11.9	10 14
Laborers, except farm and mine	90.2	67,261	58,884	1,291	874	85 595	509 2,176	741 3,441	3.5 8.7	3.5 8.1	3.2 15.2	3.8 9.9	2.6	4.0 17.0	20
No occupation and not reportedther in armed forces	91.3 92.9	61,645 17,867	54,611 16,102	975 182	1,050	472 211	2,035 379	2,502 781	8.0 2.3	7.5 2.2	11.5	11.9 2.4	14.4	15.9	15
White infants	97.1	681,237	644,136	6,550	7,843	2,649	9,374	10,685	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
vilian fatherProfessional, technical, and kindred workers	97.2 98.5	664,435 55,135	628,840 52,863	6,399 444	7,648	2,466	9,055	10,027	97.5	97.6	97.7	97.5	93.1	96.6	93
Farm workers	96.2	84,434	79,084	1,006	718	166 298	578 1,318	366 1,607	8.1 12.4	8.2	6.8 15.4	9.2 14.3	6.3	6.2	15
Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers	98.4 98.9 <sub>~</sub>	53,640 39,232	51,394 37,748	592 318	599 473	118 102	479 371	458 220	7.9 5.8	8.0 5.9	9.0 4.9	7.6 6.0	4.5 3.9	5.1 4.0	4 2
Sales workers Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	98.6 98.1	42,967 124,394	41,223	432 1,023	373 1,397	161 339	398 1,377	380 1,511	6.3	6.4	6.6	4.8	6.1	4.2	3
Operatives and kindred workers	98.3 97.0	151,268	144,793	1,095	1,187	. 491	1,772	1,930	22.2	22.5	15.6 16.7	17.8 15.1	12.8 18.5	14.7 18.9	14
Laborers, except farm and mine	93.2	47,003	18,882 42,630	666	262 617	46 370	285 1,080	407 1,640	2.9 6.9	2.9 6.6	1.9	3.3 7.9	1.7	3.0	15
No occupation and not reportedther in armed forces	92.0 93.5	46,354 16,802	41,476 15,296	697 151	901 195	375 183	1,397 319	1,508 658	6.8 2.5	6.5 2.4	10.6	11.5 2.5	14.2 6.9	14.9	14
Nonwhite infants	91.4	94,578	81,824	1,957	987	623	3,399	5,788	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
vilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	91.5 94.3	93,513 1,287	81,018 1,149	1,926 22	970 14	595 18	3,339	5,665	98.9	99.0	98.4	98.3	95.5	98.2	97
Farm workers	91.0	22,575	19,456	397	266	90	38 750	46 1,616	23.9	1.4 23.8	20.3	1.4 27.0	2.9 14.4	22.1	27
Clerical and kindred workers	93.4 95.9	1,197 2,153	1,058	36 50	19 19	9 22	35 64	40 44	1.3 2.3	1.3	1.8	1.9	1.4 3.5	1.0	- (
Sales workers Craftsman, foremen, and kindred workers	93.6 95.4	690 5,774	61.2 5,21.4	15 94	6. 50	6 31	23 140	28 245	0.7 6.1	0.7 6.4	0.8 4.8	0.6 5.1	1.0	0.7	
Operatives and kindred workers	97.7 93.8	16,994 7,294	15,711 6,475	260 149	117 73	58 39	331 224	517 334	18.0	19.2	13.3	11.9	9.3	9.7	} 8
Laborers, except farm and mine No occupation and not reported	84.8 90.7	20,258	16,254	625	257	225	1,096	1,801	7.7 21.4	7.9	7.6 31.9	7.4 26.0	6.3 36.1	32.2	3
ther in armed forces	79.9	15,291 1,065	13,135 806	278 31	149 17	97 28	638 60	994 123	16.2	16.1	14.2	15.1 1.7	15.6 4.5	18.8	17
Urban															
Total infants	96.8	439,115	412,962	4,925	4,634	1,900	6,108	8,586	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
vilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	97.0 98.8	428,483 41,086	403,423 39,411	4,811 356	4,495 507	1,755	5,884	8,115	97.6	97.7	97.7	97.0	92.4	96.3	94
Farm workers	92.3	4,967	4,453	25	57	136 70	403 69	273 293	9.4	9.5	7.2 0.5	10.9	7.2	6.6	3
Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers	98.4 98.6	39,224 31,691	37,484 30,355	487 292	445 396	88 110	362 353	358 185	8.9 7.2	9.1 7.4	9.9 5.9	9.6 8.5	4.6 5.8	5.9 5.8	:
Sales workers Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	98.6 98.0	32,564 82,064	31,191 78,105	376 792	258 914	119 236	310 874	310 1,143	7.4 18.7	7.6 18.9	7.6 16.1	5.6 19.7	6.3 12.4	5.1 14.3	1
Operatives and kindred workers	98.5 95.3	100,591 21,555	96,181 19,946	875 227	683 282	323 70	1,032	1,497	22.9	23.3	17.8	14.7	17.0	16.9	1'
Laborers, except farm and mine	90.3	37,146	32,562	833	338	334	1,065	2,014	4.9 8.5	4.8 7.9	4.6 16.9	6.1 7.3	3.7 17.6	17.4	2
No occupation and not reportedther in armed forces	92.4 92.4	37,595 10,632	33,735 9,539	548 114	615 139	269 145	1,008	1,420 471	8.6 2.4	8.2 2.3	11.1 2.3	13.3 3.0	14.2 7.6	16.5 3.7	16
White infants	97.5	387,369	368,090	3,701	4,094	1,470	4,313	5,701	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
vilian fatherProfessional, technical, and kindred workers	97.6 98.3	377,541 40,090	359,158 38,512	3,613 338	3,969 497	1,352 124	4,132 378	5,317 241	97.5 10.3	97.6 10.5	97.6 9.1	96.9 12.1	92.0 8.4	95.8	92
Farm workers	94.9 98.3	3,995	3,676	18	32	56 79	40	173	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.8	3.8	8.8	
Clerical and kindred workers	98.3	29,718	36,608 28,562	452 244	426 381	89	335 292	325 150	9.9 7.7	9.9 7.8	12.2 6.6	10.4 9.3	5.4 6.1	7.8 6.8	
Sales workers Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	98.1 97.9	31,994 77,746	30,684 74,210	363 718	253 876	116 207	291 772	287 963	8.3 20.1	8.3 20.2	9.8 19.4	6.2 21.4	7.9	6.7	1
Operatives and kindred workers	98.0 96.9	88,749 15,296	85,328 14,408	653 93	594 219	274 35	781 207	1,119 334	22.9	23.2 3.9	17.6 2.5	14.5 5.3	18.6 2.4	18.1	1
Laborers, except farm and mine No occupation and not reported	95.4 94.9	24,350 27,378	22,337 24,833	377 357	173 518	178 194	394 642	891 834	6.3	6.1	10.2	4.2	12.1	9.1	] 1:
ther in armed forces	94.5	9,828	8,932	88	125	118	181	384	7.1 2.5	6.7 2.4	2.4	12.7 3.1	13.2	14.9	14
Nonwhite infants	91.8	51,746	44,872	1,224	540	430	1,795	2,885	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
vilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	91.9 94.1	50,942 996	44,265 899	1,198	526 10	403 12	1,752 25	2,798 32	98.4 1.9	98.6	97.9 1.5	97.4 1.9	93.7	97.6 1.4	97
Farm workers Managers, officials, and propr's.except farm	86.9 94.0	972 999	777 876	7 35	. 25	14	29 27	120	1.9	1.7	0.6	4.6	3.3	1.6	
Clerical and kindred workers	94.6 93.3	1,973	1,793	48	1.5	21	61	35	3.8	4.0	3.9	2.8	2.1 4.9	1.5 3.4	
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	94.0	570 4,318	507 3,895	13 74	5 38	3 29	19 102	23 180	1.1 8.3	1.1 8.7	1.1 6.0	0. <del>9</del> 7.0	0.7 6.7	1.1 5.7	
Operatives and kindred workers Service workers, including private household	95.2 93.0	11,842 6,259	10,853 5,538	222 134	89 63	49 35	251 201	378 288	22.9 12.1	24.2 12.3	18.1 10.9	16.5	11.4	14.0	12
Laborers, except farm and mine No occupation and not reported	87.4 91.7	12,796	10,225	456 191	165	156 75	671 366	1,123	24.7	22.8	37.3	30.6	36.3	37.4	38
ther in armed forces	83.8	804	607	26	14	27	43	87	19.7		15.6 2.1	18.0 2.6	17.4	20.4	2

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

Table 8.—MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

				N	umber						Percent	distribu	tion		
	Adjusted estimate		Defin							Defin	itely				Enumer-
Area, color, and major occupation group of father	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	ation status uncer- tain
UNITED STATESCon.					-										
Rural Nonfarm															1
Total infants	96.7	202,920	191,419	1,631	2,223	713	3,279	3,655	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	94.2	96.4	92.9
ivilian father	96.8 98.0	196,243	185,286 13,098	1,563 98	2,165 192	672 31	3,161 166	3,396 120	96.7 6.8	96.8 6.8	95.8	97.4 8.6	4.3	5.1	3.3
Farm Workers	97.5 98.7	10,784	10,255	93 111	89 147	47 34	205 123	95 99	5.3 6.8	5.4 6.9	5.7 6.8	6.6	6.6 4.8	6.3 3.8 1.9	2.7
Clerical and kindred workers	99.0 98.6	8,532 9,782	8,241 9,404	67 61	85 101	14 42	63 93	62 81	4.8	4.3 4.9	4.1 3.7	3.8 4.5	2.0 5.9	2.8	2.2
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	98.3 98.2	40,245 54,513	38,570 52,221	245 304	420 452	93 138	444 718	473 680	19.8 26.9	20.1 27.3	15.0 18.6	18.9	13.0 19.4	21.9	12.9 18.6
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	97.1 91.6	4,937 22,959	4,675	34 281	41 353	12 153	73 688	102 972	2.4	10.7	2.1	1.8	1.7 21.5	2.2	26.6
No occupation and not reportedather in armed forces	90.7 94.2	17,038 6,677	15,076 6,133	269 68	285 58	108	588 118	712 259	8.4	7.9 3.2	16.5 4.2	12.8	15.1 5.8	17.9 3.6	19.5 7.1
White infants	97.1	185,276	175,814	1,375	2,075	638	2,704	2,670	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ivilian father	97.2	178,825	169,855	1,312	2,020	598	2,597	2,443	96.5	96.6	95.4	97.3 9.1	93.7 4.1	96.0 5.8	91.5
Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers	98.0 97.8	13,493 8,643	12,917 8,256	94 65	188	26 45	1.58 1.48	110	7.3 4.7	7.3 4.7	6.8	. 3.3	7.1	5.5	2.2
Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers	98.6 99.0	13,586 8,378	13,081 8,104	110 65	147 81	34 13	119 61	95 54	7.3 4.5	7.4 4.6	8.0 4.7	7.1 3.9	5.3 2.0	2.3	3.6
Sales workers	98.5 98.2	9,683	9,318 37,516	59 229	100 408	41 93	89 421	76 423	5.2 21.1	5.3 21.3	4.3 16.7	4.8 19.7	14.6		2.8 15.8
Operatives and kindred workers Service workers, including private household	98.1 97.7	50,492 4,074	48,400 3,889	283 24	432 32	131	664 55	582 64	27.3 2.2	27.5 2.2	20.6	20.8	20.5	2.0	21.8
Laborers, except farm and mine No occupation and not reported	93.4 91.4	17,408	15,890	160 223	308 255	111 94	421 461	518 461	9.4 7.5	9.0 7.1	11.6 16.2	14.8	17.4	15.6 17.0	19.4 17.3
ather in armed forces	94.6	6,451	5,959	63	55	40	107	227	3.5	3.4	4.6	2.7	6.3	4.0	8.5
Nonwhite infants	92.2	17,644	15,605	256	148	75	575	985	100.0	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0
ivilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	92.4	17,418 212	15,431 181	251 4	145 4	74	564 8	953 10	98.7 1.2	98.9 1.2	98.0	:::		98.1	96.8
Farm workers. Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm	97.3	2,141 162	1,999 153	28 1	20	2	57 4	35 4	12.1	12.8	10.9			9.9	
Clerical and kindred workers		154 99	137 86	2	4 1	1	2	8	0.9	0.9	8.0			0.3	0.8
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	95.2 99.1	1,155 4,021	1,054 3,821	16 21	12· 20		23 54	50 98	6.5 22.8	6,8 24.5	6.3 8.2		:::	4.0 9.4	5.I 9.9
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	95.0 86.8	863 5,551	786 4.622	10 121	9 45	2 42	18 267	38 454	4.9 31.5	5.0 29.6	3.9 47.3	:::	:::	3.1	3.9 46.1
No occupation and not reported	88.3	3,060 226	2,592 174	46 5	30 3	. 14	127 11	251 32	17.3 1.3	16.6 1.1	18.0 2.0			22.1	25,5
Rural Farm											i ·				
Total infants	94.7	133,780	121,579	1,951	1,973	659	3,386	4,232	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Civilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	94.8 96.0	133,222	121,149	1,951	1,958	634	3,349	4,181	99.6	99.6	100.0	99.2	96.2		98.8
Farm workers	95.7 96.9	1,631 91,258	1,503 83,832	1,285	1,241	17 271	1,794	2,835	1.2 68.2	1.2 69.0	0.6 65.9	62.9	2.6	53.0	
Clerical and kindred workers	99.2	1,865	1,734	30 9	26 11	5	29 19	41 17	1.4 0.9	0.9	1.5	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.4
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	98.6 96.6	1,311 7,859	1,240 7,286	10 80	20 113	6 41	18 199	17 140	1.0 5.9	1.0 6.0	0.5 4.1	1.0 5.7	0.9		3.3
Service workers, including private household	95.9 94.7	13,158 810	12,102 736	176 14	169 12	88	353 28	270	9.8 0.6	10.0	9.0	8.6	13.4	10.4	6.4
Laborers, except farm and mine No occupation and not reported	84.6 86.2	7,156 7,012	5,810	177 158	183 150	108 95	423 439	455 370	5.3 5.2	4.8	9.1 8.1	9.3 7.6	16.4	12.5	10.8
Tather in armed forces	80.3	558	430	•••	15	25	37	51	0.4	0.4		0.8	3.8		1.2
White infantsivilian father	95.8	108,592	100,232 99,825	1,474	1,674	541 516	2,357	2,314	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0
Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers	95.9 97.1	1,555	1,437	12	1,659	16	2,326 42	2,267 15	99.5 1.4	99.6 1.4.	100.0	99.1	95.4 3.0		98.0
Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers	96.8	1,829	67,160 1,705	923 30	1,020 26	197 5	1,130 25	1,374 38	66.1 1.7	67.0 1.7	62.6	60.9	36,4		59.4
Sales workers Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers	98.3	1,136	1,082	10	11 20	4	18 18	16 17	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.7	0.7	8.0	0.7
Operatives and kindred workers Service workers, including private household	95.5 95.1	7,556 12,020	7,019	76 159	113 161	39 86	184 327	125 229	7.0 11.1	7.0	5.2	6.8 9.6	7.2	7.8	5.4
Laborers, except farm and mine No occupation and not reported	87.1	638 5,229	585 4,387	9 129	11 136	81.	23 265	231	0.6 4.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.2	1.0	0.4
Sather in armed forces	86.4 80.6	5,009 525	4,170	117	128 15	87 25	294 31	213	4.6 0.5	4.2	7.9	7.6	16.1	12.5	9.2
Nonwhite infants	89.9	25,188	21,347	477	299	118	1,029	1,918	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	100.0	100.0
Professional, technical, and kindred workers	90.0	25,155 76	21,324	477	299	118	1,023	1,914	99.9	99.9	100.0	100.0		99.4	99.8
Managers, officials, and proprise except form	91.0	19,454 36	16,672	362	221	74	664	1,461	77.2	78.1	75.9	73.9		0.5 64.5	76.2
Clerical and kindred workers		26 20	29 24	:::	•••		1	3	0.1 0.1	0.1		:::		0.4	0.:
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	93.4	303	267	4	•••	2	15	15	0.1	0.1 1.3	0.8			1.5	0.8
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	97.4	1,138	1,044	17 5	8	2	26 5	41 8	4.5 0.7	4.9	3.6	2.7 0.3		2.5	2.:
No occupation and not reported	78.4 86.4	1,927 2,003	1,423	48 41	47 22	27 8	158 145	224 157	7.7 8.0	6.7	10.1	15.7		15.4	11.7
		33	23			tatus; s	. 6	4						0.6	

A Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

## Table 8.—MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

				mple of unit	umber							distribu			
Area, color, and major occupation group	Adjusted estimate of			nitely erated	Prob-			Faumon		Defin enume	itely rated	Doob			The same of
of father	percent enumer- ated1	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
NORTH AND WEST													1		
Total infants	97.3	516,463	489,463	5,072	5,722	1,912	6,715	7,579	_100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Civilian father.  Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers.	97.4 98.2 97.4 98.3 98.3	507,047 43,603 51,243 40,913 31,783	480,956 41,863 48,501 39,166 30,565	4, 956 337 525 489 264	5,611 587 666 470 384	1,796 127 162 90 98	6,535 440 614 369 317	7,193 249 775 329 155	98.2 8.4 9.9 7.9 6.2	98.3 8.6 9.9 8.0 6.2	97.7 6.6 10.4 9.6	98.1 10.3 11.6 8.2 6.7	93.9 6.6 8.5 4.7 5.1	97.3 6.6 9.1 5.5	94.9 3.3 10.2 4.3
Sales workers. Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers. Operatives and kindred workers. Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine. No occupation and not reported. Father in armed forces.	98.1 98.1 97.8 96.4 94.0 94.8	32,527 94,670 116,730 19,350 41,201 35,027 9,416	31,215 90,785 111,989 18,081 36,947 31,844 8,507	335 760 885 175 721 465 116	263 1,046 830 256 517 592 111	126 211 349 60 332 241 116	308 939 1,242 307 1,104 895 180	280 929 1,435 471 1,580 990 386	6.3 18.3 22.6 3.7 8.0 6.8 1.8	6.4 18.5 22.9 3.7 7.5 6.5	5.2 6.6 15.0 17.4 3.5 14.2 9.2 2.3	4.6 18.3 14.5 4.5 9.0 10.3 1.9	6.6 11.0 18.3 3.1 17.4 12.6 6.1	4.7 4.6 14.0 18.5 4.6 16.4 13.3 2.7	2.0 3.7 12.3 18.9 6.2 20.8 13.1 5.1
White infants	97.6	486,026	463,103	4,317	5,343	1,674	5,629	5,960	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0
civilian father.  Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm clerical and kindred workers.  Sales workers.  Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers.  Operatives and kindred workers.  Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine.  No occupation and not reported.  Father in armed forces.	97.7 98.3 97.6 98.4 98.5 98.1 98.2 98.0 97.1 95.4 95.2 94.7	477,108 43,010 49,739 40,179 30,399 32,097 92,098 109,709 16,046 34,483 29,348 8,918	454,984 41,326 47,227 38,531 29,307 30,834 88,471 105,649 15,171 31,665 26,803 8,119	4,221 322 506 466 229 323 712 733 89 479 362 96	5,240 578 630 457 373 261 1,009 759 223 409 541 103	1,571 120 150 81 87 125 199 317 36 247 209 103	5,469 425 567 343 269 297 869 1,069 217 689 724 160	5,623 239 659 301 134 257 838 1,182 310 994 709 337	98.2 8.9 10.2 8.3 6.6 18.9 22.6 3.3 7.1 6.1	98.2 8.9 10.2 8.3 6.7 19.1 22.8 3.3 6.8 5.8	97.8 7.5 11.7 10.8 5.3 7.5 16.5 17.0 2.1 11.1 8.4 2.2	98.1 10.8 11.8 8.6 7.0 4.9 14.2 7.7 10.1	93.8 7.2 9.0 4.8 5.2 7.5 11.9 18.9 2.2 14.8 12.5 6.2	97.2 7.6 10.1 6.1 4.8 5.3 15.4 19.0 3.9 12.2 12.9	94.3 4.0 11.1 5.1 2.2 4.3 14.1 19.8 5.2 16.7 11.9
Nonwhite infants	92.0 92.1	30,437 29,939	26,360 25,972	755 735	379 371	238	1,086	1,619	100.0 98.4	100.0 98.5	100.0 97.4	97.9	100.0	100.0	100.0 97.0
Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm clerical and kindred workers. Sales workers. Craftemen, foremen, and kindred workers. Operatives and kindred workers. Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine. No occupation and not reported. Father in armed forces.	95.1 90.7 92.6 94.7 93.5 94.3 94.6 93.2 86.6 93.0 86.7	593 1,504 734 1,384 430 2,572 7,021 3,304 6,718 5,679 498	537 1,274 635 1,258 381 2,314 6,340 2,910 5,282 5,041 388	15 19 23 35 12 48 152 86 242 103 20	9 36 13 11 2 37 71 33 108 51 8	7 12 9 11 12 32 24 85 32 13	15 47 26 48 11 70 173 90 415 171 20	10 116 28 21 23 91 253 161 586 281 49	1.9 4.9 2.4 4.5 1.4 8.5 23.1 10.9 22.1 18.7 1.6	2.0 4.8 2.4 4.8 1.4 8.8 24.1 11.0 20.0 19.1 1.5	2.0 2.5 3.0 4.6 1.6 6.4 20.1 11.4 32.1 13.6 2.6	2.4 9.5 3.4 2.9 0.5 9.8 18.7 28.5 13.5 2.1	2.9 5.0 3.8 4.6 0.4 5.0 13.4 10.1 35.7 13.4 5.5	1.4 4.3 2.4 4.4 1.0 6.4 15.9 8.3 38.2 15.7	0.6 7.2 1.7 1.3 1.4 5.6 15.6 9.9 36.2 17.4
Urban		,													
Total infants.  Civilian father.  Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers.  Sales workers.  Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers.  Operatives and kindred workers.  Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine.  No occupation and not reported.  Father in armed forces.	97.3 97.4 98.4 94.2 98.3 98.2 98.1 97.8 96.2 94.0 95.1 93.9	328,398 322,402 32,604 2,813 30,246 25,036 25,014 63,419 77,989 15,714 25,053 24,514 5,996	311,232 305,848 31,373 2,549 28,911 24,037 24,001 60,780 74,804 14,607 22,430 22,356 5,384	3,465 3,390 255 13 397 212 285 584 647 152 521 324 75	3,435 3,354 424 35 354 315 172 700 508 226 216 404 81	1,235 1,141 99 40 66 84 101 118 235 54 189 155 94	4,121 4,005 297 35 280 270 244 606 798 253 640 582 116	4,910 4,664 156 141 238 118 211 631 997 422 1,057 693 246	98.2 9.9 0.9 9.2 7.6 19.3 23.7 4.8 7.5 1.8	98.3 10.1 0.8 9.3 7.7 7.7 19.5 24.0 4.7 7.2 7.2	97.8 7.4 0.4 11.5 6.1 8.2 16.9 18.7 4.4 15.0 9.4 2.2	97.6 12.3 1.0 10.3 9.2 5.0 20.4 14.8 6.6 6.3 11.8 2.4	92.4 8.0 3.2 5.3 6.8 8.2 9.6 19.0 4.4 15.3 12.6 7.6	97.2 7.2 0.8 6.8 6.6 5.9 14.7 19.4 6.1 15.5 14.1 2.8	95.0 95.0 3.2 2.9 4.8 2.4 4.3 12.9 20.3 8.6 21.5 14.1 5.0
Rural Nonfarm				-								-			
Total infants.  Civilian father.  Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers. Sales workers. Craftsem, foremen, and kindred workers. Operatives and kindred workers. Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine. No occupation and not reported. Father in armed forces.	97.5 97.6 97.7 98.4 98.7 99.1 98.3 98.6 98.0 93.3 93.1	125,110 121,923 10,038 5,792 9,587 6,031 6,778 26,795 32,380 3,219 12,977 8,326 3,187	119,205 116,280 9,588 5,572 9,248 5,841 6,510 25,819 31,207 3,083 11,834 7,578 2,925	900 859 75 38 76 47 50 136 179 20 124 114 41	1,394 1,369 143 51 105 64 83 286 249 24 220 144 25	389 372 21 17 20 14 21 69 74 5 86 45 17	1,563 1,503 127 80 69 37 57 242 327 40 306 218 60	1,659 1,540 84 34 69 28 57 243 344 47 407 227 119	100.0 97.5 8.0 4.6 7.7 4.8 5.4 21.4 25.9 2.6 10.4 6.7 2.5	100.0 97.5 8.0 4.7 7.8 4.9 5.5 21.7 26.2 2.6 9.9 6.4 2.5	100.0 95.4 8.3 4.2 8.4 5.2 5.6 15.1 19.9 2.2 13.8 12.7 4.6	98.2 10.3 3.7 7.5 4.6 6.0 20.5 17.9 1.7 15.8 10.3 1.8	95.6 5.4 4.4 5.1 3.6 5.4 17.7 19.0 1.3 22.1 11.6 4.4	100.0 96.2 8.1 5.1 4.4 2.4 3.6 15.5 20.9 2.6 19.6 13.9 3.8	100.0 92.8 5.1 2.0 4.2 1.7 3.4 14.6 20.7 2.8 24.5 13.7 7.2
Rural Farm Total infants	96.8	62,955	59,026	707	893	288	ופח נ	1 010	100.0	700.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.7
Civilian father.  Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers.  Sales workers.  Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers.  Operatives and kindred workers.  Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine.  No occupation and not reported.  Father in armed forces.	96.8 96.9 97.8 96.3 99.0 97.0 97.0 97.0 96.9 87.4 90.2 87.1	62,955 62,722 961 42,638 1,080 716 735 4,456 6,361 417 3,171 2,187 233	59,026 58,828 902 40,380 1,007 687 704 4,186 5,978 391 2,683 1,910 198	707 707 77 474 16 5  40 59 3 76 27	888 20 580 11 5 8 60 73 6 81 44 5	283 7 105 4  4 24 40 1 57 41	1,031 1,027 16 499 20 10 7 91 117 14 158 95	1,010 989 9 600 22 9 12 55 94 2 116 70 21	99.6 1.5 67.7 1.7 1.1 1.2 7.1 10.1 0.7 5.0 3.5	99.7 1.5 68.4 1.7 1.2 7.1 10.1 0.7 4.5 3.2 0.3	100.0 100.0 1.0 67.0 2.3 0.7  5.7 8.3 0.4 10.7 3.8	99.4 2.2 64.9 1.2 0.6 0.9 6.7 8.2 0.7 9.1 4.9	98.3 2.4 36.5 1.4  1.4 8.3 13.9 0.3 19.8 14.2	99.6 1.6 48.4 1.9 1.0 0.7 8.8 11.3 1.4 15.3 9.2	97.9 0.9 59.4 2.2 0.9 1.2 5.4 9.3 0.2 11.5 6.9 2.1

<sup>1</sup> Based on total birth records and appropriate proportions of infants in each enumeration status; see text.

## Table 8.—MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

				N	umber						Percent	distribu	tion	·	
	Adjusted estimate		Defin							Defin enume					Elegrane
Area, color, and major occupation group of father	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
SOUTH												-			
Total infants	94.7	259,352	236,497	3,435	3,108	1,360	6,058	8,894	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ivilian fatherProfessional, technical, and kindred workers	94.8 98.4	250,901 12,819	228,902 12,149	3,369 129	3,007 145	1,265 57	5,859 176	8,499 163	96.7 4.9	96.8 5.1	98.1 3.8	96.8 4.7	93.0 4.2	96.7	95.6 1.8
Farm workers	93.2 99.1	55,766 13,924	50,039 13,286	878 139	721 148	226 37	1,454 145	2,448 169	21.5 5.4	21.2 5.6	25.6 4.0	23.2 4.8	16.6 2.7	24.0	27.
Clerical and kindred workers	98.8 99.1	9,602	9,137 10,620	104 112	108 116	26 41	118 113	109 128	3.7 4.3	3.9 4.5	3.0	3.5 3.7	1.9 3.0	1.9	1.
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	97.1 97.8	35,498 51,532	33,176 48,515	357 470	401 474	1,59 200	578 861	827 1,012	13.7 19.9	14.0 20.5	10.4 13.7	12.9 15.3	11.7	9.5	9. 11.
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	95.0 87.4	7,952 26,060	7,276	100 570	79 357	25 263	202	270 1,861	3.1	3.1 9.3	2.9 16.6	2.5	1.8	3.3	3. 20.
No occupation and not reported	88.8 93.3	26,618 8,451	22,767 7,595	510 66	458 101	231 95	1,140 199	1,512 395	10.3 3.3	9.6 3.2	14.8 1.9	14.7 3.2	17.0	18.8	17.
White infants	95.9	195,211	181,033	2,233	2,500	975	3,745	4,725	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
ivilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	96.0 98.4	187,327 12,125	173,856 11,537	2,178 122	2,408 140	895 46	3,586 153	4,404 127	96.0 6.2	96.0 6.4	97.5 5.5	96.3 5.6	91.8 4.7	95.8 4.1	93. 2.
Farm workers	94.9 98.8	34,695 13,461	31,857 12,863	500 126	. 491 142	148 37	751 136	948 157	17.8 6.9	17.6 7.1	22.4 5.6	19.6 5.7	15.2 3.8	20.1	20. 3.
Clerical and kindred workers	98.8 98.8	8,833	8,441 10,389	89 109	100 112	15 36	102 101	86 123	4.5 5.6	4.7 5.7	4.0 4.9	4.0	1.5	2.7	1. 2.
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	96.9 97.4	32,296 41,559	30,276 39,144	311 362	388 428	140 174	508 . 703	673 748	16.5 21.3	16.7 21.6	13.9 16.2	15.5 17.1	14.4 17.8	13.6 18.8	14. 15.
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	96.8 90.6	3,962 12,520	3,711 10,965	37 187	· 208	10 123	68 391	97 646	2.0 6.4	2.0 6.1	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.8	2. 13.
No occupation and not reportedather in armed forces	89.2 94.1	17,006 7,884	14,673 7,177	335 55	360 92	166 80	673 159	799 321	8.7 4.0	8.1 4.0	15.0 2.5	14.4	17.0	18.0	16. 6.
Nonwhite infants	91.1	64,141	55,464	1,202	608	385	2,313	4,169	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
vilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	91.2 92.9	63,574 694	55,046 612	1,191	599 5	370 11	2,273 23	4,095 36	99.1 1.1	99.2 1.1	99.1 0.6	98.5	96.1	98.3	98. 0.
Farm workers	90.9 96.3	21,071	18,182	378 13	230 6	78	703	1,500 12	32.9 0.7	32.8	31.4	37.8	20.3	30.4	36.
Clerical and kindred workers	95.3 93.5	769 260	696 231	15	8 4	11 5	16 12	23	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.0	2.9	0.4	0.
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	95.4 99.0	3,202 9,973	2,900 9,371	46 108	13 46	19 26	70	154 264	5.0 15.5	0.4 5.2	0.2 3.8 9.0	2.1	1.3	3.0	0. 3.
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	94.1 85.4	3,990 13,540	3,565	63	40 149	15 140	134	173	6.2	16.9 6.4 19.8	5.2	7.6 6.6	3.9	5.8	4.
No occupation and not reportedather in armed forces	88.7 77.6	9,612 567	8,094 418	175	98	65 15	467 40	713	15.0	14.6	31.9 14.6 0.9	24.5 16.1 1.5	36.4 16.9 3.9	29.4 20.2 1.7	29. 17.
Urban															
Total infants	95.3	110,717	101,730	1,460	1,199	665	1,987	3,676	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
ivilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	95.4 98.3	106,081	97,575 8,038	1,421 101	1,141 83	614 37	1,879 106	3,451 117	95.8 7.7	95.9 7.9	97.3 6.9	95.2	92.3	94.6	93.
Farm workers	91.7 99.1	2,154 8,978	1,904 8,573	12 90	22 91	30 22	34 82	152	1.9	1.9	0.8	1.8	4.5	5.3 1.7	3 4
Cierical and kindred workers	98.5 98.8	6,655 7,550	-6,318 7,190	80 91	81 86	26	83 66	67 99	6.0	6.2 7.1	5.5 6.2		3.9	4.1	1
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	96.4 98.1	18,645 22,602	17,325 21,377	208 228	214 175	118 88	268 234	51.2 500	16.8	17.0	14.2 15.6		17.7	13.5	13
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	94.8 86.9	5,841 12,093	5,339 10,132	75 312	56 122	16 145	155 425	200 957	5.3	5.2	5.1 21.4	4.7	2.4		13
No occupation and not reported	90.3 93.0	13,081 4,636	11,379 4,155	224 39	211 58	114 51	426 108	727 225	11.8	11.1	15.3	10.2 17.6 4.8	21.8 17.1 7.7	21.4 21.4 5.4	26 19 6
White infants	96.5	84,788	79,209	929	973	434	1,121	2,122	100.0	100.0	100.0	}		1	100
ivilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	96.6 98.3	80,544 8,019	75,339 7,627	898 98	921 79	397 29	1,041	1,948	95.0 9.5	95.1 9.6	96.7	94.7	91.5	92.9	91
Farm workers Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm	94.5 98.9	1,412 8,646	1,291 8,274	78	13 85	23 22	15 74	63 113	1.7	1.6	10.5	1.3		1.3	3
Clerical and kindred workers	98.5 98.5	5,999 7,364	5,723 7,025	65 90	76 83	15	68 56	52 95	7.1	7.2	8.4 7.0	7.8			5 2
Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers Operatives and kindred workers	96.2 98.0	16,562 17,128	15,429 16,247	177 154	210 I51	101	230 148	415 358	19.5	8.9 19.5	9.7 19.1	8.5		20.5	19
Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine	97.2 91.3	2,656 4,954	2,498 4, <b>3</b> 78	22	26 39	5 51	40 105	65	20.2 3.1	20.5 3.2	16.6 2.4	2.7	1.2	3.6	
No occupation and not reportedather in armed forces	90.6 94.2	7,804 4,244	6,847 3,870	134	1.59 52	66 37	21.2	308 386 174	5.8 9.2 5.0	5.5 8.6 4.9	7.9 14.4		11.8	18.9	18
Nonwhite infants	91.5	25,929	22,521	531	226	231	866				3.3				
ivilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers	91.7 93.5	25,537	22,236	523	220	217	, 838	1,554	100.0	98.7	100.0				-
Managers, officials and propple except C	87.1 94.9	463 742	411 613	5	4	8 7	13 19	24 89	1.8	1.8	0.6	1.8	3.5	1.5	1
Cierical and kindred workers	95.6 93.5	332 656	299 595	12 15	6 5	11	8 15	7 15	1.3 2.5	1.3	2.3	2.7		0.9	
Operatives and kindred workers	95.9 98.7	186 2,083	165 1,896	31	3 4	17	10 38	97	0.7 8.0	0.7	0.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1 0
Service Workers, including private household Laborers, except form and mine	94.0	5,474 3,185	5,130 2,841	74 53	24 30	18 11	86 115	142 135	21.1 12.3	22.8	13.9	10.6	7.8	9.9	9
No occupation and not reportedather in armed forces	84.9 90.5	7,139 5,277	5,754 4,532	239 90	83 52	94 48	320 214	649 341	27.5 20.4	25.5	45.0 16.9	36.7	40.7	37.0	41
Based on total birth records and appropris	76.5	392	285	8	. 6	14	. 28	51	1.5	1.3	1.5				

### Table 8.—MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER: COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

					Number						Percent	distrib	rtion		
	Adjusted estimate			itely							nitely				<u> </u>
Area, color, and major occupation group of father	of percent enumer- ated <sup>1</sup>	Total birth records	Matched with infent card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain	Total birth records	Matched, with infant card	Found on search of popu- lation schedule	Prob- ably enu- mer- ated	Prob- ably missed	Defi- nitely missed	Enumer- ation status uncer- tain
SOUTHCon.	-													ļ. 	
Rural Nonfarm															
Total infants	95.5	77,810	72,214	731	829	, 324	1,716	1,996	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Civilian father.  Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers.  Sales workers.  Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers.  Operatives and kindred workers.  Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine.  No occupation and not reported.  Father in armed forces.	95.5 98.5 98.6 98.7 99.1 97.5 97.5 89.4 88.5 94.6	74,320 3,667 4,992 4,161 2,501 3,004 13,450 22,133 1,718 9,982 8,712 3,490	69,006 3,510 4,683 3,986 2,400 2,894 12,751 21,014 1,592 8,678 7,498 3,208	704 23 55 35 20 11 109 125 14 157 155 27	796 49 38 42 21 18 134 203 17 133 141 33	300 10 30 14 .:1 24 64 7 67 63 24	1,658 39 125 54 26 36 202 391 33 382 370 58	1,856 36 61 30 34 24 230 336 55 565 485 140	95.5 4.7 6.4 5.2 3.9 17.3 28.4 2.8 11.5	95.6 4.9 6.5 5.5 3.3 4.0 17.7 29.1 2.2 12.0 10.4	96.3 3.1 7.5 4.8 2.7 1.5 14.9 17.1 1.9 21.5 21.2 3.7	96.0 5.9 4.6 5.1 2.5 2.2 16.2 24.5 2.1 16.0 17.0 4.0	92.6 3.1 9.3 4.3 6.5 7.4 19.8 2.2 20.7 19.4 7.4	96.6 2.3 7.3 3.1 1.5 2.1 11.8 22.8 1.9 22.3 21.6 3.4	93. 1. 3. 1. 1. 1. 11. 16. 2.8 28. 24.
White infants	96.2	63,492	59,561	517	718	274	1,234	1,188	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Civilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers Sales workers Craftemen, foremen, and kindred workers. Operatives and kindred workers. Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine No occupation and not reported. Father in armed forces	96.3 98.6 96.7 98.3 98.7 99.0 97.4 96.3 91.8 89.5 95.0	60,153 3,503 3,247 4,057 2,409 2,950 12,600 18,705 1,068 5,364 6,250 3,339	56,469 3,368 3,062 3,886 2,318 2,846 11,963 17,751 1,003 4,801 5,451 3,092	493 49 33 34 20 9 98 107 8 54 111 24	688 48 25 42 18 17 125 189 8 101 115 30	251 8 29 14  21 24 58 5 39 53 23	1,184 32 70 53 26 34 183 345 19 164 258 50	1,068 28 28 28 27 23 187 255 25 205 262 120	94.7 5.1 5.1 6.8 4.6 19.5 18.4 9.5 18.8 9.5 18.8 9.5	94.8 5.7 5.1 63.8 20.8 20.8 18.7 89.2	95.4 3.7 6.4 6.6 3.9 1.7 19.0 20.7 1.5 10.4 21.5	95.8 6.7 3.5 5.8 2.5 2.4 26.3 1.1 14.1 16.0 4.2	91.6 2.9 10.6 5.1 7.7 8.8 21.2 1.8 14.2 19.3 8.4	95.9 2.6 5.7 4.3 2.1 2.8 14.8 28.0 1.5 13.3 20.9 4.1	89.5 2.4 2.4 2.5 15.7 21.3 2.1 17.2 22.1
Nonwhite infants	92.1	14,318	12,653	214	111	50	482	808	100.0	100.0		• • • •		100.0	100.0
Civilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers. Sales workers. Craftemen, foremen, and kindred workers. Operatives and kindred workers. Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine. No occupation and not reported. Father in armed forces.	92.2 90.2 96.8 100.0 92.4 92.6 94.2 94.5 87.5 86.7 80.1	14,167 164 1,745 104 92 54 850 3,428 650 4,618 2,462 151	12,537 142 1,621 100 82 48 768 3,263 589 3,877 2,047 116	211 4 22 1  2 11 18 6 103 44 3	108 13  3 1 9 14 9 32 26 3	49 2 1  6 2 28 10	474 7 55 1  2 19 46 14 218 112 8	788 8 33 2 7 1 43 81 30 360 223 20	98.9 1.1 12.2 0.7 0.6 0.4 5.9 23.9 4.5 32.2 17.2	99.1 1.1 12.8 0.8 0.6 0.4 6.1 25.8 4.7 30.6 16.2				98.3 1.5 11.4 0.2  3.9 9.5 2.9 45.2 23.2 1.7	97.4 1.0 4.2 0.3 0.5 5.7 10.0 3.7 44.0 27.6
Rural Farm			1												
Total infants	92.9	70,825	62,553	1,244	1,080	373.	2,355	3,222	100.0	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Civilian father Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers. Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers. Sales workers. Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers. Cperatives and kindred workers. Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine. No occupation and not reported. Father in armed forces.	93.0 94.3 94.0 97.5 98.9 97.9 95.8 94.7 92.4 82.5 84.8 75.1	70,500 670 48,620 785 446 3,403 6,797 393 3,985 4,825 325	62,32I 601 43,452 727 419 536 3,100 6,124 345 3,127 3,890 232	1,244 5 811 14 4 10 40 117 111 101 131	1,070 13 661 15 6 12 53 96 6 102 106 10	351 10 166 1  2 17 48 2 51 54 20	2,322 31 1,295 9 11 108 236 14 265 344 33	3,192 10 2,235 19 8 5 85 176 15 339 300	99.5 0.9 68.6 1.1 0.6 0.8 4.8 9.6 5.3 6.8 0.5	99.6 1.0 69.5 1.2 0.7 0.9 5.0 9.8 0.6 5.0 6.2	100.0 100.0 100.0 65.2 1.1 10.9 10.9 10.5	99.1 1.2 61.2 1.4 0.6 1.1 4.9 8.9 0.6 9.4 9.8	94.6 2.7 44.7 0.3 0.5 4.6 12.9 0.5 13.7 14.6 5.4	98.6 1.3 55.0 0.4 0.5 4.6 30.0 0.6 11.3 14.6	99, 0.: 69.: 0.: 0.: 5.: 0.: 10.:
White infants	94.3	46,931	42,263	787	809	267	1,390	1,415	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Professional, technical, and kindred workers Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers.  Sales workers.  Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers.  Operatives and kindred workers.  Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine.  No occupation and not reported  Father in armed forces.	94.4 94.2 95.1 97.6 97.7 95.7 94.1 925.0 84.8	46,630 603 30,036 758 425 556 3,134 5,726 238 2,202 2,952 301	42,048 542 27,504 703 400 518 2,864 5,146 210 1,786 2,375 215	787 5 460 14 10 36 101 7 60 90	799 13 453 15 6 12 53 88 5 68 86 10	247 9 96 1  15 46  33 47 20	1,361 28 666 9 8 11 95 210 9 122 203 29	1,388 6 857 16 7 5 71 135 7 133	99.4 1.3 64.0 1.6 0.9 1.2 6.7 12.2 0.5 4.7 6.3	99.5 1.3 65.1 1.7 0.9 1.8 12.2 0.5 4.2 5.6	100.0 0.6 58.4 1.8 0.5 1.3 4.6 12.8 0.9 7.6	98.8 1.6 56.0 1.9 0.7 1.5 6.6 10.9 0.6 8.4 10.6	92.5 3.4 36.0 0.4  5.6 17.2  12.4 17.6 7.5	97.9 2.0 47.9 0.6 0.8 6.8 15.1 0.6 2.8	98. 0. 60. 1. 0. 0. 5. 9. 0.
Nonwhite infants	90.1	23,894	20,290	457	271	104	965	1,807	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	,,,	100.0	-100.0
Civilian father.  Professional, technical and kindred workers. Farm workers.  Managers, officials, and propr's, except farm Clerical and kindred workers. Sales workers. Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers. Operatives and kindred workers. Service workers, including private household Laborers, except farm and mine. No occupation and not reported. Father in armed forces.	90.1  91.0  92.9 96.8 	23,870 67 18,584 27 21 20 269 1,071 155 1,783 1,873	20,273 59 15,948 24 19 18 236 978 135 1,341 1,515	457  351  4 16 4 41 41	271 208  8 1 34 20	104 1 70  2 2 2 2 2 18 7	961 3 629  1 13 26 5 143 141 2	1,804 4 1,378 3 1  14 41 8 206 149 3	99.9 90.3 77.8 0.1 0.1 1.11 4.5 0.6 7.8 0.1	99.9 0.3 78.6 0.1 0.1 1.2 4.8 0.7 6.6 7.5	76.8  0.9 3.5 0.9 9.0 9.0	100.0 76.8  2.3 0.4 12.5 7.4		99.6 0.3 65.2 0.1 1.3 2.7 0.4 14.6 0.4	99.8 0.1 76.1 0.3 0.8 0.8 0.4 11.4

Table 9.—REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL: REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR INFANTS DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY MISSED

			Number				Per	cent distribu	tion	
Area, color, and reason for missing of infant	United States	North- east	North Central	South	West	United States	North- east	North Central	South	West
UNITED STATES										0
Total infants definitely or probably missed	16,045	3,337	3,334	7,418	1,956	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1.00.0
Parents enumerated	2,830 328	698 55	572 83	1,266 142	296 48	17.6 2.0	20.9 1.6	17.2 2.5	17.1	2.5 0.4
Infant temporarily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	127 919	54 243	34 184	32 394	7 98	0.8	1.6 7.3	1.0	0.4 5.3	5.0
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	110 516	12 129	26 86	51 249	21 52	3.2	0.4 3.9	0.8	0.7 3.4	2.7
Family forgot to report infant	229	45	24	135 12	25 4	1.4	1.3	0.7	1.8	0.2
Other reason	553	18 142	16 119	251	41	3.4	4.3	3.6	3.4	2.1
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	13,213	2,639	2,762	6,152	1,660	82.3	79.1	82.8	82.9	84.9 16.9
Away from home in enumeration period	2,547	514 102	555 168	1,148 204	330 128	15.9 3.8	15.4 3.1	16.6 5.0	15.5 2.8	6.5
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	1,061	160	232	575	94	6.6	4.8	7.0	7.8	4.8
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator			1		13					0.7
called or neighbor gave information Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	191	53	57	68		1.2	1.6	1.7	0.9	
units Living in obscure place	2,165 3,171	786 517	422 699	727 1,560	230 395	13.5	23.6 15.5	12.7 21.0	9.8 21.0	11.8 20.2
No reason given	3,476	507	629	1,870	470	21.7	15.2	18.9	25.2	24.0
White infants definitely or probably missed	12,023	2,954	2,682	4,720	1,667	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated	1,808	597 52	414 75	573 72	224 43	15.0 2.0	20.2	15.4 2.8	12.1	13.4
Infant temporarily away from parents	99 592	47 197	30 119	17 208	5 68	0.8	1.6	1.1	0.4	0.3 4.1
Information supplied by neighbor Enumerator said infant too young to be counted	91	12	25	33	21	0.8	0.4	0.9	0.7	1.3
Family did not think infants were to be counted  Family forgot to report infant	267 152	110 34	42 16	75 86	40 16	2.2	3.7 1.2	1.6	1.6	2.4
Other reason	43 322	18 127	16 91	7 75	2 29	0.4 2.7	0.6 4.3	0.6 3.4	0.1	$\substack{0.1 \\ 1.7}$
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	10,215	2,357	2,268	4,147	1,443	85.0	79.8	84.6	87.9	86.6
Away from home in enumeration period	2,079 557	436 93	461 164	890 1 <b>7</b> 7	292 123	17.3 4.6	14.8	17.2 6.1	18.9 3.8	17.5 7.4
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called	1							1		
or neighbor gave informationLiving with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator	703	123	175	325	- 80	5.8	4.2	6.5	6.9	4.8
called or neighbor gave information Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	114	48	27	33	6	0.9	1.6	1.0	0.7	O.4
units Living in obscure place	1,661 2,469	726 462	287 590	469 1,077	179 340	13.8	24.6 15.6	10.7	9.9 22.8	10.7 20.4
No reason given	2,632	469	564	1,176	423	21.9	15.9	21.0	24.9	25.4
Nonwhite infants definitely or probably missed	4,022	383	652	2,698	289	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated	1,024	101	158	693	72	25.5	26.4	24.2	25.7	24.9
Infant died during enumeration period Infant temporarily away from parents	86   28	3 7	8 4	70   15	5	2.1 0.7	0.8	1.2 0.6	2.6 0.6	1.7
Information supplied by neighbor Enumerator said infant too young to be counted	327 19	46	65 1	186 18	30	8.1 0.5	12.0	10.0	6.9 0.7	10.4
Family did not think infants were to be counted	249	19	44	174 49	12	6.2	5.0 ** 2.9	6.7	6.4	4.2
Family forgot to report infant Other reason	7	11		5	2	1.9 0.2	2.7	1.2	1.8	3.1
No reason given	231	15	28	176	12	5.7	3.9	4.3	6.5	4.2
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	2,998	282 78	494	2,005 2 <i>5</i> 8	217 38	74.5	73.6 20.4	75.8	74.3 9.6	75.1 13.1
Moved in enumeration periodLiving with relatives, on whom enumerator called	45	9	4	27	5	1.1	2.3	0.6	1.0	1.7
or neighbor gave informationLiving with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator	358	37	57	250	14	8.9	9.7	8.7	9.3	4.8
called or neighbor gave information	77	. 5	30	. 35	7	1.9	1.3	4.6	1.3	2.4
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	504	60	135	258	51	12.5	15.7	20.7	9.6	17.6
Living in obscure place	702 844	55 38	109 65	483 694	55 47	17.5 21.0	14.4	16.7	17.9 25.7	19.0 16.3
URBAN										
Total infants definitely or probably missed	8,008	2,250	2,015	2,652	1,091	100.0	100.0	<del> </del>	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated	1,704	521 20	407 41	599 43	177 23	21.3 1.6	23.2	20.2	22.6 1.6	16.2 2.1
Infant temporarily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	90   601	45 208	·24 150	18 174	3 69	1.1	2.0 9.2	1.2	0.7 6.6	0. 6.
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	52, 345	4	11	28	9	0.6	0.2	0.5	1.1	0.8
Family forgot to report infant	113	109 21	68 14	139 62	29 16	4.3 1.4	4.8	0.7	5.2 2.3	2.
Other reason	26 350	9 105	10 89	7 128	28	0.3	0.4 4.7		0.3 4.8	2.6
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	6,304	1,729	1,608	2,053	914	78.7	76.8		77.4	83.8
Away from home in enumeration period	1,350	343 67	317 86	496 95	194 83	16.9 4.1	15.2 3.0		18.7 3.6	17.6 7.6
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	527	83	152	230	62	6.6	3.7		8.7	
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator								1		5.
called or neighbor gave information Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	153	51	50	40	12	1.9	2.3		1.5	1.1
units	1,542	655	356	368	163	19.3	29.1		13.9	1.4.9
Living in obscure place	1,328	333	401	414	180	16.6	14.8	19.9	15.6	16.

 $T_able$  9.—REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL: REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR INFANTS DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY MISSED—Con.

			Number				Perce	ent distribu	tion	
Area, color, and reason for missing of infant	United States	North- east	North Central	South	West	United States	North- east	North Central	South	West
URBANCon.										
White infants definitely or probably missed.	5,783	1,903	1,426	1,555	899	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated	1,030	427	257 35	227 17	119 21	17.8 1.6	22.4	18.0 2.5	14.6 1.1	13.2
Infant temporarily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	70	. 39 . 164	21 88	9	1 43	1.2	2.0	1.5	0.6	2.3 0.1
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	43 168	4	10	20	. 9	6.5 0.7	8.6 0.2	6.2 0.7	5.1 1.3	4.8 1.0
Family forgot to report infant	59	90 10	26 6	34 33	18 10	2.9 1.0	4.7 0.5	1.8 0.4	2.2 2.1	2.0 1.1
Other reason	22 203	9 93	10 61	32	17	0.4	0.5 4.9	0.7 4.3	0.2 2.1	1.9
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	4,753	1,476	1,169	1,328	780	82.2	77.6	82.0	85.4	86.8
Away from home in enumeration period  Moved in enumeration period	1,043	272 59	238 82	368 79	165 79	18.0 5.2	14.3	16.7 5.8	23.7 5.1	18.4 8.8
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	310	53	98	107	52	5.4	2.8	6.9	6.9	5.8
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	86	46	20	14	6	1.5	2.4	1.4	0.9	0.7
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	1,178	597	227	225	129	20.4	31.4	15.9	14.5	14.3
Living in obscure place	1,048	287 162	303 201	302	156	18.1	15.1	21.2	19.4	17.4
Nonwhite infants definitely or probably	767	102	201	233	193	13.6	8.5	14.1	15.0	21.5
missed Parents enumerated	2,225	347	589	1,097	. 192	100.0	1.00.0	100.0	100.0	
Infant died during enumeration period	36	94   2	150	372 26	58 2	30.3 1.6	27.1	25.5 1.0	33.9 2.4	•••
Infant temporarily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	20 227	6 44	3 62	9 95	2 26	0.9	1.7	0.5 10.5	0.8 8.7	•••
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted  Family did not think infants were to be counted	9    177	19	1 42	105		0.4 8.0	5.5	0.2 7.1	0.7 9.6	•••
Family forgot to report infant  Other reason	54	11	8	29	6	2.4	3.2	1.4	2.6	
No reason given	147	12	28	96	11	6.6	3.5	4.8	0.4 8.8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	1,551	253 71	439	725	134	69.7	72.9	74.5	66.1	• • • •
Moved in enumeration period	32	8	79 4	128 16	<b>2</b> 9 4	13.8	20.5	13.4	11.7	•••
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	217	30	54	123	10	9.8	8.6	9.2	11.2	•••
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	67	5	30	26	6	3.0	1.4	5.1	2.4	
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	364	58	129	143	34	16.4	16.7	21.9	13.0	
Living in obscure place No reason given	280 284	46 35	98 45	112 177	24 27	12.6	13.3 10.1	16.6	10.2	•••
RURAL NONFARM							]		1	
Total infants definitely or probably missed  Parents enumerated	3,992	777	652	2,040	523	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Infant died during enumeration period	96	124 22	67 16	257 42	67 16	. 12.9 2.4	16.0	10.3 2.5	12.6 2.1	12.8 3.1
Infant temporarily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	19 131	8 24	2 15	7 83	2	0.5	1.0	0.3 2.3	0.3	0.4 1.7
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	39    76	. 5 16	11	13 39	10 15	1.0	0.6 2.1	1.7	0.6	1.9
Family forgot to report infant	60	19 3		29	8	1.5	2.4	0.6	1.4	1.5
No reason given	87	27	13	41	6	2.2	3.5	2.0	2.0	1.1
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	3,477 695	653 126	585 1 <b>2</b> 1	1,783	456 87	87.1 17.4	84.0 16.2	89.7 18.6	87.4	87.2
Moved in enumeration periodLiving with relatives, on whom enumerator called	185	30	45	77	33	4.6	3.9	6.9	17.7 3.8	16.6 6.3
or neighbor gave informationLiving with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator	245	54	39	132	20	6.1	6.9	6.0	6.5	3.8
called or neighbor gave information	24	2		21	1	0.6	0.3		1.0	0.2
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	372	103	41	184	44	9.3	13.3	6.3	9.0	8.4
Living in obscure place	1,095	125 213	161 178	451 557	124 147	21.6 27.4	16.1 27.4	24.7 27.3	22.1 27.3	23.7 28.1
White infants definitely or probably missed	3,342	748	618	1,508	468	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated	386 77	117 21	62 14	147 28	60 14	11.5	15.6 2.8	10.0	9.7	12.8 3.0
Infant temporarily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	17 96	7 22	1 13	7 53	2	0.5	0.9	0.2 2.1	0.5	0.4
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	34 51	5 16	11 6	8 15	10 - 14	1.0	0.7	1.8	0.5	2.1
Family forgot to report infant	50	19	4	21	6	1.5	2.5	0.6	1.4	1.3
Other reason	6 55	3 24	13	13	1 5	0.2	0.4 3.2	2.1	0.1	0.2
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	2,956	631	556	1,361	408	88.5	84.4	90.0	90.3	87.2
Away from home in enumeration period	622   174	121 29	113 45	304 68	84 32	18.6 5.2	16.2 3.9	18.3 7.3	20.2 4.5	. 17.9 6.8
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	186	49	38	82	17	5.6	6.6	6.1	5.4	3.6
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	15	2		13		0.4	0.3		0.9	•••
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	318	102	37	146	33	9.5	13.6	6.0	9.7	7.1
Living in obscure place	729	118	155	348 400	108 134	21.8 27.3	15.8 28.1	25.1 27.2	23.1 26.5	23.1 28.6

Table 9.—REGIONS, URBAN AND RURAL: REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR INFANTS DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY MISSED—Con.

			Number				Perce	ent distribut	ion	
Area, color, and reason for missing of infant	United States	North- east	North Central	South	West	United States	North- east	North Central	South	West
RURAL NONFARMCon.			·							
Nonwhite infants definitely or probably missed	650	29	34	532	55	100.0			100.0	
Parents enumerated	129	7	5	110	7	19.8			20.7	• •
Infant died during enumeration period Infant temporarily away from parents	19 2	1	1	14		2.9 0.3	:::		2.6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Information supplied by neighbor Enumerator said infant too young to be counted	35 5		2	30 5		5.4 0.8	:::	••••	5.6 0.9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Family did not think infants were to be counted. Family forgot to report infant	25 10		•••	24 8	1.	3.8 1.5	:::		4.5 1.5	
Other reason	1 32		•••	1 28	 1	0.2 4.9	•••		0.2 5.3	•
either parents nor infant enumerated	521	22	29	422	48	80.2			79.3	
Away from home in enumeration period	73 11	5 1		57 9	3 1	11.2	:::	•••	10.7	:
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	59	5	1	50	3	9.1	• • •	•••	9.4	
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	9			. 8	1	1.4	•		1.5	
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	54	1	4	38	11	8.3			7.1	
Living in obscure place	132 183	7 3	10	103 1 <i>5</i> 7	16 13	20.3 28.2	:::		19.4 29.5	;
RURAL FARM				, .						
Total infants definitely or probably missed	4,045	310	667	2,726	342	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Parents enumerated	613 105	53 13	98 26	410 57	52 9	15.2 2.6	17.1 4.2	14.7	15.0 2.1	15 2
Infant temporarily away from parents	18 187	1 11	8 19	7 137	20	0.4 4.6	0.3 3.5	1.2 2.8	0.3 5.0	5
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	19 95	3 4	12	10. 71	2 8	0.5 2.3	1.0	0.6	2.6	(
Family forgot to report infant Other reason	56 17	. 5 6	6	44 2	1 3	1.4 0.4	1.6 1.9	0.9	1.6	(
No reason given	116	10	17	82	7	2.3	3.2	2.5	3.0	2
either parents nor infant enumerated	3,432 502	257 45	569 117	2,316 291	290 49	84.8 12.4	82.9 14.5	85.3 17.5	85.0 10.7	84
Moved in enumeration period	86	5	37	32	12	2.1	1.6	5.5	1.2	3
or neighbor gave information	289	23	41	213	12	7.1	7.4	6.1	7.8	3
called or neighbor gave information	14		7	7	•••	0.3		1.0	0.3	
units Living in obscure place	251 982	28 59	25 137	175 695	23 91	6.2 24.3	9.0 19.0	3.7 20.5	6.4 25.5	26 26
No reason given	1,308	97	205	903	103	32.3	31.3	30.7	33.1	30
White infants definitely or probably missed arents enumerated	2,898 392	303 53	638 95	1,657	300	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Infant died during enumeration period	74	13	26	. 27	45 8	13.5	17.5 4.3	14.9	12.0	
Information supplied by neighbor	12 122	11	18	1 76	2 17	0.4 4.2	0.3 3.6	1.3 2.8	0.1 4.6	(
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted Family forgot to report infant	14 48	3	10	5 26	2 8	0.5 1.7	1.0 1.3	0.6 1.6	0.3	
Other reason	43 15	5	6	32	i	1.5 0.5	1.7 2.0	0.9	1.9 0.1	
No reason given	64	10	17	30	7	2.2	3.3	2.7	1.8	;
either parents nor infant enumerated	2,506 414	250 43	543 110	1,458 218	255 43	86.5 14.3	82.5 14.2	85.1 17.2	88.0 13.2	8:
Moved in enumeration period	84	5	37	30	12	2.9	1.7	5.8	1.8	4
or neighbor gave information	207	21	39	136	11	7.1	6.9	5.1	8.2	
called or neighbor gave information	13	***	7	6	•••	0.4		1.1	0.4	
units Living in obscure place	165 692	27 57	23 132	98   427	17 76	5.7 23.9	8.9 18.8	3.6 20.7	5.9 25.8	2
No reason given	931	. 97	1.95	543	96	32.1	32.0	30.6	32.8	32
missed	1,147	7	29	1,069	42	100.0			100.0	
Infant died during sugmeration period.  Infant temporarily away from parents.	221 31		3	211 30	7	19.3 2.7		•••	19.7 2.8	
Information supplied by neighbor. Enteretor said infant too young to be counted	6 65	:::	· · · i	61		0.5 5.7	:::	•••	0.6 5.7	
rantiy did not think infants were to be counted.	5 47	:::		5 45		0.4 4.1	:::	•••	0.5 4.2	
Funily forgot to report infant Other reason	13	:	•••	12	1 2	1.1 0.2	:::		1.1	
The state of the s	52	•••		52	•••	4.5	•••	•••	4.9	
dither perents nor infant enumerated.	926 88	7 2	26	858 73	35 6	80.7		•••	80.3 6.8	
Moved in enumeration period. Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called	2	•••	•••	2	• • •	0.2	•••	•••	0.2	
or neighbor gave information.	82	2	2	. 77	1	7.1		•••	7.2	
salled or neighbor gave information	1		•••	1	•••	0.1		•••	0.1	
units Living in obscare place	86 290	1 2	2	77 268	6 15	7.5 25.3		,	7.2 25.1	
lio reason given				200					20.1	

Table 10.—AGE OF MOTHER: REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, FOR INFANTS DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY MISSED, FOR THE UNITED STATES, URBAN AND RURAL

[Data based on sample of					er (year				Ī	===			stribut	ion	-	
Area and reason for missing of infant	Total	Under 20	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 and over	Not re- ported	Total	Under 20	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 and over	Not re- ported
UNITED STATES																
Total infants definitely or probably missed	16,045	3,355	5,943	3,840	1,649	956	230	72	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Parents enumerated	2,832 328	646 48	891 99	695 75	284 52	250 42	51 12	15	17.7	19.3	15.0	18.1	17.2	26.2	•••	
Infant temporarily away from parents	127 919	31 203	59 285	20 255	10 93	69	•••	2 5	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.5	3.2 0.6 5.6	4.4 0.5 7.2		
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted  Family did not think infants were to be counted	110 516	12 181	39 152	30 86	11 33	13 57	2 7		0.7	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.7	1.4	:::	:::
Family forgot to report infant	229 50	63	73 22	44 15	22	18 3	7	2	1.4	1.9	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.9		
No reason given	553 13,213	105 2.709	162 5,052	170	56	43	14	3	3.4	3.1	2.7	4.4	3.4	4.5	:::	:::
Away from home in enumeration period	2,547	630	996	3,145 613 171	1,365 201 70	706 74 22	179 25	57 8 2	82.3 15.9	80.7	85.0 16.8	16.0	82.8	73.8	:::	:::
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	1,061	338	462	163	63	32	•••	3	6.6	3.1	7.8	4.5	4.2 3.8	2.3	•••	•••
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	191	51	89	38	10	3		• • • •	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.0	0.6	0.3		•••
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	2,165	374	846	599	206	99	31	10	13.5	11.1	14.2	15.6	12.5	10.4		•••
Living in Obscure place	3,171 3,476	657 555	1,289 1,137	713 848	316 499	151 325	39 84	6 28	19.8 21.7	19.6 16.5	21.7	18.6	19.2	15.8		•••
URĐAN																
Total infants definitely or probably missed	8,008	1,548	3,057	2,105	794	405	77	22	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Parents enumerated.  Infant died during enumeration period	1,704	359 23	538 33	481 32	157 21	148 16	15 2	6	21.3	23.2 1.5	17.6	22.9 1.5	19.8	36.5 4.0	:::	
Infant temporarily away from parents	90 601	19	46 173	17 208	4 63	3 49	4	1 2	7.5	1.2	1.5 5.7	0.8	7.9	0.7		· · · ·
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	52 345	117	18 100	18 62	7 22	44	:::	•••	0.6 4.3	7.6	0.6 3.3	0.9	0.9 2.8	0.2		•••
Family forgot to report infant. Other reason. No reason given.	113 26	27	42 11	20	15 2	7	2	•••	0.3	0.2	1.4	1.0	0.3	1.7		
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	350 6,304	60 1,189	115 2,519	115	23 637	27 257	7 62	3 16	78.7	76.8	3.8 82.4	77.1	2.9 80.2	6.7		
Away from home in enumeration period	1,350 331	299 65	508 111	380 97	102 41	42 16	16	3 1	16.9 4.1	19.3	16.6	18.1	12.8	10.4		•••
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	527	156	227	94	37	11		2	6.6	10.1	7.4	4.5	4.7	2.7		
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	153	33	82	29	7	2	•••		1.9	2.1	2.7	1.4	0.9	0.5		
units	1,542 1,328	241 229	604 604	469 313	130	72	19	7	19.3	15.6	19.8	22.3	16.4	17.8		
No reason given	1,073	166	383	242	138 182	33 81	11 16	3	16.6 13.4	14.8	19.8	14.9	17.4 22.9	20.0	:::	•••
RURAL NONFARM						٠										
Total infants definitely or probably missed  Parents enumerated	3,992 515	898 130	1,501 163	905	397 51	209	66	16	100.0	14.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	•••		
Infant died during enumeration period	96 19	15	37	20	7	10	7	•••	2.4	1.7	2.5	2.2	12.8 1.8 1.0	:::		
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted	131 39	45 3	38 12	27 10	14 2	5 11	2		3.3	5.0	2.5	3.0	3.5			•••
Family did not think infants were to be counted Family forgot to report infant	76 60	28 18	29 17	8 14	5 2	5	1 5		1.9	3.1	1.9	0.9	1.3			
Other reason No reason given	7 87	16	5 20	26	17	2 5		•••	0.2	1.8	0.3	2.9	4.3			
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	3,477 695	768 195	1,338 292	797 132	346 52	165 16	47	16 5	87.1 17.4	85.5 21.7	89.1	88.1	87.2			
Moved in enumeration period Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called	185	25	82	56	20	1		í	4.6	2.8	19.5 5.5	14.6	13.1 5.0	:::		•••
or neighbor gave information Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator	245	88	98	38	14	7		• • •	6.1	9.8	6.5	4.2	3.5			•••
called or neighbor gave information Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	24	10	4	9	1	•••	•••	. •••	0.6	1.1	0.3	1.0	0.3	•••		
units. Living in Obscure place. No reason given.	372 861 1,095	67 204 179	144 336 382	80 192 290	51. 74	20 41	12	2	9.3	7.5 22.7	9.6 22.4	8.8 21.2	12.8 18.6	:::		
	1,095	179	202	290	134	80	24	6	27.4	19.9	25.4	32.0	33.8	•••	•••	•••
RURAL FARM  Total infants definitely or probably missed	4,045	909	1,385	830	458	342	87	34	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100 0	]	
Parents enumerated	613	157	190	106	76	58	17	9	15.2	17.3	13.7	12.8	16.6	17.0		
Infant died during enumeration period	105	7	29 8	23	24	16	3	i	2.6	0.8	2.1 0.6	2.8	5.2 0.4	4.7		•••
Information sumplied by neighbor.  Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	187 18 95	56 1 36	74 9 23	20 2 16	16 2	15	1	3	0.5	0.1	5.3 0.6	0.2	3.5 0.4	4.4 0.3		
Family did not think invants were to be counted Family forgot to report infant	56 17	18	14 6	16 10 6	6 5 5	8	6		1.4	2.0	1.7	1.9	1.3	2.3	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
No reason given	116	29	27	29	16	ii	- 2	•••	2.9	3.2	1.9	0.7 3.5	3.5	3.2		• • •
Neither perents nor infant enumerated	3,432 502 86	752 136	1,195 196	724 101	382 47	284 16	70 6	25	84.8 12.4	82.7 15.0	86.3 14.2	87.2	83.4 10.3	83.0 4.7		•••
Moved in enumeration period. Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information.	289	94	40	18	9	5	•••	•••	2.1	1.5	2.9	2.2	2.0	1.5		•••
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information.	14	94	137	31	12	14	• • • •	1	7.1	10.3	9.9	3.7	2.6	4.1	•••	•••
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	251	66	98	50	25	7	4	1	6.2	7.3	7.1	6.0	5.5	2.0	•••	•••
Living in obscure place	982 1,308	224 210	349 372	208 316	104	77 164	16 44	4 19	24.3	24.6	25.2	25.1	22.7	22.5 48.0		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
						/				1	1	1		, , , , ,		

Table 11.—YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY MOTHER: REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, FOR INFANTS DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY MISSED, FOR THE UNITED STATES, URBAN AND RURAL

Data based on sample of unmatched dirth records. Ferrent not shown w												ıtion				
			ry school			Coll				Elements	ry school			Γ	lege	<u>`</u>
Area and reason for missing of infant	Total	Less than 7 years	7 and 8 years	1 to 3 years	4 years	l to 3 years	4 years or more	Not re- ported	Total	Less than 7 years	7 and 8 years	1 to 3 years	4 years	1 to 3 years	4 years or more	Not re- ported
		-								3						
UNITED STATES							-					ĺ				
Total infants definitely or probably missed	16,045	2,105	3,061	4,721	4,163	928	406	661	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated	2,832 328	392 28	517 39	712 39	691 37	138	95	287 176	17.7 2.0	18.6	16.9 1.3	15.1	16.6	14.9	23.4	43.4 26.6
Infant temporarily away from parents	127 919	9 110	16 144	28 236	18 300	8 48	7 64	41 17	0.8 5.7	0.4 5.2	0.5 4.7	0.6 5.0	7.2	0.9 5.2	1.7 15.8	6.2 2.6
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	110 516	16 110	12 152	31 142	37 89	8	4	2 11	0.7	0.8	0.4 5.0	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.3
Family forgot to report infant	229 50	32	52	72	58 12	13 12		2	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.0	0.3 1.1
No reason given	553	80	97	161	140	32	12	31	3.4	3.8	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.0	4.7
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	13,213 2,547	1,713 249	2,544 480	4,009 835	3,472 753	790 142	311 58	374 30	82.3 15.9	81.4	83.1 15.7	84.9 17.7	83.4	85.1 15.3	76.6 14.3	56.6 4.5
Moved in enumeration period	602	41	94	162	216	49	36	4	3.8	1.9	3.1	3.4	5.2	5.3	8.9	0.6
or neighbor gave information	1,061	113	187	390	294	40	26	11	6.6	5.4	6.1	8.3	7.1	4.3	6.4	1.7
called or neighbor gave information	191	26	27	93	34	7	1	3	1.2	1.2	0.9	2.0	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.5
units Living in obscure place	2,165 3,171	214 438	380 682	598 1,004	642 791	216 128	57 57	58 71	13.5 19.8	10.2	12.4	12.7	15.4 19.0	23.3	14.0 14.0	8.8 10.7
No reason given	3,476	632	694	927	742	208	76	197	21.7	30.0	22.7	19.6	17.8	22.4	18.7	29.8
URBAN										1		٠.			,	
Total infants definitely or probably missed	8,008	606	1,126	2,649	2,413	604	267	343	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated	1,704	169 4	238 16	477 13	492 21	105 5	85	138 68	21.3 1.6	27.9	21.1	18.0	20.4	17.4	31.8	40.2 19.8
Infant temporarily away from parents	90 601	6 30	8 59	18 145	13 246	6 43	5 62	34 16	7.5	1.0 5.0	5,2	0.7 5.5	10.2	7.1	1.9 23.2	9.9 4.7
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted  Family did not think infants were to be counted	52 345	1 67	7 79	12 108	25 71	7 8	•••	8	0.6 4.3	0.2	7.0	0.5 4.1	2.9	1.2	1.5	2.3
Family forgot to report infant	113 26	10	21 2	53 3	20 4	9 10	2	:::	1.4	1.7	1.9	2.0	0.8	1.5	0.7	:::
No reason given  Neither parents nor infant enumerated	350 6,304	46 437	46 888	125 2,172	92	17 499	12 182	12	4.4	7.6	4.1	4.7	3.8	2.8	4.5	3.5
Away from home in enumeration period	1,350	81 19	170 56	466	475	- 99	39	205	78.7 16.9	72.1	78.9 15.1	82.0 17.6	79.6	82.6 16.4	68.2 14.6	59.8 5.8
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information.	527	39		103	94	32	24	3	4.1	3.1	5.0	3.9	3.9	5.3	9.0	0.9
Living with norrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information.	'		78	210	154	21	16	9	6,6	6.4	6.9	7.9	6.4	3.5	6.0	2.6
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	1,542	15	22	77	30	5	1	3	1.9	2.5	2.0	2.9	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.9
Living in obscure place	1,328	84 88 111	213 201 148	479 492 345	497 420 251	189 74 79	42 28 32	38 25 107	19.3 16.6 13.4	13.9 14.5 18.3	18.9 17.9 13.1	18.1 18.6 13.0	20.6 17.4 10.4	31.3 12.3 13.1	15.7 10.5 12.0	11.1 7.3 31.2
RURAL NONFARM																
Total infants definitely or probably missed	3,992	545	850	1,065	1,072	206	103	151	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Parents enumerated Infant died during enumeration period	515 96	69 6	124 9	110 16	113 9	18 1	8	73 55	12.9 2.4	12.7	14.6	10.3	10.5			
Infant temporatily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	19 131	24	8 27	4 38	2 35	1 5	2	2	0.5 3.3	4.4	0.9	0.4	0.2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted  Family did not think infants were to be counted	39 76	9	1 34	16 19	6	1	4	2 3	1.0	1.7	0.1	1.5	0.6			:::
Family forgot to report infant	60 7	7	24 2	5	24 3		 i	•••	1.5	1.3	2.8	0.5	2.2			:::
No reason given	87	12	19	12	25	9	•••	10	2.2	2.2	2.2	i.i	2.3		•••	:::
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	3,477 695	476 79	726 181	955 205	959 189	188 25	95	78 7	87.1 17.4	87.3 14.5	85.4 21.3	89.7	89.5		•••	
Moved in enumeration period	185	14	25	41	84	12	. 8	1	4.6	2.6	2.9	3.8	7.8			:::
or neighbor gave information	245	27	47	81	69	12	7	2	6.1	5.0	5.5	7.6	6.4	•••		
called or neighbor gave information Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	24	5	4	9	4	2	••••	•••	0.6	0.9	0.5	0.8	0.4		• •••	
units. Living in obscure place.	372 861	53 127	75 185	84 266	106 196	25 44	14 23	15 20	9.3 21.6	9.7	8.8	7.9 25.0	9.9			
No reason given	1,095	171	209	269	· 311	68	34	33	27.4	31.4	24.6	25.3	29.0			•••
RURAL FARM										1						
Total infants definitely or probably missed Parents enumerated	4,045 613	954 154	1,085	1,007	678	118	36	167	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		•••	
Infant temporarily away from parents	105	18	14	10	86	3		76 53	15.2 2.6	16.1	14.3	12.4	12.7	:::		
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted	187	56 6	58	53 53	19		···i		0.4 4.6	0.3 5.9	5.3	0.6 5.3	2.8		:::	:::
Family did not think infants were to be counted	95 56	32	39	15	6	• • • •		•••	2.3	0.6 3.4	0.4 3.6	0.3	0.9	:::	:::	:::
Other reason. No reason given.	17	15	7	14	14	1	'n	2 7	0.4	1.6	0.6	1.4	2.1			:::
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	3,432	800	32 930	882	23 592	103	34	91	2.9 84.8	2.3 83.9	2.9 85.7	2.3 87.6	3.4 87.3	•••	•••	•••
Moved in enumeration period	502 86	89 8	129	164	89 38	18	10	3	12.4	9.3	11.9	16.3	13.1	:::	•••	•••
or neighbor gave information.	289	47	62	99	71	7	3		7.1	4.9	5.7	١.	5.6	• • • •	•••	
called or neighbor gave information	14	6	1	7					0.3	0.6	0.1	9.8	10.5		•••	
units	251	77	92	35	39	2	1	5	6.2	8.1	8.5	0.7		•••	•••	
Living in obscure place	982	223 350	296 337	246 313	175 180	10 61	6	26 57	24.3		27.3	3.5 24.4	5.8 25.8		•••	• • • •
	,								22.3	٥٠٠/	21.1	31.1	26.5	•••	•••	

Table 12.—MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER: REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, FOR INFANTS DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY MISSED, FOR THE UNITED STATES, URBAN AND RURAL

						Civilian	n father					
Area and reason for missing of infant	Total	Profes- sional, technical, and kindred workers	Farm workers	Managers, officials, and pro- prietors, except farm	Clerical and kindred workers	Sales workers	Crafts- men, foremen, and kindred workers	Opera- tives and kindred workers	Service workers, including private house- hold	Laborers, except farm and mine	No occu- pation and not reported	Father in armed forces
UNITED STATES												
Total infants definitely or probably missed	16,045	800	2,456	641	559	588	1,887	2,652	594	2,771	2,507	590
Parents enumerated. Infant died during enumeration period. Infant temporarily away from parents. Information supplied by neighbor. Enumerator said infant too young to be counted. Family did not think infants were to be counted. Family forgot to report infant. Other reason.	2,832 328 127 919 110 516 229 50	130 14 7 70 11 7 1	442 89 13 132 17 61 38 10	134 8 41 67 5 2	111 5 1 31 5 16 10	118 7 1 65 2 11 6 4	280 26 13 94 8 31 18	422 70 24 129 12 91 43	154 5 3 45 14 56 10	548 75 10 154 12 114 54	441 25 12 126 21 110 45	52 4 2 6 3 17 4
No reason given	553 13,213 2,547 602	16 670 135 31	2,014 278 50	507 79 34	41 448- 121 26	470 89 32	1,607 319 64	48 2,230 524 99	20 440 94 16	125 2,223 377 89	90 2,066 398 106	16 538 133 55
or neighbor gave information Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	1,061 191	51 38	110 7	27 3	20	51 10	106	156 23	26 13	221 48	222	71 5
units. Living in obscure place. No reason given.	2,165 3,171 3,476	181 98 136	184 570 815	110 113 141	111 97 72	109 69 110	294 413 397	320 536 572	119 81 91	333 613 542	315 471 525	89 110 75
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Infant died during enumeration period. Infant temporarily away from perents. Information supplied by neighbor. Enumerator said infant too young to be counted. Family did not think infants were to be counted. Family forgot to report infant. Other reason. No reason given.	2.0 0.8 5.7 0.7 3.2 1.4 0.3	16.3 1.8 0.9 8.8 1.4 0.9 0.1	3.6 0.5 5.4 0.7 2.5 1.5 0.4	20.9 1.2 6.4 10.5 0.8 0.3	0.9 0.2 5.5 0.9 2.9 1.8 0.4	20.1 1.2 0.2 11.1 0.3 1.9 1.0	14.8 1.4 0.7 5.0 0.4 1.6 1.0	15.9 2.6 0.9 4.9 0.5 3.4 1.6 0.2	25.9 0.8 0.5 7.6 2.4 9.4 1.7	19.8 2.7 0.4 5.6 0.4 4.1 1.9	17.6 1.0 0.5 5.0 0.8 4.4 1.8 0.5	8.8 0.7 0.3 1.0 0.5 2.9 0.7
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	3.4 82.3 15.9 3.8	2.0 83.8 16.9 3.9	3.3 82.0 11.3 2.0	1.7 79.1 12.3 5.3	7.3 80.1 21.6 4.7	3.7 79.9 15.1 5.4	85.2 16.9 3.4	1.8 84.1 19.8 3.7	74.1 15.8 2.7	4.5 80.2 13.6 3.2	3.6 82.4 15.9 4.2	2.7 91.2 22.5 9.3
or neighbor gave information	6.6 1.2	6.4 4.8	4.5 0.3	4.2 0.5	3.6 0.2	8.7 1.7	5.6 0.7	0.9	4.4 2.2	8.0	8.9 1.2	12.0
units Living in obscure place No reason given	13.5 19.8 21.7	22.6 12.3 17.0	7.5 23.2 33.2	17.2 17.6 22.0	19.9 17.4 12.9	18.5 11.7 18.7	15.6 21.9 21.0	12.1 20.2 21.6	20.0 13.6 15.3	12.0 22.1 19.6	12.6 18.8 20.9	15.1 18.6 12.7
URBAN				,		:		-				
Total infants definitely or probably missed	8,008	539	139	450	463	429	1,110	1,355	478	1,399	1,277	369
Parents enumerated.  Infant died during enumeration period.  Infant temporarily away from parents.  Information supplied by neighbor.  Enumerator said infant too young to be counted.  Family did not think infants were to be counted.  Family forgot to report infant.  Other reason.  No reason given.	1,704 127 90 601 52 345 113 26 350	93 9 5 61 2 5 1 2 8	27 10  6  2  9	121 6 41 60 5 2	103 4 1 30 5 14 7 2 40	99 3 1 61 2 6 4 16	204 13 7 71 5 22 16 4 66	261 37 15 86 7 69 15 2	135 3 29 39 11 54 8	353 34 9 111 3 84 31 1 80	271 5 7 71 12 73 26 11 66	37 3 2 5  14 3
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	6,304 1,350 331	446 90 21	112 29 11	329 59 22	360 100 19	330 56 29	906 189 33	1,094 277 62	343 81 12	1,046 187 41	1,006 201 49	332 81 32
or neighbor gave information.  Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information.  Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	527 153	23 36	7	13	17	25 10	54 13	87 22	18	112 31	126 19	45
units	1,542 1,328 1,073	143 61 72	23 15 26	98 68 66	100 80 43	102 39 69	228 224 165	226 243 177	109 61 49	22 <u>1</u> 273 181	232 199 180	60 65 45
Percent	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Parents enumerated.  Infant died during enumeration period.  Infant temporarily away from parents.  Information supplied by neighbor.  Enumerator said infant too young to be counted.  Family did not think infants were to be counted.  Family forgot to report infant.  Other reason.  No reason given.	21.3 1.6 1.1 7.5 0.6 4,3 1.4 0.3 4.4	17.3 1.7 0.9 11.3 0.4 0.9 0.2 0.4 1.5		26.9 1.4 9.1 13.3 1.1 0.4 	23.1 0.9 0.2 6.5 1.1 3.0 1.5 0.4 8.6	23.1 0.7 0.2 14.2 0.5 1.4 1.4 0.9 3.7	18.4 1.2 0.6 6.4 0.5 2.0 1.4 0.4 5.9	19.3 2.7 1.1 6.4 0.5 5.1 1.1 0.1 2.2	28.2 0.6 0.4 8.2 2.3 11.3 1.7	25.2 2.4 0.6 7.9 0.2 6.0 2.2 0.1 5.7	21.2 0.4 0.5 5.6 0.9 5.7 2.0 0.9 5.2	10.0 0.8 0.5 1.4  3.8 0.8
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	78.7 16.9 4.1	82.7 16.7 3.9		73.1 13.1 4.9	77.8 21.6 4.1	76.9 13.1 6.8	81.6 17.0 3.0	80.7 20.5 4.6	71.8 16.9 2.5	74.8 13.4 2.9	78.8 15.7 3.8	90.0 22.0 8.7
or neighbor gave information.  Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information.  Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling	1.9	4.3 6.7	•••	0.7	0.2	2.3	1.2	1.6	2.7	8.0 2.2	9.9	12.2
units. Living in obscure place No reason given	19.3 16.6 13.4	26.5 11.3 13.4	:::	21.8 15.1 14.7	21.6 17.3 9.3	23.8 9.1 16.1	20.5 20.2 14.9	16.7 17.9 13.1	22.8 12.8 10.3	15.8 19.5 12.9	18.2 15.6 14.1	16.3 17.6 12.2

Table 12.—MAJOR OCCUPATION GROUP OF FATHER: REASONS FOR UNDERENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, FOR INFANTS DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY MISSED, FOR THE UNITED STATES, URBAN AND RURAL—Con.

					Civ	llian fath	ner					
Area and reason for missing of infant	Total	Profes- sional, technical, and kindred workers	Farm Workers	Managers, officials, and pro- prietors, except farm	Clerical and kindred workers	Sales workers	Crafts- men, foremen, and kindred workers	Opera- tives and kindred workers	Service workers, including private house- hold	Laborers, except farm and mine	No occu- pation and not reported	Father in armed forces
RURAL NONFARM												
Total infants definitely or probably missed	3,992	197	252	157	77	135	537	856	85	841	696	159
Parents enumerated	515 96	30 · 1	40 3	9	6 1	13 4	59 11	112 30	13 2	129 32	93 10	11 1
Infant temporarily away from parents	19 131	2 9				 i	6 18	8 18		1 25	2 31	
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	39. 76	8	. 3 . 5	•••			3 7	5 15	3 2	18	8 21	3
Family forgot to report infant  Other reason	60 7		6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1			22		20 3	11	
No reason given	87 3,477	7 167	212	148	71	122	12 478	14 744	72	712	10 603	148
Away from home in enumeration period	695 185	29 8	42 8	17 10	17	30 3	87 22	172 34	8	130 36	128 35	35 19
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	245	10	16	13	3	23	33	34	5	59	41	8
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	24 .	2	2				1	. 1		11	6	1
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units	372	37	24	12	9	6	52	63	8	69	65	27
Living in obscure place	861 1,095	28 53	73 47	34 62	14 21	29 31	119 164	176 264	16 32	187 220	152 176	33 25
Percent	100.0		100.0				100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	<u> </u>
Parents enumerated	12.9 2.4		15.9 1.2				11.0	13.1 3.5		15.3 3.8	13.4 1.4	:::
Infant temporarily away from parents Information supplied by neighbor	0.5 3.3		7.5				1.1	0.9	:::	0.1	0.3	
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted Family did not think infants were to be counted	1.0 1.9	:::	1.2 2.0			:::	0.6 1.3	0.6 1.8		0.7 2.1	1.1 3.0	
Family forgot to report infant	1.5 0.2	:::	2.4			:::	0.4	2.6		2.4	1.6	
No reason given	2.2 87.1		1.6 84.1				2.2 89.0	1.6 86.9		2.9 84.7	1.4 86.6	
Away from home in enumeration period	17.4		16.7 3.2				16.2	20.1		15.5	18.4 5.0	
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	6.1		6,3				6.1	4.0		7.0	5.9	
Living with nonrelatives, on whom enumerator called or neighbor gave information	0.6		0.8				0.2	0.1		1.3	0.9	
Living in structure containing 2 or more dwelling units Living in obscure place	9.3		9.5				9.7	7.4		8.2	9.3	.,.
No reason given	21.6 27.4		29.0 18.7	:::	•••	:::	22.2 30.5	20.6 30.8		22.2 26.2	21.8 25.3	
RURAL FARM												
Total infants definitely or probably missed	4,045	64	2,065	. 34	19	24	240	441	31	531	534	62
Parents enumerated	613 105	7	375 76	4 1		6	17 2	49 3	6	66	77 10	4
Information supplied by neighbor Enumerator said infant too young to be counted	18 187 19	 1	13			 . 3		1 25	1 2		3 24	
Family did not think infants were to be counted Family forgot to report infant	95 56	î	14 54 32	:::				7		3 12	16	3
Other reason	17 116	 i	10	•••			2	6 3	2		8	
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	3,432	57	1,690	30	17	18	223	392	25	21 465	14 457	58
Moved in enumeration periodLiving with relatives, on whom enumerator called	502 86	16 2	207 31	3 2		3	43 9	75 3	5	60	69 22	17
or neighbor gave information	289	18	87	1	•••	3	19	35	3	50	55	18
called or neighbor gave information	14	•••	4				•••			6	4	
Living in obscure place	251 982	1 9	137 482	 11	2	1	14	31 117	2		18	2
No reason given	1,308	11	742	13	8	10	68	131	10		120 169	12
Percent Parents enumerated	100.0		100.0				· · · ·	100.0		100.0	100.0	<u> </u>
Infant temporarily away from parents	15.2 2.6 0.4	:::	18.2 3.7	:::	:::	:::		11.1		12.4	14.4	
Enumerator said infant too young to be counted	4.6	:	0.6 5.2 0.7	***	•••			0.2 5.7	:::	3.4	0.6 4.5	:::
Family did not think infants were to be counted Family forget to report infant	2.3		2.6 1.5		•••	•••		1.6	:::	0.6 2.3	0.2 3.0	
No reason given	0.4		0.5		•••		•••	0.7	:::	0.6	0.2	
Neither parents nor infant enumerated	84.8		81.8		•••	···		88.9		87.6	2.6 85.6	
Living with relatives, on whom enumerator called	2.1	:::	10.0		•••		•••	17.0 0.7		11.3	12.9	
Living with nonrelatives on whom anymerator	7.1	• • •	4.2		•••		•••	7.9		9.4	10.3	·
Living in structure containing 2 or more dualling	0.3		0.2		•••	•••	•••			1.1	0.7	
Living in obscure place	6.2 24.3		6.6 23.3		•••	•		7.0	<b></b>	8.1	3.4	
No reason given	32.3		35.9					26.5 29.7		28.8 26.6	22.5 31.6	

Table 13.—RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD BY ENUMERATION STATUS: INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, FOR WHOM REPLIES WERE RECEIVED FROM MAIL INQUIRY, FOR THE UNITED STATES, URBAN AND RURAL

[Data based on sample of unmatched birth records]

	Responses rep	orting on relat	ionship to hous	ehold head	Responses	Percent distribution					
Area, colon, and enumeration status	Total	Child	Other relative	Not related	not reporting on relationship	Reporting on relationship	Child	Other relative	Not related		
UNITED STATES											
Total											
Infant enumerated.  Definitely enumerated.  Probably enumerated.  Infant not enumerated to the infant.  Nither parents now infant enumerated.  Definitely missed.  Probably missed.  Enumeration status uncertain.	15,639 7,277 8,362 14,694 2,297 12,397 9,577 2,820 3,816	13,399 6,073 7,326 9,919 1,285 8,634 6,976 1,658 2,805	1,863 984 879 3,726 764 2,962 2,117 845 773	377 220 157 1,049 248 801 484 317 238	1,002 534 468 1,018 201 817 692 125 703	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	85.7 83.4 87.6 67.5 55.9 69.6 72.8 58.8 73.5	11.9 13.5 10.5 25.4 33.3 23.9 22.1 30.0 20.3	2.4 3.0 1.9 7.1 10.8 6.5 5.1 11.2 6.2		
White			}		-						
Infant enumerated Definitely enumerated. Probably enumerated. Infant not en morated Parents enumerated but not infant Neither parents nor infant enumerated. Definitely missed. Probably missed. Enumeration status uncertain.	13,281 5,782 7,499 11,165 1,453 9,712 7,389 2,323 2,796	11,768 5,066 6,702 8,131 961 7,170 5,682 1,488 2,187	1,311 634 677 2,420 382 2,038 1,426 612 462	202 82 120 614 110 504 281 223 147	648 304 344 616 112 504 419 85 454	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	88.6 87.6 89.4 72.8 66.1 73.8 76.9 64.1 78.2	9.9 11.0 9.0 21.7 26.3 21.0 19.3 26.3 16.5	1.5 1.4 1.6 5.5 7.6 5.2 3.8 9.6 5.3		
Nonwhite											
Infant enumerated.  Definitely enumerated. Probably enumerated. Infant not enumerated. Parents enumerated but not infant. Neither parents nor infant enumerated. Definitely missed. Probably missed. Enumeration status uncertain.	2,358 1,495 863 3,529 844 2,685 2,188 497 1,020	1,631 1,007 624 1,788 324 1,464 1,294 170 618	552 350 202 1,306 382 924 691 233 311	175 138 37 435 138 297 203 94 91	354 230 124 402 89 313 273 40 249	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	69.2 67.4 72.3 50.7 38.4 54.5 59.1 34.2 60.6	23.4 23.4 23.4 37.0 45.3 34.4 31.6 46.9 30.5	7.4 9.2 4.3 12.3 16.4 11.1 9.3 18.9 8.9		
URBAN			·								
Infant enumerated  Definitely cnumerated.  Probably cnumerated.  Infant not enumerated.  Parents cnumerated but not infant <sup>1</sup> Notther parents nor infant enumerated  Definitely missed  Probably missed  Enumeration status uncertain.	8,488 4,086 4,402 7,281 1,453 5,828 4,138 1,690 1,470	7,213 3,354 3,859 4,539 794 3,745 2,833 912 1,062	992 560 432 1,920 433 1,487 976 511 264	283 172 111 822 226 596 329 267 144	570 338 232 595 119 476 393 83 307	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	85.0 82.1 87.7 62.3 54.6 64.3 68.5 54.0 72.2	11.7 13.7 9.8 26.4 29.8 25.5 23.6 30.2 18.0	3.3 4.2 2.5 11.3 15.6 10.2 8.0 15.8 9.8		
RURAL, NONFARM											
Infant enumerated.  Definitely enumerated.  Probably enumerated.  Infant not enumerated.  Parents enumerated but not infant <sup>1</sup> Neither parents nor infant enumerated  Definitely missed.  Probably missed.  Enumeration status uncertain.	3,533 1,450 2,083 3,691 381 3,310 2,717 593 1,126	3,077 1,242 1,835 2,717 203 2,514 2,081 433 843	392 174 218 832 165 667 537 130 229	64 34 30 142 13 129 99 30 54	233 93 140 205 37 168 143 25	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	87.1 85.7 88.1 73.6 53.3 76.0 76.6 73.0 74.9	11.1 12.0 10.5 22.5 43.3 20.2 19.8 21.9 20.3	1.8 2.3 1.4 3.8 3.4 3.9 3.6 5.1		
RURAL FARM					,						
Infant enumerated.  Definitely enumerated.  Probably enracrated.  Infant not enumerated.  Farents enumerated but not infant'  Neither parents nor infant enumerated.  Definitely missed.  Probably missed.  Enumeration status uncertain.	3,618 1,741 1,877 3,722 463 3,259 2,722 537 1,220	3,109 1,477 1,632 2,663 288 2,375 2,062 313	479 250 229 974 166 808 604 204 280	30 14 16 85 9 76 56 20 40	199 103 96 218 45 173 156 17	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	85.9 84.8 86.9 71.5 62.2 72.9 75.8 73.8	13.2 14.4 12.2 26.2 35.9 24.8 22.2 38.0 23.0	0.8 0.9 2.3 1.9 2.3 2.1 3.7		

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of responses indicating child died in April or May 1950.

## Table 14.—ERRORS IN ENUMERATION OF INFANTS BORN IN FIRST 3 MONTHS OF 1950, BY COLOR, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY REGIONS

[Figures from infant cards based on complete count; others from samples or estimates]

	Ur	ited States		N			
Subject	Total	White	Nonwhite	North- east	North Central	South	West
TOTAL PROPERTY MAINTAIN TO MAINTAIN 1050							
1. PROPERLY ENUMERATED AS BORN IN PERIOD JANUARY TO MARCH, 1950	707 HOO	653,848	83,952	171,022	222,951	240,830	102,99
Total	737,800	644,136	81,824	167,926	219,822	236,497	101,71
rth records and infant cards matched (actual count)	4,528 7,312	3,621 6,091	907	1,291	1,194	1,629 2,704	41, 86
2. BORN IN PERIOD JANUARY TO MARCH 1950 BUT ENUMERATED AS BORN AT ANOTHER TIME							
Total	10,405	7,925	2,480	2,539	2,070	4,799 1,806	99
fant found on search of population schedules (inflated sample)timate of infants for whom no conclusive search was made (inflated sample).	3,979 6,426	2,929 4,996	1,050 1,430	1,060 1,479	791 1,279	2,993	52 67
3. BORN IN PERIOD JANUARY TO MARCH 1950 BUT NOT ENUMERATED							
Total	27,610	19,464	8,146	5,154	5,203	13,723	3,53
fant not found on a conclusive search of population schedules (inflated sample) timate of infants for whom no conclusive search was made (inflated sample)	12,773 14,837	9,374 10,090	3,399 4,747	2,826 2,328	2,437 2,766	6,058 7,665	1,45 2,07
4. DUPLICATE ENUMERATION OF INFANTS AS BORN IN PERIOD JANUARY TO MARCH 1950						Ì	
Total	5,895	4,972	923	1,629	1,319	2,137	81
plicate infant cards representing enumeration at additional addresses (partly actual cunt, partly estimated)timate of infants without infant cards	5,800 95	4,900 72	900 23	1,600 29	1,300	2,100 37	80 1
5. BORN AT ANOTHER TIME BUT IMPROPERLY ENUMERATED AS BORN IN PERIOD JANUARY TO MARCH 1950					_ ]		
Total	6,799	4,900	1,899	1,362	1,453	3,320	66
rn after March 31, 1950	1,560	1,150	410	271	382	762	1/
Infant cards with improper birth dates according to letters from parents or according to birth records (actual count)	1,535	1,134	401	266	377	749	, D
Estimate of infants without infant cards	5,239	16 3,750	1,489	1,091	1,071	13   2,558	5
Infant cards with improper birth dates according to letters from parents or according to	U	, ,				2,514	5
birth records (actual count)	5,155 84	3,699 51	1,456 33	1,072 19	1,056 15	2,314	<i>)</i> .
RECAPITULATION OF ESTIMATES							
Completeness of enumeration as measured by the Infant Enumeration Study					1		
"True" number of infants born in period January to March 1950 (items 1 + 2 + 3)	775,815	681,237	94,578	178,715	230,224	259,352	107,5
rn in period and enumerated at any age in the census (items 1 + 2)	748,205 737,800	661,773 653,848	86,432 83,952	173,561 171,022	225,021 222,951	245,629 240,830	103,9
Improperly enumerated as born at another time (item 2).  rn in period but not enumerated in the census (item 3. Infant Enumeration Study estimate f number of missed infants).	10,405	7,925 19,464	2,480 8,146	2,539 5,154	2,070 5,203	4,799 13,723	3,5
	21,522	34° j. 10.1	0,2.0	2,22	,,,,,,,	20,	- ,
Completeness of emmeration as measured by the conventional comparison method <sup>2</sup>	one date	641 000	0.4 570	7 80 87 8	000 001	050 050	10F E
"True" number of infants born in period January to March 1950 (items 1 + 2 + 3)  rn at any time but enumerated as born in period (items 1 + 4 + 5. Note inclusion of	775,815	681,237	94,578	178,715	230,224	259,352	107,5
uplicate enumeration)	750,494	663,720	86,774	174,013	225,723	246,287	104,4
lance of "true" number (items 2 + 3 less items 4 + 5. Conventional estimate of number of issed infants)	25,321	17,517	7,804	4,702	4,501	13,065	3,0
Errors which affect the census count of infants							
Net loss to census count (items 2 + 3 less items 4 + 5)	25,321	17,517	7,804	4,702	4,501	13,065	3,0
sses to census count (items 2 + 3)	38,015	27,389	10,626	7,693	7,273	18,522	4,5
(item 2)	10,405	7,925	2,480	2,539	2,070	4,799	9
ins to census count 'items 4 + 5)	27,610 12,694	19,464 9,872	8,146 2,822	5,154 2,991	5,203 2,772	13,723 5,457	3,5 1,4
Duplicate enumeration (item 4)	5,895	4,972	923	1,629	1,319	2,137	8
(item 5)	6,799	4,900	1,899	1,362	1,453	3,320	6
Percent Distribution							
Completeness of enumeration as measured by the Infant Enumeration Study	1						
"True" number of infants born in period January to March 1950	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1,00
rn in period and emumerated at any age in the census	96.4	97.1	91.4	97.1	97.7	94.7	96
mproperly enumerated as born at another time	95.1	96.0 1.2	88.8	95.7 1.4	96.8	92.9 1.9	95 0
m in period but not emumerated in the census	3.6	2.9	8.6	2.9	2.3	5.3	3
Completeness of enumeration as measured by the conventional comparison method <sup>2</sup>	.						
"True" number of infants born in period January to March 1950	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
n at any time but enumerated as born in period	96.7 3.3	97.4 2.6	91.7 8.3	97.4 2.6	98.0 2.0	95.0 5.0	97 2
Errors which affect the census count of infants	- 1	*					
"True" number of infants born in period January to March 1950	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Net loss to census count	3.3	2.6	8.3	2.6	2.0	5.0	2
orn in period January to March 1950 but improperly enumerated as bown at another time	4.9	4.0	11.2	4.3	3.2	7.1	4
- STATE OF THE PROPERTY CHANGE ALCO AS DOTE AT ANOTHER TIME	1.3	1.2 2.9	2.6 8.6	1.4 2.9	0.9 2.3	1.9 5.3	3
orn in period but not enimerated in the census.	3.6						
Sorn in period but not enumerated in the census.  Ins to the census count.  Duplicate enumeration.  Sorn at another time but improperly enumerated as born in period January to March 1950	1.6	1.4	3.0	1.7	1.2	2.1	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Computed by taking appropriate proportions of infants classified as probably enumerated, probably missed, and enumeration status uncertain.

<sup>2</sup> Allowance for infants without birth records not made, but would not change existing proportions. For a balancing equation estimate which allows for illegitimate births and for births which occurred away from the State of residence of mother, see text section on "Related materials."

### **APPENDIX**

# INFANT CARD FILLED BY ENUMERATORS IN THE 1950 CENSUS

This inquiry is authorized by Act of Congress (46 Stat. 21; 13 U. CONFIDENTIAL The information furnished is accorded confidential treatment. taxation, investigation, or regulation.	S. C. 201-218) which requires that a report be made. The Census report cannot be used for purposes of APPROVAL EXPIRES Dec. 51, 1950.
FORM P 3 U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	State County
INFANT CARD	E. D. No. Sheet No. Line No. Lenumerated by LEAVE BLANK
1950 CENSUS OF POPULATION AND HOUSING (For every child born in January, February, or March 1950)	Date ASK THESE ITEMS
1. Is residence on a farm? (Copy from Population 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10. DATE OF BIRTH  (Month) (Day)  11. POST OFFICE ADDRESS OF INFANT'S USUAL PLACE OF RESIDENCE
2. NAME OF INFANT (Please print)	House Number and Street or RFD No.
(Last) (First) (Initial)	City or Town State
	Orty of Town
3. RACE OF INFANT	12. INFANT'S PLACE OF BIRTH (ACTUAL PLACE—NOT USUAL
(Copy from schedule 1 2 American 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RESIDENCE) LEAVE BLANK
4. SEX OF INFANT (Copy from schedule item 10.)  Male Temale Female	City (If outside city limits, write "RURAL.")
5. Is father enumerated in this household?  Yes No	County State  13. NAME OF HOSPITAL
IF "YES" in item 5, copy the answers to items 6, 7, 8, and 9, from the Population schedule. If "NO" in item 5, skip to item 10.	13. NAME OF HOSPITAL
6. NAME OF FATHER (Please print) (Copy from schedule item 7.)	If "NONE" above, type of attendant at birth:
	Doctor Midwife Other (Specify)
(Last) (First) (Initial)	14. MAIDEN NAME OF MOTHER (Please print)
7. AGE OF FATHER ON LAST BIRTHDAY (Copy from schedule item 11.)	
	(Last) (First) (Initial)
8. OCCUPATION OF FATHER (Copy from schedule item 20a; if that item is blank, enter "None.")	15. AGE OF MOTHER ON LAST BIRTHDAY (Copy from schedule item 11, or ask question.)
9. INDUSTRY OF FATHER (Copy from schedule item 20b; if that item is blank, enter "None.")	16. EDUCATION OF MOTHER (Copy from schedule items 26 and 27 or ask questions.) a. What is the highest grade of school that she has attended?
	b. Did she finish this grade? Yes No
LEAYE A B C	17. ORDER OF BIRTH  Is this the 1st, 2d, etc., child the mother has ever borne? (Do not count stillbirths but count all live births, including children now deceased.)

### CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

REV. 4-48 FEDERAL SECURITY AGENCY  CERTIFICA	sion of Standard Certificate)  ATE OF LIVE BIRTH
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE STATE OF	BIRTH NO.
1. PLACE OF BIRTH a. COUNTY	2. USUAL RESIDENCE OF MOTHER (Where does nother live?) a. STATE b. COUNTY
b. CITY (If outside corporate limits, write RURAL and give township) OR TOWN	c, CITY (If outside corporate limits, write RURAL and give township) OR TOWN
c. FULL NAME OF (If NOT in hospital or institution, give street address or HOSPITAL OR INSTITUTION	ADDRESS
3. CHILD'S NAME a. (First) (Type or print)	b. (Middle) c. (Last)
4. SEX 5a. THIS BIRTH  SINGLE TWIN TRIPLET	5b. IF TWIN OR TRIPLET (This child born) 6. DATE (Month) (Day) (Year)  1ST 2ND 3RD BIRTH
FAT	THER OF CHILD
7. FULL NAME a. (First)	b. (Middle) c. (Last) 8. COLOR OR RACE
9. AGE (At time of this birth) 10. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country YEARS	y)   11a. USUAL OCCUPATION   11b. KIND OF BUSINESS OR INDUSTRY
	THER OF CHILD
12. FULL MAIDEN NAME a. (First)	b. (Middle) c. (Last) 13. COLOR OR RACE
14. AGE (At time of this birth) 15. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country	
YEARS	a. How many OTHER children are now living?    dren were born alive but are ing?   c. How many children were stillborn (born dead after now dead?   20 weeks pregnancy)?
17. INFORMANT	
I hereby certify that	18b. ATTENDANT AT BIRTH  M. D. MIDWIFE (Specify)
this child was born alive on the date stated above. 18c. ADDRESS	M. D. L. MIDWIFE L. (Specify)  18d. DATE SIGNED
19. DATE REC'D BY LOCAL REGISTRAR'S SIGNATURE REG.	21. DATE ON WHICH GIVEN NAME ADDED BY (Registrap)
	L AND HEALTH USE ONLY ction MUST be filled out)
22a, LENGTH OF PREG-NANCY VEEKS LBS. OZS. YES	NO 🗌
(SPACE FOR ADDITION OF MEDIC	CAL AND HEALTH ITEMS BY INDIVIDUAL STATES)
•	

## QUESTIONNAIRE SENT TO PARENTS OF INFANTS LISTED IN SAMPLE OF UNMATCHED BIRTH RECORDS

QUESTIC	DNNA IRE
1. Please check the name and date of birth of your c if necessary.	child as listed on reverse side and make corrections
2. a. Where was your child living on May 1, 1950?	The following questions are to help find out why infants are sometimes overlooked in censuses.
(Street and number or rural route)	5. Was the child living with either parent during April and May, 1950? (Check one)
(County) (State)  If your child was living outside the city limits please describe location of residence so it can be located on a county map. This information will help us count your child in the proper part of the county.  (For example: On east side of Highway 57, two	a. Yes, throughout both months.  b. Temporarily away from parents for at least several days.  c. Permanently away from parents.  d. Died:  (Give date and place of death)  (If you checked either box c or box d, skip questions 6 and 7 below.)
miles northwest of Burfordville.)	6. Does your family remember being counted by the census taker last April or May or know of any information regarding your family being supplied by a neighbor? (Check one.)  Family visited by census taker.  Information given by neighbor.  No knowledge of any call.
b. Was your child living in a building containing 2 or more separate living quarters?  (For example: An apartment building or two-family house, Check one.)  Yes No  C. Was your child living on a farm? (Check one)  Yes No	7. Which of the following reasons explain why your child may have been missed by the census taker?  (Check each reason that fits your family.)  Family did not know infants were to be counted.  Family away from home for several days during April or May.
3. What was the relationship of your child to the head of the household in which it was living on May 1, 1950? (Check one)  Child of head of household.  Other relative of head of household.  Not related to head of household.	
4. What was the highest grade of school that the mother completed?  (Indicate only highest full year of school finished. For example, 4th year of grade school, 2nd year of high school, 4th year of college.)  (Grade or year) (Kind of school)	
Date:	Signature of person filling this form:

# INSTRUCTIONS TO ENUMERATORS FOR THE FILLING OF INFANT CARDS

(Reproduced from the Enumerator's Reference Manual)

#### Infants reported

273. Enumerated infants born in 3month period.—Fill out an Infant Card for each infant enumerated who was born in January, February, or March 1950. Also fill an Infant Card if you are in doubt as to the birth date, but believe the infant may have been born in these 3 months. Do not fill an Infant Card for infants born in any other months. All infants for whom Infant Cards are filled must also be enumerated on the regular Population Schedule (or on an ICR). If you leave an ICR in a household and it is returned to you filled out for an infant born in January, February, or March 1950, you must also fill an Infant Card.

Keep the completed Infant Cards together and turn them in with the other schedules unless the infant was enumerated on an ICR. In the latter case, clip the Infant Card to the ICR.

274. Infants not living with their parents.—Some infants will not be living with either parent, but will be living indefinitely with relatives, or adopted parents, or in institutions. Enumerate such an infant where he now resides, and try to obtain from the respondent the required information on the Infant Card for the mother

even though she does not live with the infant. However, information should not be obtained for the father if he does not live with the infant.

#### **Entries on Infant Card**

275. Filling the Infant Card.—The upper right part of the Infant Card, containing several unnumbered identification items, and items 1 to 9, are to be filled by copying information from the Population Schedule. Print the infant's name, and check the spelling with the informant. If the infant's father is a member of the household, fill items 6 to 9 of the Infant Card. Fathers who are neither employed nor looking for work will not have an occupation or industry listed on the Population Schedule. If item 20 of the Population Schedule is blank, write "None" in items 8 and 9. If the infant's father is not a member of the infant's household, do not ask items 6 to 9.

a. Item 11. Post office address.— This item is the address at which the infant's household may be reached by mail. This is frequently different from the address on the Population Schedule.

b. Item 12. Infant's place of first, make an arbitrary ass birth.—Give the actual place where order. In any case do not the birth occurred. This may be diftered twins the same birth order.

ferent from the county and State where the infant now resides. If the child was not born within the limits of a city or town, write "Rural" on the line for city.

c. Item 14. Maiden name of mother.—This item asks for the maiden name of the mother. The maiden name is her name before marriage, and not her husband's last name. Be sure to print the name.

d. Item 16. Education of mother.— Education is to be obtained for all Infant-Card mothers. Item 16 is not a sample question on the Infant Card. Copy the answers from items 26 and 27 of the Population Schedule, if the mother happens to be on a sample line of the Population Schedule, or ask question 16 on the Infant Card.

e. Item 17. Order of birth.—For order of birth, count all live births this mother has ever had, but do not count stillbirths (births in which the infant never breathed). Remember to include any children who may have died or who are not living in the household. Exclude adopted or stepchildren of the mother. For twin infants give the lower number to the twin born first. If it is not known which twin was born first, make an arbitrary assignment of order. In any case do not give both twins the same birth order.